

# A SYSTEM-WIDE APPROACH TO ANALYSING EFFICIENCY ACROSS HEALTH PROGRAMMES



Susan Sparkes  
Antonio Durán  
Joseph Kutzin



World Health  
Organization

HEALTH FINANCING DIAGNOSTICS & GUIDANCE NO 2

# **A SYSTEM-WIDE APPROACH TO ANALYSING EFFICIENCY ACROSS HEALTH PROGRAMMES**

Susan Sparkes  
Antonio Durán  
Joseph Kutzin



**World Health  
Organization**

**A System-Wide Approach to Analysing Efficiency across Health Programmes / Susan Sparkes, Antonio Durán, Joseph Kutzin**

(Health Financing Diagnostics & Guidance No 2)

ISBN 978-92-4-151196-4

© World Health Organization 2017

Some rights reserved. This work is available under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 3.0 IGO licence (CC BY-NC-SA 3.0 IGO; <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/3.0/igo>).

Under the terms of this licence, you may copy, redistribute and adapt the work for non-commercial purposes, provided the work is appropriately cited, as indicated below. In any use of this work, there should be no suggestion that WHO endorses any specific organization, products or services. The use of the WHO logo is not permitted. If you adapt the work, then you must license your work under the same or equivalent Creative Commons licence. If you create a translation of this work, you should add the following disclaimer along with the suggested citation: “This translation was not created by the World Health Organization (WHO). WHO is not responsible for the content or accuracy of this translation. The original English edition shall be the binding and authentic edition”.

Any mediation relating to disputes arising under the licence shall be conducted in accordance with the mediation rules of the World Intellectual Property Organization.

**Suggested citation.** Sparkes S., Durán A., Kutzin J. A system-wide approach to analysing efficiency across health programmes. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2017. (Health Financing Diagnostics & Guidance No 2) Licence: CCBY-NC-SA 3.0 IGO; <http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/254644/1/9789241511964-eng.pdf>.

**Cataloguing-in-Publication (CIP) data.** CIP data are available at <http://apps.who.int/iris>.

**Sales, rights and licensing.** To purchase WHO publications, see <http://apps.who.int/bookorders>. To submit requests for commercial use and queries on rights and licensing, see <http://www.who.int/about/licensing>.

**Third-party materials.** If you wish to reuse material from this work that is attributed to a third party, such as tables, figures or images, it is your responsibility to determine whether permission is needed for that reuse and to obtain permission from the copyright holder. The risk of claims resulting from infringement of any third-party-owned component in the work rests solely with the user.

**General disclaimers.** The designations employed and the presentation of the material in this publication do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of WHO concerning the legal status of any country, territory, city or area or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries. Dotted and dashed lines on maps represent approximate border lines for which there may not yet be full agreement.

The mention of specific companies or of certain manufacturers' products does not imply that they are endorsed or recommended by WHO in preference to others of a similar nature that are not mentioned. Errors and omissions excepted, the names of proprietary products are distinguished by initial capital letters.

All reasonable precautions have been taken by WHO to verify the information contained in this publication. However, the published material is being distributed without warranty of any kind, either expressed or implied. The responsibility for the interpretation and use of the material lies with the reader. In no event shall WHO be liable for damages arising from its use.

The named authors alone are responsible for the views expressed in this publication.

Printed in Switzerland

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>1. Introduction.....</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1. Background and objectives.....	1
1.2. What is a system-wide approach?.....	3
1.3. Structure of the paper .....	5
<b>2. Efficiency Analysis Approach.....</b>	<b>7</b>
2.1. Phase 1. Programmes selection and rapid appraisal .....	7
2.2. Phase 2: Analysis and diagnosis.....	9
2.3. Phase 3: Option Building .....	18
<b>3. Conclusions .....</b>	<b>20</b>
<b>References.....</b>	<b>21</b>
<b>List of Figures</b>	
Figure 1: Health Systems Framework: from functions to goals, through intermediate objectives.....	5
Figure 2: Sub-function description of health programmes.....	10
Figure 3: Health Financing for HIV, TB and Drug Abuse in Estonia, 2016.....	13
<b>List of Boxes</b>	
Box 1: Foundations of the System-Wide Approach .....	2
Box 2: Indicative guiding questions to identify programmes to be analysed .....	8
Box 3: Indicative guiding questions to conduct targeted rapid appraisal and stakeholder analysis .....	9
Box 4: Indicative guiding questions to map service delivery functions and sub-functions.....	10
Box 5: Indicative guiding questions to map financing functions and sub-functions .....	12
Box 6: Estonia – “follow the money” to identify duplications, overlaps and misalignments.....	13
Box 7: Indicative guiding questions to map generation of human and physical resources/inputs functions and sub-functions .....	14
Box 8: “Follow the drugs”: input-related cross programmematic analytical approach .....	15
Box 9: Examples of stewardship/governance inefficiencies .....	16
Box 10: Indicative guiding questions to map stewardship/governance functions and sub-functions .....	17
Box 11: Examples of cross-programmatic inefficiencies.....	18
Box 12: Indicative guiding questions for policy option development .....	19

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This issue paper is part of an overall programme of work to identify and address inefficiencies across health programmes within the overall health system. The idea for this programme of work emerged from analysis that World Health Organization (WHO) conducted in eastern Europe in the mid-2000s. The decision to clearly define this approach and expand its application came from discussions at a meeting on *Fiscal space, public financial management and health financing* convened by WHO between 9-11 December 2014. The methods and initial findings from country pilots have been presented and discussed widely, both within WHO, as well as in countries and other international forums.

