

WHO/CDS/CSR/GAR/2002.3

Distr.: General

English Only

WHO Advisory Committee on Variola Virus Research

Report of the third meeting

Geneva, Switzerland

3-4 December 2001



**World Health Organization
Department of Communicable Disease
Surveillance and Response**

© World Health Organization 2002

This document is not a formal publication of the World Health Organization (WHO), and all rights are reserved by the Organization. The document may, however, be freely reviewed, abstracted, reproduced and translated, in part or in whole, but not for sale or for use in conjunction with commercial purposes. The views expressed in documents by named authors are solely the responsibility of those authors.

The mention of specific companies or of certain manufacturers' products does not imply that they are endorsed or recommended by WHO in preference to others of a similar nature that are not mentioned. Errors and omissions excepted, the names of proprietary products are distinguished by initial capital letters.

Contents

	<u>Pages</u>
Summary.....	1
1. Introduction.....	1
2. Review of variola virus strains in the Russian collection.....	1
3. Nucleic acid based diagnostics.....	2
4. Sequence analysis of variola virus DNA.....	3
5. Sequence of the electromelia virus genome.....	3
6. Progress in the development of serological assays.....	3
7. Animal models.....	4
8. Drug development.....	4
9. Vaccine development	5
10. Fundamental studies	5
11. Conclusions and recommendations.....	5
Annex 1: Agenda.....	7
Annex 2: List of participants.....	9

Summary

The WHO Advisory Committee on Variola Virus Research reviewed progress of research involving live variola virus. It agreed that considerable progress had been made since it last met. However, despite previous estimates, the Committee noted that significant components of the agreed programme were unlikely to be completed by the end of 2002. Further, during extensive discussion, additional research was identified which would necessitate having access to live variola virus after the anticipated 2002 destruction date. The Committee therefore recommended that the destruction deadline be postponed to enable essential research to be completed. It also recommended that additional research using live variola virus should continue to be carefully monitored and reviewed under the auspices of WHO and that steps should be taken to ensure that all approved research remains outcome focused and time limited.

1. Introduction

1.1 Dr David Heymann, Executive Director, Communicable Diseases welcomed participants to the meeting and asked the Committee to review the progress of research using live variola virus. The main issues to address were :

- The likelihood of completing the research planned prior to this meeting by the end of 2002.
- Any recent developments in variola virus research or in the world situation suggesting the need for additional studies that would extend beyond the end of 2002.

1.2 Dr Peter Greenaway was appointed Chairman and Dr Robert Drillien was appointed Rapporteur. The meeting agenda is given in Annex 1 and meeting participants are listed in Annex 2. The majority of the meeting concerned scientific presentations relating the most recent results from laboratories using live variola virus.

2. Review of variola virus strains in the Russian collection

2.1 The second meeting of this Committee noted that the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), Atlanta, held 451 virus isolates derived from a range of continents and countries when smallpox was endemic. The current review and the studies reported concentrated on some 50 isolates, from a total of approximately 120 in the Russian collection, that were not present in the United States collection. Twenty-three isolates from scab material and previously lyophilised samples displayed viability upon tissue culture passage. Isolation of DNA from these strains is ongoing; 2 genomes have been completely cloned, at least 5 others will be cloned by the end of 2002 and other work is being planned.

2.2 The Committee agreed that before the end of 2002 further consideration should be given to the necessity of holding the entire range of isolates currently available in the two repositories.

3. Nucleic acid based diagnostics

3.1 A number of methods have been developed over the last few years to enable very sensitive detection of variola virus DNA and distinguish this DNA from that of other orthopoxviruses. PCR-RFLP analysis, multiplex PCR and real time PCR employing the detection of fluorogenic probes are the most promising methods.

3.2 The Committee was informed of a recent laboratory-acquired infection with a non variola orthopoxvirus which provided a demonstration of the performance of PCR-RFLP and real time PCR methods using clinical material from the skin and vesicular fluid. Analysis of DNA samples from the infected worker by extend PCR-RFLP enabled a very precise definition of the virus responsible for the accidental infection.

3.3 Similar studies have been conducted to more thoroughly investigate a fatal case of laboratory transmitted smallpox that occurred some 20 years ago. In addition, real time PCR has been used to follow viraemia and the presence of virus in tissue in monkeys experimentally infected with variola virus. Finally, it was shown that multiplex PCR can be used to identify variola virus DNA in crust samples and that it can distinguish major and minor strains with as little as 1 pg of sample material. Collaboration between staff at CDC and VECTOR has been initiated to ensure that any future work on virus characterization is adequately coordinated, including the transfer of reagents.

