

Working Paper 2015-11

Political Economy of Citizenship Regimes

Tax in India and Brazil

Aaron Schneider

prepared for the UNRISD project on Politics of Domestic Resource Mobilization

July 2015



The United Nations Research Institute for Social Development (UNRISD) is an autonomous research institute within the UN system that undertakes multidisciplinary research and policy analysis on the social dimensions of contemporary development issues. Through our work we aim to ensure that social equity, inclusion and justice are central to development thinking, policy and practice.

UNRISD, Palais des Nations 1211 Geneva 10, Switzerland

Tel: +41 (0)22 9173020 Fax: +41 (0)22 9170650 info@unrisd.org www.unrisd.org

Copyright © United Nations Research Institute for Social Development

This is not a formal UNRISD publication. The responsibility for opinions expressed in signed studies rests solely with their author(s), and availability on the UNRISD Web site (www.unrisd.org) does not constitute an endorsement by UNRISD of the opinions expressed in them. No publication or distribution of these papers is permitted without the prior authorization of the author(s), except for personal use.

Introduction to Working Papers on The Politics of Domestic Resource Mobilization for Social Development

This paper is part of a series of outputs from the research project on The Politics of Domestic Resource Mobilization for Social Development.

The project seeks to contribute to global debates on the political and institutional contexts that enable poor countries to mobilize domestic resources for social development. It examines the processes and mechanisms that connect the politics of resource mobilization and demands for social provision; changes in state-citizen and donor-recipient relations associated with resource mobilization and allocation; and governance reforms that can lead to improved and sustainable revenue yields and services. For further information on the project visit www.unrisd.org/pdrm.

This project is funded by SIDA and UNRISD core funds.

Series Editors: Katja Hujo and Harald Braumann

Working Papers on The Politics of Domestic Resource Mobilization for Social Development

Political Economy of Citizenship Regimes: Tax in India and Brazil. Aaron Schneider, July 2015.

Mining and Resource Mobilization for Social Development: The Case of Nicaragua. Hilda María Gutiérrez Elizondo, April 2015.

Examining the Catalytic Effect of Aid on Domestic Resource Mobilization for Social Transfers in Low-Income Countries.

Cécile Cherrier, February 2015.

Tax Bargains: Understanding the Role Played by Public and Private Actors in Influencing Tax Policy Reform in Uganda. Jalia Kangave and Mesharch W. Katusiimeh, February 2015.

State-Business Relations and the Financing of the Welfare State in Argentina and Chile: Challenges and Prospects.

Jamee K. Moudud, Esteban Perez Caldentey and Enrique Delamonica, December 2014.

From Consensus to Contention: Changing Revenue and Policy Dynamics in Uganda. Anne Mette Kjær and Marianne S. Ulriksen, December 2014.

Fiscal Capacity and Aid Allocation: Domestic Resource Mobilization and Foreign Aid in Developing Countries.

Aniket Bhushan and Yiagadeesen Samy, May 2014.

The History of Resource Mobilization and Social Spending in Uganda. Marianne S. Ulriksen and Mesharch W. Katusiimeh, March 2014.

Extractive Industries, Revenue Allocation and Local Politics. Javier Arellano and Andrés Mejía Acosta. March 2014.

Obstacles to Increasing Tax Revenues in Low-Income Countries.

Mick Moore. UNRISD-ICTD Working Paper No. 15, UNRISD, International Centre for Tax and Development. November 2013.

Contents

Acknowledgments Summary Author Introduction Analytical Framework	. 11
Author Introduction	iii
Introduction	iv
	iv
Analytical Framework	. 1
I mary treat I rame work	. 2
Citizenship regimes	. 2
State capacity and tax	. 3
Tax Capacity in Brazil and India	. 4
Brazil: Expanded capacity, limited progressivity and limited universality	. 4
India: Limited capacity, limited progressivity, and limited universality	. 9
Citizenship Regimes and Tax Capacity in Brazil and India	12
Brazil: Cross-class coalitions	12
India: Shifting cross-group coalitions limit tax capacity	18
Conclusion 2	22
References	24

Acronyms

AGP Asom Gana Parishad
BJP Bharatiya Janata Party
BSP Bahujan Samaj Party

CBGA Centre for Budget and Governance Analysis

CIDE Contribuição de Intervenção no Domínio Econômico
COFINS Contribuição para o Financiamento da Seguridade Social
CPMF Contribuição Provisorio sobre Movimentação Financeira

