

Indoor air pollution from solid fuels and risk of low birth weight and stillbirth



Indoor air pollution from solid fuels and risk of low birth weight and stillbirth

Report from a symposium held at the Annual Conference of the International Society for Environmental Epidemiology (ISEE)

> SEPTEMBER 2005 JOHANNESBURG, SOUTH AFRICA



WHO Library Cataloguing-in-Publication Data

Indoor air pollution from solid fuels and risk of low birth weight and stillbirth : report from a symposium held at the Annual Conference of the International Society for Environmental Epidemiology (ISEE), September 2005, Johannesburg.

1.Air pollution, indoor – adverse affects. 2.Infant, Low birth weight. 3.Stillbirth – epidemiology. 5.Pregnancy outcome. 6.Review literature. 7.Developing countries. I.World Health Organization.

ISBN 978 92 4 150573 5 (NLM classification: WA 900)

© World Health Organization 2007

All rights reserved. Publications of the World Health Organization can be obtained from WHO Press, World Health Organization, 20 Avenue Appia, 1211 Geneva 27, Switzerland (tel.: +41 22 791 3264; fax: +41 22 791 4857; e-mail: bookorders@who.int). Requests for permission to reproduce or translate WHO publications – whether for sale or for noncommercial distribution – should be addressed to WHO Press, at the above address (fax: +41 22 791 4806; e-mail: permissions@who.int).

The designations employed and the presentation of the material in this publication do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of the World Health Organization concerning the legal status of any country, territory, city or area or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries. Dotted lines on maps represent approximate border lines for which there may not yet be full agreement.

The mention of specific companies or of certain manufacturers' products does not imply that they are endorsed or recommended by the World Health Organization in preference to others of a similar nature that are not mentioned. Errors and omissions excepted, the names of proprietary products are distinguished by initial capital letters.

All reasonable precautions have been taken by the World Health Organization to verify the information contained in this publication. However, the published material is being distributed without warranty of any kind, either expressed or implied. The responsibility for the interpretation and use of the material lies with the reader. In no event shall the World Health Organization be liable for damages arising from its use.

This publication contains the collective views of an international group of experts and does not necessarily represent the decisions or the stated policy of the World Health Organization.

Designed by minimum graphics Printed in Switzerland

Contents

Executive summary		
1	Introduction Background Aims and objectives Intended outcomes	4 6
2	Symposium presentations	8
	2.1 Low birth weight among a cohort of Guatemalan children: indoor air pollution as a contributing factor2.2 Preliminary analyses of indoor air pollution and low birth weight (LBW)	8
	2.3 Maternal exposure to biomass smoke and reduced birth weight in Zimbabwe2.4 Cooking fuel and tobacco smoke as risk factors for stillbirth2.5 Particulate matter air pollution and perinatal outcomes	15 19 22 25
3	Summary of evidence Method and search strategy Synthesis and conclusions Ambient air pollution studies Conclusion	28 28 28 30 30
	Table 3.1 Summary of studies on risk of stillbirth associated with solid fuel use Table 3.2 Summary of studies on risk of stillbirth associated with solid fuel use	31 33
4	Further research needs Consensus on evidence Key health outcomes for future studies Exposure assessment issues Study design options and opportunities Potential funding sources Next steps	34 34 34 34 35 35

5 References

111

CONTENTS

Acknowledgement

WHO wishes to thank Nigel Bruce, Division of Public Health, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, UK, for convening the symposium and coordinating the overall writing of the report, and Lisa Thompson, Department of Environmental Health Sciences, University of California, Berkeley, CA, USA; Vinod Mishra, Demographic and Health Research Division, ORC Macro, Calverton, MD, USA; Amna Rehana Siddiqui, Department of Community Health Sciences, Aga Khan University, Karachi, Pakistan; Tracey Woodruff, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, DC, USA; and Dan Pope Division of Public Health, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, UK for contributing data and chapters to the repeot and for contributing to the overall summary.

The symposium was supported by the Department of Child and Adolescent Health and Development, WHO, Geneva, Switzerland, with funds made available through the U.S. Agency for International Development (USAID).