3.4 The results obtained indicate that single gene PCR-RFLP and multiplex PCR detection methods are useful for detecting variola virus in clinical samples. It was noted that real time PCR has greater sensitivity and can therefore detect infection at an earlier stage, however, it uses expensive equipment and, so far, is not able to consistently distinguish between orthopoxvirus species. Extend PCR-RFLP has proven useful to precisely define the origin of an isolate but may require prior tissue culture passage.

3.5 The Committee agreed that significant progress has been made in the area of molecular diagnosis but that there is still scope to improve the sensitivity of the tests available. For example, it would be useful to know how early a variola virus infection might be detected at the prodromal stage. An ultimate goal is the development of relatively cheap hand held detection equipment for variola virus DNA.

3.6 The Committee recognized that the further development of diagnostic procedures was an important area for further work. It encouraged investigators to share diagnostic reagents, essential primer sequences for PCR detection and protocols. This would be particularly useful for differentiating between, for example, variola and varicella virus infections.

4. Sequence analysis of variola virus DNA

4.1 The Committee was informed that the extend PCR method combined with primer walking has been used to obtain the complete genomic sequences of 7 additional variola virus isolates. This brings the total number of full length sequences to 10 (9 major strains and 1 minor strain). A high degree of sequence conservation was detected between isolates even though some had undergone a number of passages in tissue culture. Whilst this suggests that tissue culture passage may have little influence on genome stability, no comparative data from scab material was available. The Committee indicated that it would be important to obtain DNA sequence information from scab material.

4.2 Hypervariable regions containing long T or AT runs have been detected near the left and right termini respectively. This microheterogeneity is thought to reflect the low passage and non clonal features of the virus populations analysed. The biological meaning of these findings, if any, is unclear. As yet, no sequence variability between isolates could be correlated with their known degree of virulence.

4.3 The Committee noted that a considerable amount of nucleic acid sequence information on variola viruses was now available. After discussion it was agreed that gaining further sequence information from the more variable termini had priority over the derivation of additional whole genome sequences. This would be useful for forensic studies if there was ever a deliberate release of variola virus. Reference DNA should be kept for this purpose.

5. Sequence of the ectromelia virus genome

5.1 The sequence of the highly pathogenic ectromelia virus genome (the causative agent of mousepox) was presented and comparisons were made with other orthopoxviruses, particularly variola virus. The data provides the opportunity to study the role of virus genes, some of which are homologues of variola virus genes, in a severe animal poxvirus infection.

6. Progress in the development of serological assays

6.1 Polyclonal and monoclonal antibodies against vaccinia virus have been used in ELISA and manual threshold assays to evaluate their usefulness in the detection of variola virus isolates. So far some 23 virus samples amplified in tissue culture have been studied. Although the methods available appear relatively sensitive they do not allow the detection of all virus isolates. In this respect, polyclonal sera more readily detected all virus strains while monoclonals missed some.

6.2 A human IgM capture assay has been developed as one means to recognize the presence of an orthopoxvirus infection. This method relies on the use of killed variola virus as antigen and hyperimmune mouse ascitic fluid directed against live variola virus as the capture system. Detection of IgM in monkeys infected experimentally with variola between

4 and 6 days after infection (depending on the infectious dose used) has been achieved. The assay was also used to detect anti-vaccinia IgM 4 days after an accidental human infection.

6.3 The Committee noted that the specificity of the test remains a critical issue but that this could be readily solved by the availability of variola virus specific monoclonal antibodies. The development of such antibodies has been initiated but progress has been slow. The Committee concluded that a variola virus specific serological assay could usefully complement molecular diagnostic techniques, particularly as a second method to detect infection. However, further validation of the test was needed before this goal could be achieved.

7. Animal models

7.1 Adequate animal models using variola virus have been unavailable so far. Recent data demonstrating the infection and lethality of variola virus, administered intravenously or intravenously with an aerosol, in cynomolgus monkeys was presented. It was noted that the induced disease develops at a much faster pace than smallpox in man and that the dose of virus used to date via the intravenous route is particularly high. It was shown that a number of the pathological features of the disease produced in monkeys appear to be similar to those of smallpox in man.

7.2 Additional studies are needed to improve and validate this model. However, for this to be done, the work would need to extend beyond 2002. It was clear that the monkey model has the potential to be employed for testing therapeutic drugs and novel vaccines. It could also provide access to clinical specimens for testing diagnostic procedures. Other surrogate animal models are being pursued in parallel, in particular the infection of monkeys with monkeypox virus and the infection of rodents with cowpoxvirus.

8. Drug development

8.1 Most studies have focused so far on the efficacy of cidofovir as an anti-poxvirus drug. This compound has demonstrable activity against cowpox in mice and against monkeypox in monkeys. The United States currently allows cidofovir to be used in

预览已结束，完整报告链接和二维码如下：

https://www.yunbaogao.cn/report/index/report?reportId=5_30359

