



JAM Cox's Bazar 2021

UNHCR-WFP Joint Assessment Mission Report Cox's Bazar, Bangladesh, July 2021





Contents

| List of tables | 4 |
|--|----|
| List of figures | 4 |
| ACRONYMS | 5 |
| ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS | 7 |
| Summary findings and recommendations of the 2021 JAM | 8 |
| 1. CONTEXT | 12 |
| 1.1. Description of population and operational context | 12 |
| 1.2. Coordination mechanisms | 13 |
| 1.3. Major developments: 2019-2021 | 13 |
| 1.3.1 COVID-19 outbreak | 13 |
| 1.3.2. Fire outbreak incident | 14 |
| 2. INTRODUCTION TO JOINT ASSESSMENT MISSION (JAM) | 15 |
| Key achievements since 2019 JAM | 15 |
| 2.1. Specific objectives of Joint Assessment Mission (JAM) | 15 |
| 2.2. Joint Assessment Mission (JAM) methodology | 16 |
| 2.3. Limitations | 16 |
| 3. MAIN FINDINGS | 17 |
| 3.1. Food security and socio-economic status | 17 |
| 3.1.1. Status of food assistance | 17 |
| 3.1.2. Beneficiary perceptions on food assistance | 17 |
| 3.1.3. Vulnerability and coping strategies | 18 |
| 3.1.4. Household food consumption patterns and dietary diversity | 19 |
| 3.1.5. Recommendations | 20 |
| 3.2. Nutrition status and underlying causes | 21 |
| 3.2.1. Nutritional status of refugee population | 21 |
| 3.2.2. Causes of malnutrition | 22 |
| 3.2.3. Quality and coverage of nutrition programmes | 23 |
| 3.2.4. Integration of nutrition sites | 24 |
| 3.2.5. Nutrition programme coverage | 24 |
| 3.2.6. Programme modifications due to COVID-19 | 25 |
| 3.2.7. Coordination, capacity building and information management | 25 |
| 3.2.8. E-voucher pilot project for BSFP and beneficiary perspectives | 26 |
| 3.2.9. Recommendations | 26 |
| 3.3. Self-reliance | 26 |
| 3.3.1. Refugee skills, capacities, and potential for self-reliance | 26 |





| 3.3.2. Current self-reliance opportunities for refugees | 28 |
|---|------------|
| 3.3.3. Refugees' perceptions on self-reliance programmes | 28 |
| 3.2.4. Recommendations | 29 |
| 3.4. Cash-based interventions/transfers (CBI/CBT) | 29 |
| 3.4.1. Institutional background and advocacy requirements | 29 |
| 3.4.2. Minimum expenditure basket (MEB), market assessments and evide | |
| 3.4.3. Procurement of Financial Service Provider (FSP) | 30 |
| 3.4.4. Recommendations | 30 |
| 3.5. Energy and environment | 31 |
| 3.5.1. The impact of the refugee influx and the "greening" concept | 31 |
| 3.5.2. LPG cooking fuel programme and pressure cooker pilot | 31 |
| 3.5.3. Other environmental rehabilitation components | 33 |
| 3.5.4. Recommendations | 33 |
| 3.6. Access to basic services | 34 |
| 3.6.1. Health | 34 |
| 3.6.2. Water, sanitation and hygiene (WASH) | 35 |
| 3.6.4. Education and school feeding | 36 |
| 3.7. Protection and accountability mechanisms | 37 |
| 3.7.1. Key protection issues | 37 |
| 3.7.2. Complaints feedback and response mechanisms | 39 |
| 3.8. Data and system inter-operability | 40 |
| 3.8.1. Data sharing agreements and interoperability solutions | |
| 3.8.3. Recommendations | 41 |
| 3.9. Needs-based targeting and prioritisation | 41 |
| 3.9.1. Progress and challenges | 41 |
| Recommendations | |
| 3.10. Host communities and social cohesion | 43 |
| 3.10.1. Impact of refugee influx on the host community | |
| 3.10.2. Host community supported programmes | 43 |
| 3.10.3. Opportunities for further collaboration between refugee and host and non-food needs and social cohesion | |
| 3.11. Monitoring systems and joint assessments | 45 |
| LIST OF REFERENCES | 46 |
| ANNEX 1 Terms of Reference for Joint Assessment Mission (JAM)- Cox's Baza | ır 2021 48 |
| ANNEX 2: PRIMARY DATA COLLECTION TOOLS | 57 |
| Guide for focus group discussions with refugees | 57 |
| Guide for key informant interviews with partners and sector coordinators | 558 |





