Environmental Health Criteria 9

DDT and its Derivatives

Please note that the layout and pagination of this web version are not identical with the printed version.





INTERNATIONAL PROGRAMME ON CHEMICAL SAFETY

ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH CRITERIA 9

DDT and its Derivatives

This report contains the collective views of an international group of experts and does not necessarily represent the decisions or the stated policy of either the World Health Organization or the United Nations Environment Programme

Published under the joint sponsorship of the United Nations Environment Programme and the World Health Organization

World Health Organization Geneva, 1979

ISBN 92 4 154069 9

^(c) World Health Organization 1979

Publications of the World Health Organization enjoy copyright protection in accordance with the provisions of Protocol 2 of the Universal Copyright Convention. For rights of reproduction or translation of WHO publications, in part or *in toto*, application should be made to the Office of Publications, World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland. The World Health Organization welcomes such applications.

The designations employed and the presentation of the material in this publication do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of the Secretariat of the World Health Organization concerning the legal status of any country, territory, city or area or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries.

The mention of specific companies or of certain manufacturers' products does not imply that they are endorsed or recommended by the World Health Organization in preference to others of a similar nature that are not mentioned. Errors and omissions excepted, the names of proprietary products are distinguished by initial capital letters.

CONTENTS

ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH CRITERIA FOR DDT AND ITS DERIVATIVES

1. SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FURTHER STUDIES

1.	1. Summary
	1.1.1. Properties and analytical methods
	1.1.2. Production and uses
	1.1.3. Environmental concentrations and exposures
	1.1.4. Metabolism
	1.1.5. Experimental studies of the effects of DDT
	1.1.6. Clinical and epidemiological studies on the effects
	of DDT
	1.1.7. Dosage-effect relationships
	1.1.8. Evaluation of risk
1.	2. Recommendations for further studies
	1.2.1. Fate in the environment
	1.2.2. Monitoring of exposure and effects
	1.2.3. Carcinogenicity
	1.2.4. Mutagenicity
2. PROP	PERTIES AND ANALYTICAL METHODS

2.1.	Physical and chemical properties of DDT and certain related
	compounds
	2.1.1. Properties of DDT
	2.1.2. Properties of DDT analogues
	2.1.3. Formulations of commercial or technical DDT
2.2.	Analytical procedures
	2.2.1. Statistical criteria for assessing analytical
	methods
	2.2.2. Limit of analytical detection
	2.2.3. Confirmation of the identity of trace residues of
	DDT-type compounds
	2.2.4. Sampling and extraction
	2.2.5. Clean-up procedures
	2.2.6. Quantification
	2.2.6.1 Determination of DDT-type compounds
	2.2.6.2 Determination of p,p' -DDA in urine
	2.2.6.3 Method of reporting results
	2.2.7. Validation of analytical methods for DDT-type
	compounds
	2.2.8. Analytical methods for the evaluation of the
	biochemical effects of p,p' -DDT and its
	analogues

3. SOURCES OF ENVIRONMENTAL POLLUTION

- 3.1. Discovery and introduction
- 3.2. Production and use
- 3.3. Changing patterns of use

4. ENVIRONMENTAL TRANSPORT AND DISTRIBUTION

- 4.1. Local drift in air
- 4.2. Distant drift in air
- 4.3. Distribution in water
- 4.4. Bioaccumulation of DDT and its degradation in the environment

5. ENVIRONMENTAL EXPOSURE LEVELS

5.1. Exposure of the general population 5.1.1. DDT in air 5.1.2. DDT in water 5.1.3. DDT in food 5.1.4. Miscellaneous sources 5.1.5. Relative importance of different sources 5.2. Exposure of infants and young children

5.3. Occupational exposure

6. METABOLISM OF DDT 6.1. Uptake 6.1.1. Uptake by inhalation 6.1.2. Uptake from the gastrointestinal tract 6.1.3. Uptake from the skin 6.2. Distribution and storage 6.2.1. Human studies 6.2.1.1 Studies of volunteers 6.2.1.2 Studies of occupationally exposed workers 6.2.1.3 Studies of the general population 6.2.2. Animal studies 6.3. Elimination 6.3.1. Human studies 6.3.1.1 Studies of volunteers 6.3.1.2 Studies of occupationally exposed workers6.3.1.3 Studies of the general population 6.3.2. Animal studies 6.4. Biotransformation 7. EXPERIMENTAL STUDIES ON THE EFFECTS OF DDT 7.1. Animal studies 7.1.1. Haemopoietic system and immunology 7.1.2. Nervous system and behaviour 7.1.2.1 Cause of death7.1.2.2 Treatment of poisoning in animals 7.1.3. Renal system 7.1.4. Gastrointestinal tract, liver, and enzymes 7.1.4.1 Liver 7.1.4.2 Microsomal enzymes of the liver 7.1.4.3 Enzymes of intermediary metabolism 7.1.5. Cardiovascular system 7.1.6. Respiratory system 7.1.7. Reproductive system 7.1.8. Endocrine organs 7.1.9. Carcinogenicity 7.1.10. Mutagenicity 7.1.11. Teratogenicity 7.2. Acquisition of tolerance to DDT 7.3. Factors influencing DDT toxicity 7.3.1. Dosage-effect 7.3.1.1 Dosage-effect of DDT 7.3.1.2 Dosage-effect of metabolites and o,p'-DDT 7.3.2. Age and sex 7.3.3. Nutrition 7.3.4. Species 7.3.5. Other factors

