



Treatment and care for people with drug use disorders in contact with the criminal justice system

Alternatives to Conviction or Punishment





Disclaimer

Draft pre-launch publication for initial circulation.

United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime, March 2018. World Health Organization, March 2018 This publication has not been formally edited.

Outline

Outline II		
<u>Ackn</u>	owledgements V	
<u>Intro</u>	duction VII	
Chan	ter 1. Scope of the problem and reasons to consider the provision of treatment as an	
	native to conviction or punishment <u>1</u>	
1.1	DRUG USE AND DRUG USE DISORDERS	
1.2	BALANCING CRIMINAL JUSTICE AND HEALTH CARE RESPONSES TO DRUG USE	
1.3	PRISON POPULATION AND PRISON OVERCROWDING	
1.4	RATIONALE FOR TREATMENT AS AN ALTERNATIVE TO CONVICTION OR PUNISHMENT	
1.4.1	RATIONALE 1 : MANY PEOPLE WITH DRUG USE DISORDERS ARE IN CONTACT WITH THE CRIMINAL JUSTICE	
SYSTE	M AND MANY PEOPLE IN THE CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM HAVE A HISTORY OF DRUG USE AND DRUG USE DISORDERS	
	5	
1.4.2	RATIONALE 2: TO PROVIDE DRUG DEPENDENCE TREATMENT (INCLUDING AS AN ALTERNATIVE TO CONVICTION	
OR PU	NISHMENT) IS AN EFFECTIVE PUBLIC HEALTH STRATEGY	
1.4.3	RATIONALE 3: APPLYING ALTERNATIVES TO CONVICTION OR PUNISHMENT (INCLUDING DRUG DEPENDENCE	
TREAT	MENT FOR THOSE IN NEED) IS AN EFFECTIVE CRIMINAL JUSTICE STRATEGY	
1.4.4	RATIONALE 4: TREATMENT AS AN ALTERNATIVE TO CONVICTION OR PUNISHMENT CONTRIBUTES TO PUBLIC	
HEALT	H AND PUBLIC SAFETY IN AN INTEGRATED WAY	
1.4.5	RATIONALE 5: TREATMENT AS AN ALTERNATIVE TO CONVICTION OR PUNISHMENT IS IN LINE WITH THE	
INTER	NATIONAL LEGAL FRAMEWORK	
1.5	TAKE HOME MESSAGES	
<u>Chap</u>	ter 2. Choosing treatment and care in line with the international legal framework 16	
2.1	OFFENCES FOR WHICH PEOPLE WITH DRUG USE DISORDER ENTER THE CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM	
2.1.1	Examples of offences and possible responses according to the international legal framework 18	

