

Making Public Policies for SSE Sustainable, Feminist-Conscious and Transformative: Exploring the Challenges

The feminist analysis of social and solidarity economy (SSE) practices in the six cases studied in the project (see box) identified a number of limitations that may undermine the existence, scope, effectiveness and sustainability of public policies for SSE. These related to (i) the ability of SSE initiatives to construct public actions (and exert pressure) for change; (ii) a disabling political environment and lack of political commitment; (iii) the nature of institutional support in place; (iv) the processes underlying SSE policy making and legislation; and (v) institutional, political and financial capacity of state institutions to act, and policy incoherence. The research findings point in particular to the problematic way in which states fulfil their responsibilities in the field of social reproduction as a structural limitation for the development of SSE initiatives. This constitutes a major impediment to the development of feminist and transformative public policies for SSE. Overcoming it will require action from governments, SSE organizations and civil society movements.

The ability of SSE organizations to construct public actions for change

The research found that SSE organizations are in constant struggle with the state. Most of the SSE initiatives studied (Argentina, Brazil, Kerala, Karnataka, Tamil Nadu) spend a considerable amount of their time and effort lobbying and pressuring governments to fulfil their obligation to protect the rights and livelihoods of their populations. This process is carried out through intermediaries, such as non-governmental organizations (NGOs) or trade unions (Tamil Nadu; Brazil; Kerala); through direct negotiations (Argentina; Karnataka); or through street protests and other forms of direct action (Argentina; Brazil; Tamil Nadu; Karnataka). Under certain conditions, SSE organizations may play an important role in challenging the state and in shaping the public policy agenda. SSE initiatives may succeed in some cases, and they may fail in others.

While the success or failure of SSE efforts depends on multiple factors beyond the scope of this Brief to discuss, the capacity of SSE organizations to act in the first place and the nature of demands brought forward to the state are rather the product of a politicization process within SSE organizations. This process is exemplified in the capacity of members of SSE organizations to construct collective political awareness regarding unmet needs, unfulfilled rights or injustices that affect them or the wider community. The feminist analysis undertaken in the research found that the capacity of women from marginalized communities to construct a common cause and a collective identity out of their individual subjective experiences of oppression may facilitate the formation of political subjectivities and shape the women's action-oriented activities. The role of feminist movements within this process was found to be crucial, particularly in directing the gaze towards gender injustices and formulating actions for change accordingly.

Box 1: Project Overview: Feminist Analysis of Social and Solidarity Economy Practices: Views from Latin America and India

Social and solidarity economy (SSE) has a potentially important role to play in reorienting economies and societies toward more equitable, inclusive and sustainable development. But it can only be truly transformative if it also addresses the reorganization of social reproduction, integrating the political goals of gender equality and more equitable power relations. Are SSE practices moving in the right direction?

Even though women play a major role in SSE activities, until very recently the interest of both academics and policy makers in SSE has lacked a gender perspective. Feminist research on unpaid care and domestic work is only beginning to inform policy making and implementation on the ground, and SSE activities that relate to social reproduction (that is, by which society reproduces itself) are often under-recognized. The different social relationships and gender hierarchies at work in SSE typically lack a feminist analysis.

This research project aimed to contribute empirical evidence and analytical insights to begin filling these gaps in SSE research and policies from a feminist perspective.

India case studies

- Karnataka: Udupi fisherwomen association
- Kerala: SEWA domestic workers association
- Tamil Nadu: Women's organizations against sand quarries in Kancheepuram District, Palar Valley

Latin America case studies

- Argentina: Community organizations providing care services in suburbs of Buenos Aires
- Bolivia: Producer associations in Batallas, Department of La Paz
- Brazil: Agroecological and feminist collective production groups in Vale do Ribeira, State of São Paulo

The project was funded by the Swiss Network for International Studies (SNIS). Find more information about the [project here](#).



The research found that a weak politicization process and limited involvement of feminist and civil society movements with SSE may limit the capacity of SSE organizations to act and restrict the scope of their demands. Crucially, these limitations may restrict their prospects for potentially shaping public policy agendas and influencing government commitment to SSE. This process may indeed explain the differences identified in the nature of the claims put forward by SSE organizations, and to some extent, the nature of some public policies put in place, particularly those with a gender perspective and those without one.