CPSS Contribuição para o Plano de Seguridade Social

CSLL Contribuição Social Sobre Lucro Líquido

CSS Contribuição Social para Saude
CUT Centro Unico dos Trabalhadores
DMK Dravida Munnetra Kazhagam

FGTS Fundo de Garantia do Tempo de Serviço

FUNDAP Fundação do Desenvolvimento Administrativo

GDP Gross Domestic Product

ICMS Imposto Sobre Circulação de Mercadorias e Serviços

ILO International Labour Organization
IMF International Monetary Fund

INC Congress Party (Indian National Congress)

MNREGA Mahatma Gandhi Rural Employment Guarantee Scheme

NAC National Advisory Council

NRI Non-Resident Indian
OBC Other Backward Classes
PCdoB Partido Comunista do Brasil
PDT Partido Democrático Trabalhista

PFL Partido da Frente Liberal

PIS Programa de Integração Social

PL Partido Liberal

PMDB Partido do Movimento Democrático Brasileiro

PP Partido Progressista

PPB Partido Progressista Brasileiro
PPR Partido Progressista Renovador
PPS Partido Popular Socialista
PR Partido de la República

PRN Partido de la Reconstrucción Nacional

PSB Partido Socialista Brasileiro PSD Partido Social Democrático

PSDB Partido da Social Democracia Brasileira

PSOL Partido Socialismo e Liberdade
PT Partido dos Trabalhadores
PTB Partido Trabalhista Brasileiro

PV Partido Verde

RJD Rashtriya Janata Dal

RSS Rashtriya Swayamsevak Sangh

SP Samajwadi Party SC Scheduled Caste

SSS Sistema de Seguridade Social

ST Scheduled Tribe
TDP Telugu Desam Party

UPA United Progressive Alliance
WDI World Development Indicators

Acknowledgments

Useful suggestions have been provided by Katja Hujo and Harald Braumann. All mistakes, omissions or errors are the author's, and he welcomes comments and critiques at aaron.schneider@du.edu.

Summary

Patterns of change in citizenship regimes help explain differences in tax structure in Brazil and India. Changes to citizenship regimes include the mobilization of new collective identities, the substantive demands they articulate, and the stable linkages that connect them to public life. When excluded groups mobilize and gain access to citizenship regimes, they provide new sources of legitimacy to states, which can call on sacrifice from a broader range of social actors and thereby increase state capacity, for example in tax. Changes to tax can be evaluated in terms of levels of revenues, degrees of progressivity, and the universality of application of tax across sectors and regions. Since the 1970s in Brazil and India, excluded groups constituted new collective identities, articulated demands of the state, and secured stable linkages connecting state and society. These processes deepened democracy in both countries, but there were differences in the types of collective identities mobilized, the demands articulated, and the mechanisms of linkage between state and society.

In Brazil, a cross-class coalition of previously excluded working class, social movement, and middle class actors provided a social base that mobilized in the struggle for democratization and articulated demands in opposition to neoliberal stabilization during the 1990s. When growth returned in the 2000s, they were provided stable linkages to the state through social policies and institutions that made use of expanded revenues. Despite a cross-class coalition stably linked to the state through policies and institutions, particularities of Brazilian politics force the accommodation of economic and political elites, and they have blocked more significant efforts to reverse patterns of inequity in the tax system that appear both in terms of regressivity and a lack of universality.

In India, a variety of middle class, caste, regional, and identity-based interests struggled for access to the polity and displaced Congress dominance. In the context of elite consensus around neoliberal stabilization, these previously excluded groups framed their demands around recognition and benefits targeted to identity-based groups, with patterns of linkage to the state through cycling combinations of regionally-specific alliances producing a patchwork of policies, institutions, and legislation linking to the state. This pattern of competitive coalition-building has failed to generate cross-class support for increased revenues, and has exacerbated the lack of progressivity and universality in tax.

The lessons of this study shed light on the role of cross-class coalitions in supporting state canacity in the form of increased revenues. At the same time, they reveal that the

预览已结束,完整报告链接和二维码如下:

https://www.yunbaogao.cn/report/index/report?reportId=5 20814

