

DISCUSSION PAPER

SHOCKS, ASSETS AND SOCIAL PROTECTION: A GENDERED ANALYSIS OF ECUADOR, GHANA AND KARNATAKA, INDIA



JUNE 2015
UN WOMEN

© 2015 UN Women. All rights reserved.

The views expressed in this publication are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily represent the views of UN Women, the United Nations or any of its affiliated organizations.

Paper prepared for the Economic Empowerment Section, UN Women, New York, under the TOR “Evidence-Based Policy Paper on Gender, Remittances and Development.”

Cheryl Doss is Senior Lecturer in African Studies and Economics, Yale University; Abena D. Oduro is Senior Lecturer, Department of Economics, University of Ghana, Legon; Carmen Diana Deere is Distinguished Professor of Latin American Studies and Food & Resource Economics at the University of Florida; Hema Swaminathan is Assistant Professor, Centre for Public Policy, Indian Institute of Management Bangalore, India; William Baah-Boateng is Senior Lecturer, Department of Economics, University of Ghana, Legon; and Suchitra J. Y. is Senior Research Associate, Centre for Public Policy, Indian Institute of Management Bangalore, India.

Design: DammSavage

DISCUSSION PAPER

SHOCKS, ASSETS AND SOCIAL
PROTECTION: A GENDERED
ANALYSIS OF ECUADOR, GHANA
AND KARNATAKA, INDIA



**CHERYL DOSS, ABENA D. ODURO, CARMEN DIANA DEERE,
HEMA SWAMINATHAN, WILLIAM BAAH-BOATENG AND SUCHITRA J.Y.**

New York, June 2015

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We gratefully acknowledge funding from UN Women for this analysis. Comments and suggestions from Ginette Azcona, Papa Seck, Fatma Gul Unal, Mamadou Bobo Diallo, Yassine Fall and Caren Grown were very helpful in improving the manuscript. In addition, we are grateful to Boaz Anglade for research assistance on the Ecuador analysis and to Corey Sobel for excellent editorial assistance.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	7	6.3 Intrahousehold analysis of shocks	47
1 INTRODUCTION	14	7 LOSS OF ASSETS	52
2 SHOCKS, COPING STRATEGIES, ASSETS AND GENDER: LITERATURE REVIEW	18	7.1 Direct loss of assets	52
2.1 Types of shocks households face	18	7.2 Intrahousehold patterns of asset loss	55
2.2 What are the coping strategies used by households?	19	8 COPING STRATEGIES	58
2.3 Gender, assets and shocks	20	8.1 Dis-accumulation by gender	61
3 SOCIAL PROTECTION	24	8.2 Intrahousehold analysis of coping strategies	64
3.1 Justifying and defining ‘social protection’	24	9 SHOCKS AND ACCESS TO GOVERNMENT PROGRAMMES	68
3.2 Trends in informal and formal social protection	25	10 SUMMARY OF FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION	72
3.3 Gender and social protection	26	11 POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS	74
4 METHODOLOGY AND DATA	30	REFERENCES	76
5 HOUSEHOLDS IN ECUADOR, GHANA AND KARNATAKA	34	APPENDIX: SOCIAL PROTECTION POLICIES IN ECUADOR, GHANA AND KARNATAKA, INDIA	80
5.1 Description of households	34	ENDNOTES	85
5.2 Patterns of asset ownership	38		
6 SHOCKS EXPERIENCED	42		
6.1 Description of shocks experienced	42		
6.2 Shocks by socio-economic status	43		

LIST OF ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

BPL	Below Poverty Line (India)
GDP	gross domestic product
HDI	human development index
GII	gender inequality index
IESS	Institute of Social Security (Ecuador)
ILO	International Labour Organization
LEAP	Livelihood Empowerment against Poverty (Ghana)
NGO	non-governmental organization
NHIS	National Health Insurance Scheme (Ghana)
NRHM	National Rural Health Mission (India)
NREGA	National Rural Employment Guarantee Scheme (India)
SSC	Peasant Social Security System (Ecuador)

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1	Definition of shock categories, by country
Table 2	Descriptive statistics for population
Table 3	Location and headship type by wealth quintile
Table 4	Percentage of households experiencing shocks, by location
Table 5	Household wealth quintiles, incidence and degree of severity of shocks
Table 6	Housing amenities and quality and incidence of experiencing shocks
Table 7	Distribution of shocks by who reported them within the household
Table 8	Who within the couple reports more shocks
Table 9	Percentage of shocks resulting in asset loss, by location and type of household
Table 10	Percentage of households losing assets due to the shock, by wealth quintile, conditional on experiencing a shock
Table 11	Distribution of shocks resulting in asset loss
Table 12	Distribution of type of assets lost
Table 13	Distribution of assets lost, by the sex of the owner, Ecuador
Table 14	Percentage of respondents considering their asset loss to be severe, by ownership and type of shock, Ecuador
Table 15	Incidence of coping strategies pursued, by location, conditional on having experienced a shock (%)
Table 16	Incidence of households selling/pawning assets as a coping strategy
Table 17	Sex of owners and those deciding to sell
Table 18	Distribution of shocks, by number of coping strategies employed by couples (for shocks reported by both respondents)
Table 19	Agreement between spouses on coping strategies employed (shocks reported by both)
Table 20	Shocks and social protection in Ecuador
Table 21	Shocks and social protection in Karnataka, India

预览已结束，完整报告链接和二维码如下：

https://www.yunbaogao.cn/report/index/report?reportId=5_22177

