

Working Paper 2018–2

## **Global Approaches to Social Policy**

A Survey of Analytical Methods

Nicola Yeates

prepared for the UNRISD project

New Directions in Social Policy:

Alternatives from and for the Global South

January 2018



The United Nations Research Institute for Social Development (UNRISD) is an autonomous research institute within the UN system that undertakes multidisciplinary research and policy analysis on the social dimensions of contemporary development issues. Through our work we aim to ensure that social equity, inclusion and justice are central to development thinking, policy and practice.

UNRISD, Palais des Nations 1211 Geneva 10, Switzerland

Tel: +41 (0)22 9173020 Fax: +41 (0)22 9170650 Info.unrisd@un.org www.unrisd.org

Copyright © United Nations Research Institute for Social Development

This is not a formal UNRISD publication. The responsibility for opinions expressed in signed studies rests solely with their author(s), and availability on the UNRISD website (www.unrisd.org) does not constitute an endorsement by UNRISD of the opinions expressed in them. No publication or distribution of these papers is permitted without the prior authorization of the author(s), except for personal use.

## Introduction to Working Papers for New Directions in Social Policy: Alternatives from and for the Global South

This paper is part of a series of outputs from the research project New Directions in Social Policy: Alternatives from and for the Global South.

The project examines the emergence, nature and effectiveness of recent developments in social policy in emerging economies and developing countries. The purpose is to understand whether these are fundamentally new approaches to social policy or welfare systems which could offer alternative solutions to the critical development challenges facing low- and middle-income countries in the twenty-first century. This research aims to shed light on the policy options and choices of emerging/developing countries; how economic, social, political and institutional arrangements can be designed to achieve better social outcomes given the challenges of the contemporary development context; how the values and norms of human rights, equity, sustainability and social justice can be operationalized through "new" social policies; and how experiences, knowledge and learning about innovative approaches can be shared among countries in the South. For further information on the project visit <a href="https://www.unrisd.org/ndsp.">www.unrisd.org/ndsp.</a>

This project is funded by the Swedish International Development Cooperation Agency (Sida).

# Working Papers for New Directions in Social Policy: Alternatives from and for the Global South

Political and Institutional Drivers of Social Security Policy in South Africa Marianne S. Ulriksen and Sophie Plagerson, December 2017

Moving towards Redistributive and Transformative Social Security? Gendered Social and Economic Outcomes in South Africa Sophie Plagerson, Tessa Hochfeld and Lauren Stuart, December 2017

The Controversial Brazilian Welfare Regime Lena Lavinas, Denise Gentil and Barbara Cobo, November 2017

The Rise of Homegrown Ideas and Grassroots Voices: New Directions in Social Policy in Rwanda

Chika Ezeanya-Esiobu, May 2017

The Development of Indonesian Social Policy in the Context of Overseas Development Aid

Brook Wilmsen, Alexandra Kaasch and Mulyadi Sumarto, March 2017

Universalizing Elementary Education in India: Achievements and Challenges John Harriss, February 2017

## **Contents**

Acronyms	ii
Acknowledgements	ii
Summary	
Introduction	
Globalizations and States of Welfare: Perspectives, Debates, Findings	2
Trans-border Social Policy: Scope, Instances, Problems of Method	
Identifying transnational actors	
Identifying forms and loci of transnational social policies	
Transnational entities and social policy	
Transnational Social Policy Making in Practice: Selected Examples	
Health care and migration	
Pension reform	
Conditional cash transfers	
Conclusions	
References	

## **List of Tables**

Table 1: Typology of transnational entities and their relevance for social policy ........ 17

### **Acronyms**

ADB Asian Development Bank

AU African Union

CARICOM Caribbean Community
CCT Conditional cash transfers

DfID Department for International Development

IDB Inter-American Development BankIGOs Intergovernmental organizationsILO International Labour Organization

IT Information technology

NGO Non-governmental organizations

OECD Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development

UN United Nations

UNDP United Nations Development Programme

UNRISD United Nations Research Institute for Social Development USAID United States Agency for International Development

WB World Bank

WHO World Health Organization
WTO World Trade Organization

### **Acknowledgements**

This is a revised version of a draft Thematic Paper prepared for and presented to the UNRISD New Directions in Social Policy workshop, Johannesburg, South Africa, 23-26 May 2017. I am grateful to the UNRISD team, in particular Ilcheong Yi, and to the workshop participants for their valuable comments and feedback on earlier versions of this paper.

### **Summary**

This paper aims to contribute to a scholarly dialogue aimed at fostering understanding of the nature of border-spanning (or transnational) practices and relations that structure and connect social policy across countries in different parts of the world. The premise of the paper is that diverse kinds of border-spanning social processes permeate social life and social policy formation in myriad ways. They are woven into the very texture of social life. They are institutionalized and enduring, and they are variable, multi-faceted and pluralistic. Good research and analytical approaches and methods are needed to move beyond and behind the abstractions of globalization and to help comprehend this concretely in relation to social policy. Based on an extensive survey of published research on this topic aiming to identify the most promising analytical approaches, this paper considers the scope and results of major research initiatives across low-, middle-and high-income countries and across different policy sectors and issues.

