Food Security in an Asian Transitional Economy: The Cambodian Experience

Working Paper 6

K. A. S. Murshid



Phnom Penh



United Nations Research Institute for Social Development Geneva

Phnom Penh, December 1998

Copyright © 1998 Cambodia Development Resource Institute / United Nations Research Institute for Social Development

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted in any form or by any means—electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise—without the written permission of the Cambodia Development Resource Institute and the United Nations Research Institute for Social Development.

The responsibility for information and opinions contained in signed articles rests solely with their author, and publication does not constitute an endorsement by UNRISD.

Food Security in an Asian Transitional Economy: The Cambodian Experience—Working Paper 6 December 1998

K. A. S. Murshid

Cambodia Development Resource Institute
56 Street 315, Tuol Kork, Phnom Penh (Postal address: PO Box 622, Phnom Penh, Cambodia)
Tel: (855-23) 880-734 / 368-053 Tel/Fax: (855-23) 426-103 / 366-094
e-mail: cdri@camnet.com.kh / cdri@forum.org.kh http://www.cdri.org.kh

United Nations Research Institute for Social Development Palais des Nations, 1211 Geneva 10, Switzerland Tel: (41-22) 917-3020 Fax: (41-22) 917-0650 e-mail: info@unrisd.org http://www.unrisd.org

Contents

	Abstract	i
	Glossary	V
Ch	apter One—Introduction	i
1)	Economic Trends	2
2)	Food Security and Poverty	4
3)	Organisation of the Study	/
Ch	apter Two—Conceptual Framework and Methodological Notes	9
	The Conceptual Framework	
2)	Methodological Approach	11
3)	An Approach to the Socio-Economic Stratification of Households	13
Ch	apter Three—The Status of Food Security	15
	Rice and Food Consumption	
2)	The Extent of Poverty	21
3)	Conclusion	24
Cł	napter Four—The Structure of Income	25
1)	Levels-and Sources	25
1)	Seasonal Fluctuations	27
3)	Income Composition and Distribution	21
4)	Discussion	28
CI	hapter Five—The Distribution of Assets	29
	Asset Ownership	
	hapter Six—Rice Production, Prices and Marketed Surplus	
1)	Participation and Productivity	33
2)	Marketed Surplus	34
C	hapter Seven—Market Participation	39
1)	The Land Market	39
2)	The Market for Animals	40
3)	The Tenancy Market	40
4)	The Labour Market	41
5)	The Credit Market	47
6)	Informal Credit—A Disaggregated View	50

Chapter Eight—Crises, Adjustments and Responses	
1) The Structure of Crises	52
2) Responses to Crises	56
Chapter Nine—Conclusion	59
1) Review of Findings	59
2) Policy Implications	
Appendix One—Note on Socio-Economic Classification of Households	65
Appendix Two—Basic Characteristics of the Study Villages	67
Appendix Three—Additional Data on Food Security	71
Appendix Four—Additional Data on Income Distribution	. 75
Appendix Five—Additional Data on Asset Distribution	79
Appendix Six—Additional Data on Market Participation	
Bibliography	
r	

This working paper is one of three related papers stemming from a two-year study on food security in rural Cambodia conducted by the Cambodia Development Resource Institute and the United Nations Research Institute for Social Development. The other two papers are Vincent Tickner, 'Food Security in Cambodia: A Preliminary Assessment' (Geneva: UNRISD, October 1996) Discussion Paper 80, and John P. McAndrew, 'Interdependence in Household Livelihood Strategies in Two Cambodian Villages' (Phnom Penh: CDRI, December 1998) Working Paper 7. The CDRI/UNRISD food security project was supported by a grant from DANIDA.

Abstract

This working paper details the results of a study carried out from 1996 to 1997 of 244 households in three villages selected for their contrasting characteristics. One is in a rice surplus area of Prey Veng province; the second, in Kompong Speu province, is in a drought-prone area subject to violent fluctuations in rice production; the third, on the banks of the Mekong River in Kandal province, is primarily a fishing village, but with rice and reed production as additional sources of employment and income. The study therefore covers a range of agroecological and socio-economic conditions representative of Cambodia.