2.2.1	PRINCIPLE 1. DRUG USE DISORDERS ARE A PUBLIC HEALTH CONCERN REQUIRING RESPONSES THAT ARE HEALTH-	
CENTRI	ED. INDIVIDUALS SHOULD NOT BE PUNISHED FOR THEIR DRUG USE DISORDER BUT PROVIDED WITH APPROPRIATE	
TREAT	MENT	1
2.2.2	PRINCIPLE 2. THE USE OF ALTERNATIVES TO CONVICTION OR PUNISHMENT AT ALL STAGES OF THE CRIMINAL	
JUSTICI	E SYSTEM FOR OFFENDERS WITH DRUG USE DISORDERS BASED ON AN ASSESSMENT OF ESTABLISHED CRITERIA	
SHOUL	D BE ENCOURAGED	2
2.2.3	PRINCIPLE 3. PROPORTIONALITY IS REQUIRED AT ALL STAGES OF THE PROCESS	1
2.2.4	PRINCIPLE 4. A DIVERSION TO TREATMENT SHOULD BE MADE WITH THE INFORMED CONSENT OF THE OFFENDER	ć
	26	
2.2.5	PRINCIPLE 5. THE IMPLEMENTATION OF ALTERNATIVES TO CONVICTION OR PUNISHMENT SHOULD RESPECT	
LEGAL	AND PROCEDURAL SAFEGUARDS	7
2.2.6	PRINCIPLE 6. SPECIFIC ATTENTION TO SPECIAL GROUPS AND THEIR ACCESS TO TREATMENT AS AN ALTERNATIVE	
	NVICTION OR PUNISHMENT IS REQUIRED TO AVOID DISCRIMINATION	3
2.2.7	PRINCIPLE 7. PRISONERS WITH DRUG USE DISORDERS MAY NOT BE DEPRIVED OF THEIR RIGHT TO HEALTH AND	
ARE EN	ITITLED TO THE SAME LEVEL OF TREATMENT AS THE GENERAL POPULATION	כ
2.3	IMPLEMENTING THE INTERNATIONAL LEGAL FRAMEWORK IN THE DOMESTIC LEGAL FRAMEWORK OF INDIVIDUAL	•
COUNT	ries	כ
2.3.1	COORDINATING HEALTH AND JUSTICE SECTOR PERSPECTIVES TO PROVIDE TREATMENT AS AN ALTERNATIVE TO	
CONVI	CTION OR PUNISHMENT	1
2.3.2	The degree of discretion to divert to treatment and care and point of introduction	2
2.3.3	The role of judicial actors with regard to diversion to treatment in different legal systems 34	1
2.4	TAKE HOME MESSAGES	5
Chapt	er 3. Treatment and care for offenders with drug use disorders 37	<u>7</u>
3.1	CATEGORIZATION OF DRUG USE DISORDERS	7
	HEALTH SCREENING AND ASSESSMENT OF OFFENDERS WITH DRUG USE DISORDERS IN CONTACT WITH THE	
CRIMIN	NAL JUSTICE SYSTEM	3
3.2.1	INTERCEPTION POINTS FOR SCREENING AND ASSESMENT IN THE CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM	
3.3	TREATMENT OF DRUG USE DISORDERS	
3.3.1	MANAGEMENT OF HARMFUL DRUG USE	
3.3.2	TREATMENT OF DRUG DEPENDENCE	
3.4	TAKE HOME MESSAGES	5

Chapter 4. Diversion options to treatment as an alternative to conviction or punishment 47

4.1	THE RANGE OF DIVERSION OPTIONS IN THE CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM IS BROAD
4.2	ADMINISTRATIVE RESPONSES INSTEAD OF CRIMINAL SANCTIONS
4.3	PRE-TRIAL STAGE
4.3.1	A CAUTION WITH A DIVERSION TO TREATMENT
4.3.2	SUSPENSION OF THE PROSECUTION, CONDITIONAL DISMISSAL
4.3.3	CONDITIONAL BAIL
4.4	TRIAL/ SENTENCING STAGE
4.4.1	CONDITIONALLY DEFERRED SENTENCE
4.4.2	CONDITIONALLY SUSPENDED SENTENCE
4.4.3	PROBATION
4.5	SPECIAL COURTS/DOCKETS
4.6	POST-SENTENCING STAGE
4.6.1	EARLY CONDITIONAL RELEASE OR PAROLE
4.7	TAKE HOME MESSAGES
<u>Chap</u>	ter 5. Conclusion 64
<u>Addi</u>	tional readings 70

Acknowledgements

This publication has been jointly developed by the United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime (UNODC) and the World Health Organization (WHO). UNODC staff from the Prevention, Treatment and Rehabilitation Section and the Justice Section jointly with colleagues from the WHO Management of Substance Abuse team took the lead in drafting this document. Under the overall guidance and leadership of (in alphabetical order) Giovanna Campello, Gilberto Gerra, Valérie Lebaux and Vladimir Poznyak, the following staff in particular contributed to the development of this document (in alphabetical order): Gabriele Aiello, Anja Busse, Nicolas Clark, Celso Coracini, Jee Aei (Jamie) Lee, Ana Maria Marin Delgado, Sven Pfeiffer and Elizabeth Saenz. Additional review and comments were provided by UNODC staff from the Division of Treaty Affairs, in particular John Brandolino, Xiahong Li and Catherine Muganga; and Giovanni Gallo from the Office of the Executive Director.