Thanks are due to the following people for useful comments and suggestions on the paper: Maryam Bigdeli (WHO Department of Health Systems Governance and Financing), Michael Borowitz (The Global Fund to Fight AIDS, Tuberculosis and Malaria), and Matthew Jowett (WHO Department of Health Systems Governance and Financing), as well numerous colleagues within WHO and participants at the above referenced meetings.

Financial support for the work was provided by the UK Department for International Development (DFID) under the Program for Improving Countries' Health Financing Systems to Accelerate Progress towards Universal Health Coverage.

For further information see:  
[http://www.who.int/health\\_financing/](http://www.who.int/health_financing/)

Cover artwork: original oil painting entitled “Made in Japan” by Fabrice Sergent

# SUMMARY

## Key Messages

- Individual health programmes typically provide a strong results-orientation for a particular intervention or disease. However, even when specific programmes are well-run, if they duplicate or misalign responsibilities with one another or with the rest of the health system, they can impose high costs when viewed from a wider perspective.
- As countries seek to expand and sustain coverage in an environment of decreasing external assistance and demands to improve efficiency, a holistic perspective that embeds health programmes within the overall health system can identify areas to improve efficiency in how resources are allocated and deployed.
- By disaggregating health programmes by their four main health system functions (service delivery, financing, generation of human and physical resources/inputs, and stewardship/governance), misalignments, overlaps and duplications can be identified and addressed.
- Combining this approach with a focus on strengthening accountability for results can improve alignment of health programmes with their ultimate objectives.
- This approach can also provide a gradual, non-confrontational mechanism to facilitate dialog to shape a policy response to address identified sources of inefficiency as a means to enable sustainable improvements in effective coverage of priority interventions.

**Background:** Health programmes are able to target health interventions for specific diseases or populations, and historically, countries have relied heavily on them to deliver priority services. In low and middle income countries, this organizational approach has been reinforced by donor assistance for priority areas that often leads programmes to operate largely autonomously from one another in seeking to optimize the achievement of a specific objective. This dynamic has implications for how priority interventions are delivered and sustained, sometimes with separate organizational arrangements resulting in inefficient overlaps and duplications. As contexts change, and in particular, as responsibility for funding these programmes shifts more towards domestic resources, maintaining an array

of programmes with distinct, separate organizational arrangements is unlikely to be affordable.

**Objectives:** This approach is meant to equip countries with a framework to identify and correct inefficiencies that compromise governments' ability to improve, or at the very least sustain, the delivery of priority health services. More specifically, the aim is to look across the array of health programmes that are part of each country's health system in order to detect "cross-programmatic" duplications, overlaps and misalignments. Once these have been identified, there is a foundation to address them through changes to specific aspects of how programmes are configured and operate within the context of a country's overall health system.

**Framework and approach:** We use the *functional* approach to health systems as the basis for this approach. All health systems fulfil four basic sets of activities (*functions*) – service delivery, financing, generating human and physical resources/inputs, and stewardship/governance – to produce outputs that in turn lead to outcomes. Health programmes include at least one, and sometimes all of these functions as well. Using this framework and taking the entire health system as the unit of analysis, we lay out a step-by-step process for countries to systematically map the health

system functions and related sub-functions within and across health programmes as a means to identify possible inefficiencies. The output of the application of this approach is a policy assessment of how a country's health programmes are organized. This provides the foundation to identify potential opportunities and options to get more or better coverage from available resources through reconfiguration, which may include new investment in underlying cross-cutting aspects as relevant.



# 1 INTRODUCTION

## 1.1. BACKGROUND AND OBJECTIVES

The World Health Organization (WHO) has emphasized in recent years the critical importance of efficiency to maximize returns on health sector resources [2, 3]. Possible duplications, overlaps, misalignments and general inefficiencies in the way resources are allocated and used need to be avoided, and if identified, corrected. This focus is needed to achieve the *Sustainable Development Goals*,<sup>1</sup> which stress both the achievement of targets and the ability to maintain progress over time.

This focus on sustainability provides a way of framing the challenges currently facing many low- and middle-income countries (LMICs) with respect to their health system objectives [4]. These countries are confronted by two sets of issues that require rethinking the way health systems are financed and organized to deliver services. First, their epidemiological profiles are beginning to converge towards those of high-income countries, with a rising prevalence of chronic, non-communicable diseases, including cancer, diabetes, and

and conditions facing children and women of reproductive age.

Second, the recent financial crisis and global economic climate, combined with the Ebola outbreak, have altered donors' approach to development assistance [6]. From a financing perspective, as growth in allocations has slowed, there is a movement to support a country's overall health system development and ensure that disease-focused interventions are sustainable [7, 8]. And Ebola has shown that money is not necessarily the binding constraint to meeting population health needs in a sustainable manner, resilient to both health and economic shock [9]. Liberia, Guinea and Sierra Leone, for example, received a combined US\$ 787 million (in current PPP) from external donors in 2013 alone [10]. Some reviews have highlighted that despite these investments, fragmented global health systems and ad hoc institutions, laws and strategies that did not function coherently left these countries without capacity to respond to the crisis [11]. They also left donors re-examining how they should provide their support if effective capacity to identify, stop, and prevent future health threats is to be

预览已结束，完整报告链接和二维码如下：

[https://www.yunbaogao.cn/report/index/report?reportId=5\\_26553](https://www.yunbaogao.cn/report/index/report?reportId=5_26553)