7.4. Human studies

8. EFFECTS OF DDT ON MAN: EPIDEMIOLOGICAL AND CLINICAL STUDIES

8.1. Retrospective studies of DDT-exposed populations 8.1.1. Epidemiological surveillance of persons occupationally exposed to DDT 8.1.2. Epidemiology of DDT poisoning in the general population: accidents and suicides 8.1.3. Epidemiology of DDT poisoning in infants and young children 8.2. Clinical and epidemiological studies of the effects of DDT

9. EVALUATION OF HEALTH RISKS TO MAN FROM EXPOSURE TO DDT AND RELATED COMPOUNDS

8.4. Treatment of poisoning in man

- 9.1. Relative contributions of food, water, air, and miscellaneous sources to total intake 9.1.1. Adult members of the general population 9.1.2. Infants and children 9.1.3. Occupational groups 9.2. Effects of exposure 9.3. Carcinogenicity and mutagenicity 9.4. Effects on microsomal enzymes 9.5. Reproduction and teratogenicity 9.6. Immunosuppression 9.7. Nutritional effects and other factors 9.8. Dosage-effect relationships
- 9.9. Recommendations on levels of exposure

REFERENCES

ANNEX

REFERENCES

NOTE TO READERS OF THE CRITERIA DOCUMENTS

While every effort has been made to present information in the criteria documents as accurately as possible without unduly delaying their publication, mistakes might have occurred and are likely to occur in the future. In the interest of all users of the environmental health criteria documents, readers are kindly requested to communicate any errors found to the Division of Environmental Health, World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland, in order that they may be included in corrigenda which will appear in subsequent volumes.

In addition, experts in any particular field dealt with in the criteria documents are kindly requested to make available to the WHO Secretariat any important published information that may have inadvertently been omitted and which may change the evaluation of health risks from exposure to the environmental agent under examination, so that the information may be considered in the event of updating and re-evaluation of the conclusions contained in the criteria documents.

WHO TASK GROUP ON ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH CRITERIA FOR DDT AND ITS DERIVATIVES

Participants^a

Members

- Dr A. Curley, Toxic Effects Branch, Environmental Toxicology Division, EPA Health Effects Research Laboratory, Research Triangle Park, NC, USA
- Dr L. Fishbein, National Center for Toxicological Research (US Food & Drug Administration), Jefferson, AR, USA (Rapporteur)
- Dr K. Gheorghiev, Department of Toxicology, Institute of Hygiene & Occupational Health, Sofia, Bulgaria
- Professor F. Korte, Association for Radiation & Environmental Research, Neuherberg, Munich, Federal Republic of Germany
- Professor B. Paccagnella, Second Institute of Hygiene (University of Padua) Verona, Italy (Chairman)
- Professor M. Roberfroid, Department of Biochemistry, Catholic University of Louvain, Brussels, Belgium

Dr Y. Shirasu, Institute of Environmental Toxicology, Tokyo, Japan

- Dr E. M. B. Smith, Department of Health & Social Security, London, England
- Dr D.C. Villeneuve, Biochemical Toxicology Section, Environmental Health Directorate, Department of National Health & Welfare, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada (Vice-Chairman)

Representatives of other organizations

- Dr M. Stilon de Piro, Occupational Safety & Health Branch, International Labour Office, Geneva, Switzerland
- Mrs M-Th. van der Venne, Health & Safety Directorate, Commission of the European Communities, Luxembourg

^a Invited but unable to attend:

Secretariat

- Dr J. F. Copplestone, Medical Officer, Pesticide Development & Safe Use, Division of Vector Biology & Control, World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland
- Dr Y. Hasegawa, Medical Officer, Control of Environmental Pollution & Hazards, Division of Environmental Health, World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland
- Professor W. J. Hayes, Jr, Department of Biochemistry, Vanderbilt University, Nashville, TE, USA (Temporary Adviser)
- Dr M. Vandekar, Medical Officer Pesticide Development & Safe Use, Division of Vector Biology & Control, World Health Organization, Geneva (Secretary)
- Dr V. B. Vouk, Chief, Control of Environmental Pollution & Hazards, Division of Environmental Health, World Health Organization,