In doing so, the discussion highlights that border-spanning forces and structures are variously enabled, governed, regulated and opposed by a range of national and transnational actors working in relation to defined socio-institutional contexts, within and across myriad domestic and cross-border spheres of global (and sub-global) governance and policy making. Three aspects of this are identified as crucial in mediating the manifestations and impacts of transnational dynamics: context, institutions and politics. Local, national and regional contexts mediate globalizing processes of convergence and unification. Heterogeneous and path-dependant changes in welfare states point to more moderate claims about the impacts of globalizations. Finally, the dynamics of political contestation, resistance and opposition determine the pace, course, timing and effects of globalizations on welfare institutions, social policy making and social policy outcomes.

This paper also highlights that the methods, approaches and perspectives studies of global and transnational social policy and their analysis are multiform and multidisciplinary. Such studies have variously focused on policy making processes in spheres of global (and sub-global) governance; the influence of transnational policy on domestic policy reform; new sub-global transnational political spaces in which social policy projects are inscribed; and connections and interdependencies forged between health and welfare institutions, providers and users through different kinds of global networks. However, there are significant research opportunities to purposively foreground the ways in which border-spanning social formations, institutions and actors relate to and influence the course of national and sub-national social policy development. This paper accordingly calls for a greater emphasis on the co-production of social policy by combinations of national and transnational actors in defined settings. As part of this, it calls for case studies within a comparative framework, which are needed for theory-building.

The broader question addressed by this paper is whether social policy can still be understood as the outcome of sociopolitical forces exclusively rooted in and playing out through domestic spheres of governance. This question points to deep-rooted and difficult analytical and methodological issues relating to the analysis of social and public policies, whether at national or transnational levels. Indeed, the delineation of border-spanning phenomena in relation to social policy raises several fundamental questions regarding received knowledge about the nation state, sovereignty and territorial autonomy embedded in models of social policy and development; the prioritization given to the study of single societies at the expense of comparative analyses capable of providing a rich source of data and theories for "developing" and

"developed" worlds alike; and the "co-production" of social policy by combinations of intersecting and interacting national and transnational actors, institutions and forces. In emphasizing the promise of an analytical approach focused on the co-production of social policies, it opens up the path for a productive dialogue among methodological nationalists and methodological transnationalists in relation to social policy and development. Such a dialogue holds out of the promise of significant conceptual, theoretical, methodological and empirical advances that in turn are capable of profound insights into the sources, dynamics and consequences of greater interconnectivity and interdependence characteristic of the conditions of contemporary social policy development and change.

#### Introduction

A perennial concern among scholars of social science is how social, political and economic globalizing processes are shaping contemporary societies. Burgeoning multidisciplinary literatures highlight the diverse activities, exchanges, links and ties routinely spanning international state borders, and the ways in which globalizing processes generate increasing interdependence between all countries. From the perspective of this paper, and the UNRISD project New Directions in Social Policy: Alternatives from and for the Global South, the key question arising is how these cross-border relations of connectivity and interdependence among populations, economies, institutions and polities around the world mediate and influence the course of social policy, welfare programmes, human welfare, and ultimately, the conditions of social development.

Several prominent kinds of border-spanning activities are central to addressing this key question. Illustratively, these include cross-border flows of capital, goods and services; cross-border flows of images, information, cultural modes and sociopolitical ideas; cross-border movements of people; and cross-border sites and spaces of political engagement and action. Such border-spanning activities permeate social life and social policy formation in myriad ways: in relation to awareness of global social and environmental issues, the processes by which laws and policies are made, the organization and content of advocacy and reform campaigns, the content, scope and nature of social provision, and the lived experiences of individuals and families, among other things.

The overall aim of this paper is to contribute to a scholarly dialogue aimed at fostering understanding of the nature of border-spanning (or transnational) practices and relations that structure and connect social policy across countries in different parts of the world. If "social life crosses, connects, underlies, alters, transcends and even transforms boundaries and borders, as well as structures, processes and agents ostensibly contained in them" (Khagram and Levitt 2005: 1), then we need good research and analytical approaches that help comprehend this *concretely* in relation to social policy, and move beyond and behind the abstractions with which this paper has, of necessity, begun. This paper has two such concretizing objectives. First, it seeks to identify diverse actors, structures, sites, spheres, processes and ideas that are related to the interactions between national and transnational social policies of various kinds. Second, it considers the implications of these globalizing processes and forces for social policy analysis.

预览已结束,完整报告链接和二维码如下

https://www.yunbaogao.cn/report/index/report?reportId=5 20741



