


MICROBIOLOGICAL RISK ASSESSMENT SERIES

18

ISSN 1726-5274

Enterohaemorrhagic *Escherichia coli* in raw beef and beef products: approaches for the provision of scientific advice

MEETING REPORT



WHO



**Enterohaemorrhagic *Escherichia coli* in raw beef and beef products:
approaches for the provision of
scientific advice**

MEETING REPORT

WORLD HEALTH ORGANIZATION
FOOD AND AGRICULTURE ORGANIZATION OF THE UNITED NATIONS

2011

The designations employed and the presentation of material in this information product do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations or of the World Health Organization concerning the legal or development status of any country, territory, city or area or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries.

The mention of specific companies or products of manufacturers, whether or not these have been patented, does not imply that these have been endorsed or recommended by FAO or WHO in preference to others of a similar nature that are not mentioned.

All reasonable precautions have been taken by the World Health Organization and the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations to verify the information contained in this publication. However, the published material is being distributed without warranty of any kind, either expressed or implied.

The responsibility for the interpretation and use of the material lies with the reader. In no event shall the World Health Organization and the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations be liable for damages arising from its use. This report contains the collective views of an international group of experts and does not necessarily represent the decisions or the stated policy of FAO or of WHO.

WHO Library Cataloguing-in-Publication Data

1.*Escherichia coli* infections. 2.Meat products - microbiology. 3.Food poisoning - prevention and control. 4.Food contamination. 5.Risk assessment. I.World Health Organization. II.Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. III.Series.

ISBN 978-92-4-154824-3 (NLM classification: WC 290)

ISBN 978-92-5-107010-9

ISSN 1726-5274

Recommended citation

FAO/WHO [Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations/World Health Organization]. 2011. Enterohaemorrhagic *Escherichia coli* in raw beef and beef products: approaches for the provision of scientific advice: meeting report. Microbiological Risk Assessment Series No. 18. Geneva. 126 pp.

All rights reserved. Reproduction and dissemination of material in this information product for educational or other non-commercial purposes are authorized without any prior written permission from the copyright holders provided the source is fully acknowledged. Reproduction of material in this information product for resale or other commercial purposes is prohibited without written permission of the copyright holders. Requests for permission to reproduce or translate WHO publications should be addressed to WHO Press through the WHO web site (http://www.who.int/about/licensing/copyright_form/en/index.html).

© FAO and WHO 2011

Foreword

The Members of the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO) and of the World Health Organization (WHO) have expressed concern regarding the level of safety of food at both national and international levels. Increasing foodborne disease incidence over the last decades seems, in many countries, to be related to an increase in disease caused by microorganisms in food. This concern has been voiced in meetings of the Governing Bodies of both Organizations, and in the Codex Alimentarius Commission. It is not easy to decide whether the suggested increase is real or an artefact of changes in other areas, such as improved disease surveillance or better detection methods for microorganisms in foods. However, the important issue is whether new tools or revised and improved actions can contribute to our ability to lower the disease burden and provide safer food. Fortunately new tools that can facilitate actions seem to be on their way.

Over the past decade, Risk Analysis—a process consisting of risk assessment, risk management and risk communication—has emerged as a structured model for improving our food control systems, with the objectives of producing safer food, reducing the numbers of foodborne illnesses and facilitating domestic and international trade in food. Furthermore, we are moving towards a more holistic approach to food safety, where the entire food chain needs to be considered in efforts to produce safer food. As with any model, tools are needed for the implementation of the risk analysis paradigm.

Risk assessment is the science-based component of risk analysis. Science today provides us with in-depth information on life in the world we live in. It has allowed us to accumulate a wealth of knowledge on microscopic organisms, their growth, survival and death, even their genetic make-up. It has given us an understanding of food production, processing and preservation, and of the link between the microscopic and the macroscopic world and how we can benefit from as well as suffer from these microorganisms. Risk assessment provides us with a framework for organizing all this data and information and to better understand the interaction between microorganisms, foods and human illness. It provides us with the ability to estimate the risk to human health from specific microorganisms in foods and gives us a tool with which we can compare and evaluate different scenarios, as well as to identify the types of data necessary for estimating and optimizing mitigating interventions.