List of tables

| Table 1: Package of nutrition interventions in Cox's Bazar refugee camps | 32 |
|---|--------|
| List of figures | |
| Figure 1. Refugee population | 12 |
| Figure 2. Proportion of households below MEB with/without food assistance | 19 |
| Figure 3. Trend in food consumption score: 2017-2020 | 20 |
| Figure 4. Food expenditures break down for refugees based on REVA-4 | 20 |
| Figure 5 Prevalence of Global Acute Malnutrition (GAM) among refugee children (6-59 months) | 21 |
| Figure 6 Prevalence of stunting among refugee children (6-59 months) | 22 |
| Figure 7 Prevalence of anaemia among refugee children (6-59 months) | 22 |
| Table 1: Package of nutrition interventions in Cox's Bazar refugee camps | 23 |
| Figure 8. Sources of income for refugee households. Source: REVA 3 | 28 |
| Figure 9. Most vulnerable refugees according to REVA 2 FGDs and their proportion in the camps | Error! |





ACRONYMS

ANC Antenatal care

API Application Programme Interface

BB Building Blocks
BDT Bangladesh Taka

BIMS Biometrics Identity Management System
BSFP Blanket supplementary feeding programme

CBI Cash-based intervention

CFRM Complaints feedback and response mechanism

CIC Camp-in-Charge

CRRF Comprehensive Refugee Response Framework
EETWG Energy and environment technical working group

FAO Food and Agriculture Organisation FDMN Forcibly Displaced Myanmar Nationals

FGD Focus group discussion
FSP Financial services provider
FSTP Faecal Sludge Treatment Plant
GAM Global Acute Malnutrition
GBV Gender-based violence
GNC Global Nutrition Cluster

GTAM Global Technical Assistance Mechanism

HEB High energy biscuits

ICT Information and communication technology IEC Information, education, and communication

IGA Income Generating Activity

IOM International Organisation for Migration

iRHIS Integrated Refugee Health Information System

ISCG Inter-Sector Coordination Group

IUCN International Union for Conservation of Nature

IYCF Infant and Young Child Feeding IAM Joint Assessment Mission

J-MSNA Joint Multi-Sector Needs Assessment

JPA Joint Plan of Action

LCSI Livelihood-based coping strategy indicator

LPG Liquefied Petroleum Gas

MAM Moderate Acute Malnutrition

MEB Minimum Expenditure Basket

MOU Memorandum of Understanding

MUAC Mid-upper arm circumference

NCA Nutrition Causal Analysis

NGO Non-governmental organisation

OTP Outpatient Therapeutic care Programme

PDM Post-distribution monitoring

PNC Post-natal care

PSEA Prevention of sexual exploitation and abuse

rCSI Reduced coping strategy index

REVA Refugee influx Emergency Vulnerability Assessment RRRC Refugee Relief and Repatriation Commissioner





RUSF Ready-to-use supplementary food
RUTF Ready-to-use therapeutic food
SAFE Safe access to fuel and energy
SAM Severe Acute Malnutrition