Executive summary

Introduction

Around half of the world's population still relies on solid fuels for everyday energy needs, 2.4 billion on biomass (wood, animal dung, crop wastes) and 0.6 billion on coal. These fuels are typically used indoors or in partly enclosed cooking areas, burnt in poorly vented, inefficient stoves, and leading to very high levels of exposure to smoke which contains products of incomplete combustion. Most heavily exposed are women of child-bearing age and young children. Women usually continue with their domestic and cooking role throughout pregnancy, so the developing foetus is also indirectly exposed. Studies of urban air pollution and environmental tobacco smoke (ETS) have shown that several combustion pollutants – including carbon monoxide and small particles – are linked to adverse pregnancy outcomes; still births and low birth weight. These pollutants are also prominent in smoke from solid fuel used in developing country homes, so there is good reason to expect that this exposure may also impact on pregnancy outcomes in these settings. This report summarises the evidence linking such exposure to low birth weight (LBW) and stillbirth, as reviewed in a symposium held at ISEE 2005 in Johannesburg.

Methods

Representatives of research groups which have carried out recent studies of biomass smoke pollution and LBW and/or stillbirth in developing countries were invited to present their findings at the symposium. In addition, recent work on ambient air pollution and LBW from the state of California was also represented. Key issues for future studies were identified. Following the symposium, a preliminary literature review was conducted using PubMed: combining exposure and outcome terms yielded 190 studies, of which 44 were retained as relevant for review of abstracts. This, together with further contact with researchers in the field, provided only one new study which is included in this review. Data has been extracted, and quality assessed by one author (NB).

Results

(i) Low birth weight

Five studies are included: one cross-sectional survey, two cohort studies, one casecontrol study and one randomised controlled trial. Differences in exposure were expressed as biomass vs. clean fuel for four studies, and biomass in open fires vs. ventilated (chimney) stoves in one. The timing of birth weight measurement varied between studies, and only two assessed gestational age. For *mean birth weight*, the range of differences for 'high' vs. 'low' exposure across four studies were from 59 to 175 gm lower weight with the higher exposure. All estimates were adjusted, and three were statistically significant. For *% LBW* (defined as <2,500 gm), one study reported an adjusted odds ratios (OR) for high exposure vs. low exposure of 1.74 (95% CI: 1.2, 2.5), one an unadjusted OR of 1.26 (0.77, 2.05), and another OR of 1.20 for term LBW (p<0.05) and 1.50 for pre-term LBW (p<0.05) but results from multivariate analysis were not reported and are presumed non-significant. There was no evidence of statistical heterogeneity among these estimates as all 95% confidence intervals overlap.

(ii) Stillbirth

Three studies are included, one case control, one cross-sectional survey, and one cohort. Two obtained information on stillbirths from health records and interviews, the other relied on recall at interview (1). For all three studies, differences in exposure were expressed as biomass vs. cleaner fuel. All adjusted for confounding, with odds ratios for high exposure vs. low exposure ranging from 1.44 to 1.90. All three estimates were statistically significant, and again there was no evidence of statistical heterogeneity among these estimates as all 95% confidence intervals overlap.

(iii) Ambient air pollution studies

A recent ambient (outdoor) pollution study carried out in California found an adjusted odds ratio of 1.26 (1.03, 1.50) for high vs. low $PM_{2.5}$ exposure during pregnancy, among singleton births of 40 weeks gestation. This exposure difference was associated with a mean difference in birth weight of -36 gm (95% CI: -16.5, -55.8). No independent effect of CO on birth weight was seen. $PM_{2.5}$ exposure was also associated with a small increase in the risk of pre-term birth (OR = 1.15, 95% CI: 1.07, 1.24), again with no independent effect of CO exposure.

Conclusion

This review has found consistent impacts of solid fuel smoke exposure on both LBW and stillbirth. Although the body of evidence is still relatively small, these findings are consistent with studies on exposure to outdoor air pollution and ETS. The ISEE symposium concluded that a modest number of additional, high quality studies of the adverse pregnancy outcomes are required, paying particular attention to assessment of exposure and gestational age. It was concluded that, if the risk estimates are confirmed by further studies, since high proportions of pregnant women are exposed to solid fuel

预览已结束,完整报告链接和二维码如下



https://www.yunbaogao.cn/report/index/report?reportId=5 29633