Increased and collective political awareness among members of SSE organizations, engagement of feminist and other civil society movements with SSE, and a commitment of SSE organizations to feminism were found to be critical elements for shaping public policy agendas and promoting feminist-conscious public policy.

Political environment and political commitment

The government's political commitment to alternative development based on associative participatory governance is a key determinant of whether SSE policies, legislation and other forms of support exist, or not, in a given context. The research found that a change in the political leaning of the government in power, particularly where SSE is not fully institutionalized, may impede any progress made in terms of support or even reverse it. In Argentina, Brazil and Bolivia for instance, left-leaning governments promoted SSE through multiple means over many years. Various political processes—elections in Argentina (2015); impeachment of the president in Brazil (2016)—have negatively impacted state support for SSE in both countries. Many of the public policies introduced during the period of so-called progressive government have been halted or scaled down by the new, right-leaning governments. This has had severe implications for the women's associations studied, their women members, and the NGOs that support them, due to their dependence on the state for support and financing.

The unfolding political changes in Argentina and Brazil raise questions about the sustainability of public policy and institutional support for SSE. What strategies are needed to ensure that governments do not easily reverse “progressive” social and political reforms made by their predecessors? They also raise questions about the sustainability of SSE organizations themselves. What actions are required to achieve greater autonomy and limit dependence on the state? Moreover, there is the complex issue of how to mitigate the trade-offs between institutionalization of SSE on the one hand, autonomy, and dependence on the other. The often contradictory and contentious nature of institutional support for SSE may undermine SSE's objectives, capacity, values, ethics and ability to realize its potential. Overall, SSE and the state interact within an unequal field of power relations characterized by patriarchal, capitalist and sometimes clientelistic logic. This interaction may create relationships of dependency—with or without autonomy—or may lead to co-optation and instrumentalization of SSE initiatives and organizations.

Such inherent tensions explain both SSE public policies' limited—and at times negative—impacts, as well as SSE actors' cautious attitude towards SSE institutionalization processes. Examining the possibilities available to support SSE and facilitate its expansion without compromising its values and *raison d'être* is crucial. The role of SSE organizations and entities, civil society organizations, and progressive feminist and other social movements in addressing these issues is essential. It is also incumbent upon these actors to apply pressure on governments to address claims in the field of social reproduction; promote an enabling political environment for the expression and articulation of networks of SSE initiatives; and work in collaboration with SSE organizations to reduce their dependence on the state and facilitate their collective organization, as a network and a movement, in autonomous and transformative ways.

The nature of legal recognition and institutional support

While crucial, government commitment doesn't guarantee the effectiveness or the sustainability of public policies for SSE. Legal recognition and the existence of public policies and other support mechanisms, as vital as they may be, are not sufficient to promote and enable SSE and its development. The research found that the nature of legal recognition and institutional support is a key determinant of the reach of public policies, their transformative nature, and the extent to which they enable SSE practices to valorize women's work.

In Argentina, for instance, social economy based sectoral social policy aims at incorporating unemployed and poor segments of the population into the labour market while simultaneously fostering social cohesion. While acknowledging its importance, however, the feminist analysis carried out in the research found this to be another capitalist model for development rather than an alternative approach aiming to instate a new economic model. This



Meeting during fieldwork with women members of the NGO GUIDE in Tamil Nadu. Photo: Santhosh Kumar

take on SSE can also be observed in India. While the term SSE or social economy (SE) is not used, federal and state governments' efforts to incorporate the unemployed into labour markets through associations, self-help groups and cooperatives reflect a similar rationale. In Brazil, solidarity economy policies have been the subject of semantic disputes: if for some, SSE represents an alternative model of work organization based on self-management, for others, policies in support of the sector are the equivalent of social assistance for those excluded from the labour market. In this context, the case study of agroecology and feminist collective production groups in Vale do Ribeira proposes a vision that goes beyond the linear logic of capitalist production and accumulation, and is based instead on an alternative understanding of the economy that encompasses the reproduction of all that is necessary for life. It takes the production and consumption of food as a starting point, and aims at democratization of all the power relations involved in this reproduction. The role of feminist organizations and rural women's movements in the co-construction of public policies and their implementation is central to the development of this approach.