SBCC Social and Behaviour Change Communication

SC Stabilisation centre

SEA Sexual exploitation and abuse SEG Strategic Executive Group

SENS Standardised Expanded Nutrition Survey
SFP Supplementary Feeding Programme

SMART Standardized Monitoring and Assessment for Relief and Transitions

SOP Standard operating procedure

TOR Terms of reference

TSFP Targeted supplementary feeding programme

TWG Transfer Working Group

UNHCR United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees

UNICEF United Nations Children's Fund

USD United States Dollars

WASH Water, Sanitation and Hygiene WFP World Food Programme WHO World Health Organisation

WSB Wheat Soya Blend





ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The Joint Assessment Mission (JAM) is the outcome of the coordination between the World Food Programme (WFP) and the United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees (UNHCR) with the support of the sector personnel and staff from other UN agencies (including IOM, UNICEF, FAO and WHO) and NGOs.

This partnership ensured that all relevant secondary data was provided, and technical discussions and interviews were able to take place as planned. A special mention goes to the office of the Refugee Relief and Repatriation Commissioner (RRRC), who gave authority for the assessment and primary data collection to take place in the refugee camps under strict prevention measures considering the COVID-19 pandemic situation in the country, including the camps.

UNHCR and WFP JAM team appreciates the refugee leaders, youth, men, and women who took part in key informant interviews and focus group discussions which provided important insight in terms of understanding the overall situation of refugees.





JAM 2021 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Joint Assessment Mission (JAM) 2021 for Cox's Bazar Rohingya refugee operation was conducted between April and July 2021, two years after the first Cox's Bazar JAM (2019). With a general objective of reviewing the areas of cooperation outlined in the UNHCR/WFP Memorandum of Understanding (2011), this JAM aims to review the food security and nutritional situation of the Rohingya refugee population established in Ukhia and Teknaf megacamp, including the evaluation of the adequacy of WFP and UNHCR programmes, and the identification of appropriate interventions. An internal evaluation of the 2019 Joint Plan of Action informed the specific objectives of this JAM 2021. The methodology comprised secondary data review, primary qualitative data collection and joint strategic discussions between UNHCR and WFP on the different thematic areas of cooperation.

Summary findings and recommendations of the 2021 JAM

Food security and socio-economic status

Between 2019 and 2020, vulnerability and food security indicators deteriorated, partly attributed to the COVID 19 related restrictions. By November 2020, 96 percent of refugee households were moderately to highly vulnerable and 58 percent had unacceptable food consumption, which confirmed the need of continuing blanket food assistance. The e-voucher modality scaled up from 65 percent in 2019 to all refuges in 2021, who can redeem their vouchers in 21 outlets, including 16 fresh food corners. Although WFP tops-up the e-voucher of the most vulnerable with an additional 3USD per month, 49 percent of households were not able to cover the Minimum Expenditure Basket (MEB) and in 63 percent of households monthly food rations did not last till next distribution cycle, mainly due to the limited portion size. In this situation, the persistence of refugees selling part of their food assistance, although reduced from 53 to 32 percent between 2019 and 2020, reflects refugees' need of additional assistance to cover their basic needs. Refugees, especially the most vulnerable, expressed their preference for cash assistance in addition to e-vouchers to cover other needs.

Recommendations include the revision of the e-voucher value considering the future updated MEB; the continuation of the expansion plan for e-vouchers outlets and fresh food corners; the increase in household's ability to cover basic needs by scaling up self-reliance programmes and exploring feasible assistance alternatives, as well as sensitization to optimize the use of assistance provided and generation of further evidence on food needs coverage at individual level.

Nutrition status and underlying causes

Although the nutrition status of children under five years has improved since 2017, malnutrition levels remain high. Overall global acute malnutrition (GAM) significantly reduced from 18.2 to 11.4 percent in 2020, but it is in the "high" category, while stunting prevalence (34.1 percent) continues in the "very high" category. The prevalence of anaemia among children 6-23 months (55 percent)

预览已结束,完整报告链接和二维码如下:

https://www.yunbaogao.cn/report/index/report?reportId=5 499