Microbiological risk assessment can be considered as a tool that can be used in the management of the risks posed by foodborne pathogens and in the elaboration of standards for food in international trade. However, undertaking a microbiological risk assessment (MRA), particularly quantitative MRA, is recognized as a resource-intensive task requiring a multidisciplinary approach. Yet foodborne illness is among the most widespread public health problems, creating social and economic burdens as well as human suffering, making it a concern that all countries need to address. As risk assessment can also be used to justify the introduction of more stringent standards for imported foods, a knowledge of MRA is important for trade purposes, and there is a need to provide countries with the tools for understanding and, if possible, undertaking MRA. This need, combined with that of the Codex Alimentarius for risk-based scientific advice, led FAO and WHO to undertake a programme of activities on MRA at the international level. The Nutrition and Consumer Protection Division, FAO, and the Department of Food Safety and Zoonoses, WHO, are the lead units responsible for this initiative. The two groups have worked together to develop the

area of MRA at the international level for application at both the national and international levels.

This work has been greatly facilitated by the contribution of people from around the world with expertise in microbiology, mathematical modelling, epidemiology and food technology, to name but a few. This Microbiological Risk Assessment series provides a range of data and information to those who need to understand or undertake MRA. It comprises risk assessments of particular pathogen-commodity combinations, interpretative summaries of the risk assessments, guidelines for undertaking and using risk assessment, and reports addressing other pertinent aspects of MRA.

We hope that this series will provide a greater insight into MRA, how it is undertaken and how it can be used. We strongly believe that this is an area that should be developed in the international sphere, and have already from the present work clear indications that an international approach and early agreement in this area will strengthen the future potential for use of this tool in all parts of the world, as well as in international standard setting. We would welcome comments and feedback on any of the documents within this series so that we can endeavour to provide Member countries, Codex Alimentarius and other users of this material with the information they need to use risk-based tools, with the ultimate objective of ensuring that safe food is available for all consumers.

Mr Samuel C. Jutzi

Officer-in-Charge
Nutrition and Consumer Protection Division
Food and Agriculture Organization of the
United Nations

Dr M. Maged Younes

Director
Department of Food Safety and Zoonoses
World Health Organization

Contents

Contributors (Meeting participants)	ix
Experts	ix
Resource person	ix
Declarations of interest	ix
Acknowledgements	x
Abbreviations	xi
1. Introduction	1
1.1 Background	1
1.2 Objectives	2
1.3 Scope	3
1.3.1 Hazards of concern	3
1.3.2 EHEC	3
1.3.3 Food commodities of concern	4
2. Review of the risk assessments undertaken to date and their application	6
2.1 Introduction	6
2.2 Overview of the risk assessments	7
2.2.1 Quantitative risk assessment for <i>Escherichia coli</i> O157:H7 in ground beef hamburgers (Cassin et al., 1998)	7
2.2.2 Shiga toxin-producing <i>E. coli</i> in Ground Beef manufactured from Australian Beef: Process Improvement	10
2.2.3 Draft risk assessment of the public health impact of <i>Escherichia coli</i> O157 in ground beef (USDA-FSIS, 2001)	11
2.2.4 Risk assessment of Shiga-toxin producing <i>Escherichia coli</i> O157 in steak tartare in the Netherlands (Nauta et al., 2001)	14
2.2.5 <i>E. coli</i> O157:H7 in beef burgers produced in the Republic of Ireland: A quantitative microbial risk assessment (Teagasc, 2006)	15
2.3 Common features and lessons learned	16
3. Key management issues associated with EHEC in raw and ready-to-eat beef products	18
3.1 Introduction	18
3.2 Issues for consideration at pre-harvest stage	18
3.3 Issues for consideration at harvest stage (transport, lairage, slaughter and dressing)	19
3.4 Issues for consideration at post-harvest stage	20
3.5 Other relevant issues	21
3.5.1 Mechanically tenderized meat	21
3.5.2 Intrinsically high risk products (risk communication)	22