Geneva

- Dr S. Jensen, Swedish Environmental Analytical Laboratory, Wallenberg Laboratory, Stockholm, Sweden
- Professor R. Truhaut, Toxicological Research Centre, René Descartes University, Paris, France

ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH CRITERIA FOR DDT AND ITS DERIVATIVES

A WHO Task Group on Environmental Health Criteria for DDT and its Derivatives met in Geneva from 8-14 November 1977. Dr V. B. Vouk, Chief of the Control of Environmental Pollution and Hazards Unit opened the meeting on behalf of the Director-General. The Task Group reviewed and revised the second draft criteria document and made an evaluation of the health risks from exposure to DDT and its derivatives.

Dr W. J. Hayes, Jr and Dr J. Robinson, Sittingbourne Research Centre, Kent, England, assisted the Secretariat in preparing the first and second drafts of the DDT criteria document. Comments on which the second draft was based were received from the national focal points for the WHO Environmental Health Criteria Programme in Australia, Belgium, Canada, Finland, France, Greece, Israel, New Zealand, Pakistan, and USA and from the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC), the International Labour Office (ILO), the International Union of Biological Sciences (IUBS), the International Union of Pure and Applied Chemistry (IUPAC), the United Nations Industrial Development Organization (UNIDO), and from the United Nations Environmental Programme International Register of Potentially Toxic Chemicals.

Comments were also received from Dr V. Benes, Czechoslovakia, Dr S. Gabor, Romania, and Dr P.M. Newberne, USA.

Two subgroups reviewed the major part of the second draft (sections 2 to 6 and 7 to 8, respectively) and their comments were accepted as those of the whole group. Sections 1 and 9 were redrafted and approved at the plenary sessions.

The collaboration of these national institutions, international organizations, WHO collaborating centres, and individual experts is gratefully acknowledged. Without their assistance this document would not have been completed. The Secretariat wishes to thank, in particular, Dr W. J. Hayes, Jr for his help in all phases of preparation of the document.

This document is based primarily on original publications listed in the reference section. However, several comprehensive reviews on the health effects of DDT have also been used including publications by the US Environmental Protection Agency (1975), Müller (1959), and Mrak (1969).

Although the ecological aspects of DDT, including its possible accumulation in some components of the food chain, its metabolism in microorganisms and plants, as well as its effects on terrestrial and aquatic ecosystems are, no doubt, of great interest and importance,

this document is concerned mainly with the discussion of its metabolism and effects in experimental animals and man that have direct implications for human health.

Details of the WHO Environmental Health Criteria Programme including some of the terms frequently used in the documents may be found in the general introduction to the Environmental Health Criteria Programme published together with the environmental health criteria document on mercury (Environmental Health Criteria 1 -- Mercury, World Health Organization, 1976), now also available as a reprint.

1. SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FURTHER STUDIES

1.1 Summary

1.1.1 Properties and analytical methods

DDT which is an acronym for dichlorodiphenyltrichloroethane is the prototype of broad action, persistent insecticides. It is stable under most environmental conditions and is resistant to complete breakdown by the enzymes present in soil microorganisms and higher organisms. Some of its metabolites, notably 1,1'-(2,2-dichlor-ethenylidene)-bis[4-chlorobenzene] (DDE), have a stability equal to, or greater than that of the parent compound. The persistence of DDT and DDE in the environment is mainly due to the fact that they are soluble in fat and virtually insoluble in water.

Two techniques have played a major role in the quantitative analysis of DDT-type compounds. The original Schechter-Haller colorimetric method introduced in 1945 was modified in 1953 making it possible to measure both DDT and DDE in the same sample. A second more reliable and versatile method for the simultaneous analysis of DDT, DDE and a number of other organochlorine insecticides began to be used extensively in about 1962. This consisted of gas-liquid chromatography with destructive and non-destructive detector systems using multicolumns for the separation of mixtures. Both methods require understanding and care in the selection, extraction, clean-up, and subsequent analysis of samples. Later, gas-liquid chromatography was combined with mass spectrometry, which added a dimension of mass for each component of a mixture and provided a more reliable technique for confirmatory analyses.

Analytical methods, their execution, and the reported results have not been satisfactory in a number of papers. However, good agreement has been achieved by analysing paired aliquots by the colorimetric and gas chromatographic method. In the majority of cases, analytical errors involving human samples have been small compared with the real differences, either between individual samples or between groups of samples drawn from populations with substantially different histories of exposure. This situation is different with environmental samples

预览已结束, 完整报告链接和二维码如下:



https://www.yunbaogao.cn/report/index/report?reportId=5_30825