

Ethics in epidemics, emergencies and disasters: Research, surveillance and patient care

Training manual



World Health
Organization

Ethics in epidemics, emergencies
and disasters:
research, surveillance and patient care
Training manual



**World Health
Organization**

WHO Library Cataloguing-in-Publication Data

Ethics in epidemics, emergencies and disasters: research, surveillance and patient care: training manual.

1. Ethics. 2. Epidemics. 3. Disease Outbreaks. 4. Research. 5. Public Health Surveillance. 6. Emergencies. 7. Patient Care. I. World Health Organization.

ISBN 978 92 4 154934 9 (NLM classification: W 50)

© World Health Organization 2015

All rights reserved. Publications of the World Health Organization are available on the WHO website (www.who.int) or can be purchased from WHO Press, World Health Organization, 20 Avenue Appia, 1211 Geneva 27, Switzerland (tel.: +41 22 791 3264; fax: +41 22 791 4857; e-mail: bookorders@who.int).

Requests for permission to reproduce or translate WHO publications –whether for sale or for non-commercial distribution– should be addressed to WHO Press through the WHO website (www.who.int/about/licensing/copyright_form/en/index.html).

The designations employed and the presentation of the material in this publication do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of the World Health Organization concerning the legal status of any country, territory, city or area or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries. Dotted and dashed lines on maps represent approximate border lines for which there may not yet be full agreement.

The mention of specific companies or of certain manufacturers' products does not imply that they are endorsed or recommended by the World Health Organization in preference to others of a similar nature that are not mentioned. Errors and omissions excepted, the names of proprietary products are distinguished by initial capital letters.

All reasonable precautions have been taken by the World Health Organization to verify the information contained in this publication. However, the published material is being distributed without warranty of any kind, either expressed or implied. The responsibility for the interpretation and use of the material lies with the reader. In no event shall the World Health Organization be liable for damages arising from its use.

The document contains educational material; it is not intended to provide normative guidance and should not be misconstrued as doing so. It is expected that it will be revised in the light of lessons learnt in the fight against Ebola virus disease and other emergencies. For any comments to improve the document in future iterations, please contact: ct_ethics@who.int

The named authors alone are responsible for the views expressed in this publication.

Printed in Switzerland.

Cover photo: Camp residents line up to be relocated. United Nations mission in Haiti (MINUSTAH) peacekeepers and members of International Organization of Migration (IOM) helped relocate 1800 persons from a camp for people displaced in the January earthquake to a more secure location ahead of the arrival of tropical storm Tomas.

Photo: UN/MINUSTAH/Logan Abassi

Contents

Contributors	5
Acknowledgements	7
Introduction	9
Overview: Ethics in epidemics, emergencies and disasters	12
Research and surveillance	17
Core competence 1: Ability to analyse the boundaries between public health practice, including surveillance, and research and their ethical implications in emergencies	19
Learning objective 1.1: Distinguish between public health surveillance and public health research	21
Learning objective 1.2: Identify the scope of activities that could qualify as “research” during emergency response and would normally require research ethics review	27
Learning objective 1.3: Demonstrate understanding of the ethical principles and requirements addressed in current normative instruments relative to research and surveillance in emergencies	32
Learning objective 1.4: Identify the shortcomings of current normative instruments for use in emergency situations, and evaluate alternatives	42
Core competence 2: Ability to define adequate processes for ethics review in public health interventions, surveillance and research in emergencies	51
Learning objective 2.1: Describe “standard” procedures that should govern an ethics review of research activities, including public health research	53
Learning objective 2.2: Identify circumstances in which public health surveillance activities should possibly undergo formal ethics review	60
Learning objective 2.3: Describe possible variations to standard procedures of ethics review that are applicable to research in emergencies	66
Core competence 3: Ability to identify conflict between the common good and individual autonomy in surveillance during emergency response	75
Learning objective 3.1: Identify possible harm and benefit to individuals and communities resulting from public health practice and surveillance	77
Learning objective 3.2: Assess the factors to be considered in determining when public health surveillance requires explicit informed consent from individuals or communities	84
Learning objective 3.3: Evaluate the measures required to protect privacy and confidentiality in an emergency	91
Learning objective 3.4: Describe specific measures required to protect and collect data and biological materials during public health surveillance	98
Learning objective 3.5: Describe circumstances in which the common good might override individual autonomy during public health surveillance	104
Core competence 4: Ability to identify conflict between the common good and individual autonomy in research and clinical trials during emergency response	109
Learning objective 4.1: Identify potential harm and benefit to individuals and communities resulting from conducting research in emergencies	111