The paper finds that rural Cambodians are highly dependent on rice. Rice accounts for between 80 and 84 percent of calorie intake in the three villages, and for between 38 and 50 percent of expenditure on food. In the foreseeable future, rice will continue to be at the centre of food security in Cambodia. But this does not mean that to achieve food security a household has to produce all its own rice. Food security derives from the power to obtain food, whether directly by growing it or indirectly by having something to exchange for it.

Rural poverty and mild to moderate malnutrition are a widespread, though the incidence of "extreme" poverty and severe malnutrition are relatively low. Particularly disturbing is the precarious situation of the rural poor. Compared with many other Asian countries, Cambodia has an abundance of land and the benefit of recent land reform. Yet the poor have increasingly limited access to land, and few own animals. To a large extent, they have to rely on their access to common property resources and the sale of their labour. Migration in search of wage work is desperate in some places, with women in particular taking on heavy labour in agriculture and construction in order to repay loans. As well as financing agricultural production, an important purpose of such loans, at high-rates of interest, is to deal with health emergencies, which often have catastrophic consequences.

The paper concludes with recommendations for a phased approach to policy implementation for food security. Interventions in credit and health are seen as a short- to medium-term strategy, along with policies supportive of agricultural and rural economic growth. Work on policies for land and common property resources needs to be started immediately, but these will take longer to implement. A prerequisite of an effective food security policy of any kind is the existence of suitable development institutions at the local level—in the form both of government rural development institutions (currently non-existent at this level) and non-governmental organisations (not operating in the areas of highest food insecurity).

Glossary

Acronyms Food and Agriculture Organisation **FAO** FDI foreign direct investment **GDP** gross domestic product **GRET** Groupe de Recherche et d'Echanges Technologiques Gesellschaft für Technische Zusammenarbeit GTZ **HEKS** Swiss Interchurch Aid HYV . high-yielding varieties **MCC** Mennonite Central Committee NIS National Institute of Statistics NGO non-governmental organisation **PEM** protein-energy malnutrition **RGC** Royal Government of Cambodia UNDP United Nations Development Programme UNICEF United Nations Children's Fund **UNRISD** United Nations Research Institute for Social Development WAZ weight-for-age Z-scores WFP World Food Programme WID Women in Development

Place Names

Kandal province ខេត្តកណ្ដាល Kompong Speu province ខេត្តកំពង់ស្ពឺ Phnom Penh municipality ក្រុងភ្នំពេញ Prey Veng province ខេត្តព្រៃវែង

Lvea Em district ស្រុកល្វាឯម Odong district ស្រុកឧដុង្គ Peam Ro district ស្រុកពាមរ

Babaong commune ឃុំជុះជ្រង Ksem Ksan commune ឃុំក្សេមក្សាន្ត

ឃុំព្រែកក្មេង

Babaong village

ភូមិបាជោង

Prek Kmeng village

ភូមិព្រែកក្មេង

Trapeang Prei village

ភូមិត្រពាំងប្រីយ៍

Neak Loeang

អ្នកលឿង

Acknowledgements

This study was carried out over an 18-month period, from May 1996 to December 1997, under the sponsorship of the United Nations Research Institute for Social Development and the Cambodia Development Resource Institute. I am deeply indebted to both organisations, and in particular to Peter Utting and Eva Mysliwiec, for their encouragement and support.

During the course of this study, we have accumulated many debts of gratitude to individuals and organisations without whose support and cooperation this study could never have been completed.

Very able research assistance was provided by Tia Savora and Ros Kosal. The difficult and challenging field work was competently handled by So Sovannarith, Siv Touch, Cheap Sam Oeun, Pon Dorina, Mao Vantha and Kam Phalin. I am also deeply indebted to Keo Keriya for providing vital field support during supervision missions. I also owe much to my colleagues John McAndrew and Chan Sophal, with whom very fruitful discussions were held during various stages of the study. CDRI administration needs to be congratulated for its excellent handling of logistics.

The study has benefited from comments and discussions during an initial and final workshop. Discussions with various agencies have also been fruitful. In particular, the World Health Organisation, World Food Programme, Food and Agriculture Organisation, United Nations Development Programme, Helen Keller International, GTZ, CARE and the World Bank deserve special mention. Perhaps our greatest debt lies with our village respondents, from whom we took so much and gave so little in return. If this study has a positive policy impact, then that debt will have been partly addressed.

预览已结束, 完整报告链接和二维码如下:

https://www.yunbaogao.cn/report/index/report?reportId=5 21605