3.5.3 Validation, monitoring and verification, and establishment of equivalence	22
3.5.4 Hazard characterization (including dose-response)	23
4. Designing approaches for the provision of scientific advice	24
4.1 Introduction	24
4.2 Flexibility in approach to provision of advice to risk managers	24
4.3 Asking risk management questions	26
4.4 Application of risk assessment	31
4.5 Future application of existing risk assessments	31
4.5.1 Pre-harvest (Live animal)	32
4.5.2 Harvest (transport, lairage, slaughter and dressing)	32
4.5.3 Post-harvest (processing, transport, storage, distribution, preparation, consumption)	32
4.5.4 Assessment of equivalence	33
4.5.5 Dose response	33
5. Data gaps and future research needs	34
5.1 Other information gaps	34
5.1.1 Non-O157 EHECs	34
5.1.2 Dose response	35
5.1.3 Emerging issues	36
6. Conclusions and recommendations	37
7. References	39
Annex 1 – Background paper 1	
Overview of existing risk assessments on enterohaemorrhagic <i>Escherichia coli</i> in meat and meat products	42
A1. Introduction	43
A1.1. EHEC dose-response analyses	43
A1.1.1 Cassin et al., 1998	43
A1.1.2 Lammerding et al., 1999	45
A1.1.3 USDA-FSIS 2001	45
A1.1.4 Nauta et al., 2001	47
A1.1.5 Duffy et al., 2005	49
A1.1.6 Strachan et al., 2005	50
A1.2. Other modelling issues	51
A1.2.1 Addressing uncertainty and variability	51
A1.2.2 Detecting differences	53
A1.2.3 Thorough documentation	54
A1.2.4 Evidence vs intuition	54
A1.3. References	56
Appendix to Annex 1	58

Annex 2 – Background paper 2	
An overview of <i>Escherichia coli</i> O157 along the meat chain	60
A2.1. Introduction	60
A2.2. Hazard identification	61
A2.2.1 Characteristics of <i>Escherichia coli</i> O157	61
A2.2.1.1 Genus <i>Escherichia</i> and enterohaemorrhagic <i>E. coli</i>	61
A2.2.1.2 Definitions associated with <i>E. coli</i> O157	62
A2.2.1.3 Other (i.e. non-O157) serotypes of <i>E. coli</i> that can cause human foodborne illness	63
A2.2.2 Overview of <i>E. coli</i> O157 infections	64
A2.2.2.1 Incidence	64
A2.2.2.2 Routes of infection	66
A2.3. Hazard characterization	68
A2.3.1 <i>E. coli</i> O157 infections	68
A2.3.1.1 Basic mechanism of <i>E. coli</i> O157 infection	68
A2.3.1.2 Manifestations of human infection	69
A2.3.1.3 Meats associated with <i>E. coli</i> O157 infections	70
A2.3.2 Dose-response relationship	72
A2.3.2.1 Infectious dose concept	72
A2.3.2.2 ‘Probability of infection’ concept	72
A2.3.2.3 Factors affecting dose-response	72
A2.4. Exposure assessment	77
A2.4.1 Introduction	77
A2.4.2 <i>E. coli</i> O157 along the meat chain – Prevalence and incidence data	77
A2.4.2.1 Pre-harvest phase	77
A2.4.2.2 Harvest phase	81
A2.4.2.3 Post-harvest phase	86
A2.4.3 <i>E. coli</i> O157 along the meat chain – Concentration data	89
A2.4.4 Microbial ecology of <i>E. coli</i> O157 in meats	89
A2.4.5 Global trends in meat production and consumption	91
A2.4.5.1 Trends in meat production	91
A2.4.5.2 Trends in meat consumption	93

预览已结束，完整报告链接和二维码如下：

https://www.yunbaogao.cn/report/index/report?reportId=5_28785