UNODC and WHO would like to acknowledge the following for their invaluable contribution to the process of drafting this publication:

- All Member States that have responded to a note verbale issued by UNODC and shared information about their approach to treatment for people with drug use disorders in contact with the criminal justice system as an alternative to conviction or punishment.
- The Organization of American States/Inter-American Drug Abuse Control Commission (OAS/CICAD), the African Union (AU) and the European Monitoring Centre for Drugs and Drug Addiction (EMCDDA).
- Charlotte Colman supported the development of this publication both as an expert and as a consultant and developed an earlier draft of this publication.
- All national, regional and civil society experts that participated in the meetings in October 2016 and October 2017 and provided valuable input to this publication (in alphabetical order): Uju Agomoh, Sharifa Al-Emadi, Doaa Ahmed A. Al-Fayadh, Amro Ali Al-Humaidi, Mubark Salem Al-Jamani, Nanda Aung Wan, Vicknasingnam Balasingam, David Basangwa, Richard Baum, Farid Benavides, Štěpán Bolf, Evgeny Bryun, Pietro Canuzzi, Giuseppe Carrá, Souleymane Coulibaly, Hector Diaz, Catalina Droppelmann, David Farabee, Ravindra Fernando, Gabriele Fischer, Carson Fox, Gregoire Gansou, Rommel Garcia, Dan-Bogdan Gheorghe, Tatiana Grechanaya, Kanna Hayashi, Rebecca Jesseman, Mira Kovacevic, Sarah Larney, Zhimin Liu, Antonio Lomba, Nasser Loza, Marcella Marletta, Timothy McSweeney, Rommel Moreno Manjarréz, Abdul

Mubin Sultankhil, Duc Cuu Nguyen, Pitak Obsuwan, Jane Marie Ong'olo, Merve Özcan, Bancha Panburana, Jason Payne, Roger Peters, Pamela Rodriguez, Fathia Shabo, Rehab Shabo, Nicola Singleton, Ana Sofia dos Santos Silva, Sonia Specchia, Heino Stöver, Abou Sy, Margaret Trottier, Liesbeth Vandam, Homer Venters, Alessandra Viviano, Pattarawadee Waiyapat, Vladimir Yakushev, Mana Yamamoto. In addition, the following experts participated as observers to the meetings: Abdulaziz Othman Alabdulrahman, Mohammed Ayal Al-Shammari, Ahmad Al-Zaabi, Elizabeth Ferrel Alvarez, Ricardo Martinez Covarrubias, Joseph Debono, Scott Harris, Jonathan Engerer, Yulia Kalinina, Anna Nazarova and William Wasson.

• UNODC and WHO staff in the field offices and substantive sections in headquarters as well as experts globally for providing substantive inputs.

Introduction

This initiative is developed, *inter alia*, considering resolution 58/5 of the Commission on Narcotic Drugs (CND) entitled "Supporting the collaboration of public health and justice authorities in pursuing alternative measures to conviction or punishment for appropriate drug related offences of a minor nature". The Commission on Narcotic Drugs invited UNODC - in consultation with States and, as appropriate, other relevant international and regional organizations - to "*provide guidelines or tools on the collaboration of justice and health authorities on alternative measures to conviction or punishment for appropriate drug related to conviction or punishment for appropriate drug-related offences of a minor nature"*.¹

In response to this, UNODC and the World Health Organization (WHO), launched the initiative "Treatment and Care of People with Drug Use Disorders in Contact with the Criminal Justice System: Alternatives to Conviction or Punishment" at the 59th session of the Commission on Narcotic Drugs in 2016. This initiative aims to enhance the knowledge, understanding, scope and potential for alternative measures to conviction or punishment. In line with the international drug control conventions² and other relevant international instruments, including human rights treaties and UN standards and norms in crime prevention and criminal justice,³ it explores options to divert people with drug use disorders who are in contact with the criminal justice system to treatment.

As part of this initiative, UNODC and WHO developed this publication on treatment of drug use disorders as alternatives to conviction or punishment.

This publication is intended to serve as an introductory reference, outlining the options available to States that are in line with the international drug control conventions and other relevant international instruments. The focus of the publication is on practical information for policy makers and iustice.

预览已结束, 完整报告链接和二维码如下:

https://www.yunbaogao.cn/report/index/report?reportId=5 25485