In some countries, SSE public policies and legal recognition are narrowly conceived, with SSE limited to social enterprises and support focusing primarily on financial sustainability and entrepreneurial management. Such characteristics do not necessarily apply to SSE initiatives that aim to transform social relations from a feminist perspective. This narrow conception also tends to be characterized by a "productive bias" that places reproductive activities in a secondary position to activities that centre on job creation. In Argentina for instance, the most important policies for the urban SSE sector focus mainly on microcredit and subsidies for the promotion of small businesses. *Ellas Hacen*, introduced in 2013 by the government of Argentina as a means to integrate women from marginalized communities into the labour market by facilitating the establishment of women's cooperatives and providing training, is one example. But this programme, and many others, tend to exclude community-based care services. However, through public pressure and negotiation, some community-based care organizations managed to gain inclusion of some of their members in the programme and receive its support. This highlights how important it is for SSE entities to gain political awareness in order to claim rights and influence the public policy agenda.

The limited attention in public policies to social reproduction issues reflects the deeply rooted structural bias inherent in capitalist societies that views women's work as a service to the community rather than labour. Indeed, feminist analysis shows that public policies are often paternalistic and informed by a gendered worldview. As such, they reaffirm the hierarchies in the organization of productive and reproductive activities that characterize capitalist societies and sustain existing gender norms. In Brazil, for instance, the value of motherhood occupies a central place in the cash transfer programme *Bolsa Familia*. In Bolivia, rural women do not consider themselves workers, despite



Fisherwomen in Udupi. Photo: Kaveri Haritas

the many domestic, agricultural and petty trade tasks that they carry out, and, as a result, they do not claim rights to public services or advocate for policy change. Moreover, public policies aiming to integrate women into the labour market while fostering entrepreneurship offer training in traditionally "female" activities such as fashion and cooking, while ignoring agriculture. These programmes, while important, may trap women within predefined roles and expectations and may limit their emancipation. Similar processes were observed in Tamil Nadu, for example, where policies and projects promoting self-help groups tend to confine women beneficiaries to "domestic ghettos" like embroidery or small livestock rearing.

Such public policies foster a reality where women's work is valued less than men's labour. In Kerala, domestic work performed by women is seen as an extension of housework that a housewife performs in her own home. As such it is undervalued, poorly remunerated compared to domestic work performed by men, and poorly regulated. Gender bias in policies can also be found in relation to fishing communities in some Indian states, which provide cash and in-kind compensation during the monsoon season when fishing is banned. Family units headed by fishermen receive such compensation, whereas fisherwomen are not considered to be heads of household and may therefore be excluded from benefits.

Processes underlying legislation and policy making

Co-construction of public policies is an important process that opens up dialogue between SSE, its allies and governments, and allows for SSE voices to be heard and represented. It also allows SSE actors to assess the political and institutional capacity of state institutions to address



Discussions and deliberations among women about women's engagement and how to attract the new generation. Changalpet, India. Photo: Santhosh Kumar

their needs effectively. Co-construction, ideally, allows for more effective SSE policy design, implementation and review. Top-down interventions, which exclude SSE actors and their allies in policy-making processes, no matter how well-intentioned they are, have downsides.

In Argentina for instance, decentralization and poor implementation of state programmes has increased the role of civil society organizations in the implementation of public policies (service provision in particular). While there are no formal processes of policy co-construction, public and political pressure applied by the community organizations of care workers studied in the research, with their allies in social and feminist movements, have influenced change in public policies. For example, when the government of the province of Buenos Aires first introduced the *Programa Unidades de Desarrollo Infantil* to support the development of childcare centres, no funding was allocated for the care workers

incorporated into the new policies. These policies further allowed executing entities, including NGOs, to develop their own proposals and programmes in the territories in which they operate. While these were important steps, the policies nevertheless remained experimental rather than universal ones, and were thus perceived as limited and bureaucratic in the eyes of some activists. Change in government since impeachment in May 2016 has severely impacted these policies. The Ministry of Agrarian Development disappeared and, although some policies and the main spaces of participation have been formally maintained, those who still participate in these processes say that their voices are not heard by the current government.

The institutional and political capacity of state institutions, and policy coherence

The capacity of state institutions to act—both in terms of

预览已结束，完整报告链接和二维码如下：

https://www.yunbaogao.cn/report/index/report?reportId=5_20729