Learning objective 4.2: Discuss moral theories, and identify frameworks applicable to research in emergencies	120
Learning objective 4.3: Explain the current norms under which a waiver of consent could be deemed acceptable for research in critical care settings, and assess when they could be applicable to research in emergencies	126
Learning objective 4.4: Explain the processes required to improve informed consent to research in emergencies, with particular consideration of traditional communities and low-resource settings	131
Core competence 5: Ability to explain how publication ethics are related to public health surveillance or research in emergencies	139
Learning objective 5.1: Explain the conditions in which data gathered during public health surveillance or routine clinical management can be published as scientific knowledge	141
Learning objective 5.2: Explain what is meant by “publication bias” and how it might affect the response to emergencies	147
Learning objective 5.3: Explain the ethical obligations of researchers, public health practitioners and publishers regarding ownership of scientific data	155
Patient care	163
Core competence 6: Ability to define ethically relevant criteria for triage, resource allocation and standard of care in emergency response	165
Learning objective 6.1: Discuss ethical frameworks and criteria for triage and rationing in emergencies	167
Learning objective 6.2: Understand how criteria for standards of care and treatment can be altered during emergencies	176
Learning objective 6.3: Identify issues of benefit-sharing with communities under public health surveillance	182
Learning objective 6.4: Identify issues of equity of access to unproven treatments during research in the course of emergency response	189
Core competence 7: Ability to discuss the professional duties of health care workers during public health surveillance or research in emergencies	199
Learning objective 7.1: Distinguish three ethics frameworks: medical care ethics, public health ethics and research ethics, and explore the ways in which the values and principles that guide these frameworks diverge or overlap	201
Learning objective 7.2: Explain what is meant by “therapeutic misconception” and how it could affect the duties of health care workers in emergencies	207
Learning objective 7.3: Explain the potential conflicts of interest of health care workers participating in emergency research activities	216
Glossary	227
Annex I. List of modules and course timetables	233
Practice-oriented two-day session	236
Research-oriented two-day session	238
Annex II. Case studies	241

Contributors

Baxter, Michael, McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada

Boulanger, Renaud F., Faculty of Medicine, McGill University, Montréal, Québec, Canada

Calain, Philippe, Médecins Sans Frontières, Geneva, Switzerland

Clarinval, Caroline, Institute of Biomedical Ethics and History of Medicine, University of Zurich, Switzerland

Coleman, Carl H., Seton Hall University School of Law, Newark, New Jersey, United States of America

Gillespie, Leigh-Anne, McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada

Goodman, Kenneth, Institute for Bioethics and Health Policy, University of Miami Miller School of Medicine, Miami, Florida, United States of America

Hunt, Matthew R., School of Physical and Occupational Therapy, McGill University, Montréal, Québec, Canada

Hussein, Ghaiath, School of Health and Population Science, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, United Kingdom

Litewka, Sergio, Institute for Bioethics and Health Policy, University of Miami Miller School of Medicine, Miami, Florida, United States of America

O'Mathúna, Dónal, School of Nursing and Human Sciences, Dublin City University, Dublin, Ireland

Nouvet, Elysée, Humanitarian Health Care Ethics, McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada

Potvin, Marie-Josée, Reproductive Ethics Research Group, School of Public Health, Université de Montréal, Montréal, Québec, Canada

Selgelid, Michael J., Centre for Human Bioethics, Monash University, Clayton, Victoria, Australia

Schwartz, Lisa, Department of Clinical Epidemiology and Biostatistics, McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada

Smith, Maxwell, Dalla Lana School of Public Health and Joint Centre for Bioethics, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada

Sumathipala, Athula, Research Institute for Primary Care and Health Sciences, Faculty of Health, Keele University, Staffordshire, United Kingdom

Viens, A.M., Centre for Health, Ethics and Law, Southampton Law School, University of Southampton, Southampton, United Kingdom

Williams-Jones, Bryn, Department of Social and Preventive Medicine, School of Public Health, Université de Montréal, Montréal, Québec, Canada

预览已结束，完整报告链接和二维码如下：

https://www.yunbaogao.cn/report/index/report?reportId=5_27616

