
### **Evaluation of UN-Habitat's**

# CITY PLANNING & DESIGN STRATEGY



	EVALUATION OF UN-HABITAT'S
	CITY PLANNING AND DESIGN STRATEGY (2012 - 2016)
	CITTELANINING AND DESIGN STRATEGY (2012 2010)
	City Planning, Extension and Design Unit and its Public Space
	Programme and Urban Planning and Design Lab
	Trogramme and Orbart lamming and Design Lab
	0.1.10040
	October 2018
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	



#### **EVALUATION OF UN-Habitat's**

## CITY PLANNING AND DESIGN STRATEGY (2012-2016)

This report is available from http://www.unhabitat.org/evaluation
First published in Nairobi in October 2018 by UN-Habitat.
Copyright © United Nations Human Settlements Programme 2018

Produced by the Evaluation Unit

United Nations Human Settlements Programme (UN-Habitat)
P. O. Box 30030, 00100 Nairobi GPO KENYA
Tel: +254-020-7623120 (Central Office)
www.unhabitat.org

#### **DISCLAIMER**

The designations employed and the presentation of the material in this publication do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of the Secretariat of the United Nations concerning the legal status of any country, territory, city or area or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries. Views expressed in this publication do not necessarily reflect those of the United Nations Human Settlements Programme, the United Nations, or its Member States. Excerpts may be reproduced without authorization, on condition that the source is indicated.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

The authors wish to acknowledge the excellent support from Laura Petrella and key members of the City Planning, Extension and Design Unit (CPEDU) team, the Evaluation Unit and the numerous UN-Habitat staff members and their partners who generously gave their time and insights through interviews and survey responses. The authors also wish to acknowledge assistants Yasmine Hafez, Susan Njambi and Federico Rota for their excellent support. Despite these myriad inputs, the authors bear full responsibility for the content of this report.

Authors: Dina Shehayeb, Peter Andreas Gotsch Design and Layout: Euclide Namema

#### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

ACI	RONY	MS AND ABBREVIATIONS	V
EXE	CUTI	VE SUMMARY	VI
1	INT	RODUCTION	1
	1.1	Background and context	1
	1.2	Mandate	3
	1.3	Overall goal	3
	1.4	Purpose and target groups	3
	1.5	Scope of the evaluation	3
	1.6	Outline of the report	3
2	OVE	RVIEW OF THE EVALUATED INTERVENTION, PROJECT OR PROGRAMME	4
	2.1	Main characteristics of the strategy including its history and development	4
	2.2	Chain of results	6
	2.3	Strategy	7
	2.4	CPEDU team	9
	2.5	Budget and timelines	10
	2.6	Partners	11
	2.7	Progress made and key outputs delivered	11
	2.8	Evaluation context	14
3	EVA	LUATION APPROACH AND METHODOLOGY	16
	3.1	Approach, design and justification	16
	3.2	Data collection and data analysis	16
	3.3	Evaluation criteria and evaluation questions	19
	3.4	Deviation from ToR and IR	20
	3.5	Limitations of the evaluation	20
4	MAIN FINDINGS		22
	4.1	Assessment of level of achievement	22
	4.2	Assessment of evaluation criteria	24
	4.3	Assessment of gender equality and other cross cutting issues	66

5	EVALUATIVE CONCLUSIONS	68
	5.1 Issues of significance – Ten dialectic pairs	68
	5.2 Rating of each evaluation criteria	72
6	LESSONS LEARNED	74
7	RECOMMENDATIONS	76
	7.1 Core recommendations (on CPEDU as a unit, including all programs)	76
	7.2 Secondary recommendations	81
8	ANNEXES	
	Annex 1: Terms of Reference	83
	Annex 2: List of Key Informants Interviewees and Focus Group Discussions	95
	Annex 3: List of CPEDU Publications Reviewed	100
	Annex 4: List of Project Sites Visted	101
	Annex 5: Bibliography	102
	Annex 6: Project Budget	104
	Annex 7: Evaluation Methodology Overview	106
	Annex 8: Summary Survey Results from Expert Group Meeting	107
	Annex 9: Summary Results from Trainings Survey	115

#### LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1. Model of change of CPEDU based on initial exchanges	6
Figure 2. Evolution of CPEDU Budget (2012-2016)	1C
Figure 3. CPEDU main funding sources (01/2012-02/2017)	1C
Figure 4. Proposed ToC for CPEDU	18
Figure 5. Localisation	68
Figure 6. Learning loop	69
Figure 7. Networking and Partnering	72
LIST OF TABLES	
Table 1. CPEDU Strategy based on SP 2014-2019 and the BWPs	7
Table 2. CPEDU Staff from 2012 to 2017 (April) by type of staff	9
Table 3. CPEDU staff from 2012 to 2017 by "component"	9
Table 4. Summary of targeted outputs of CPEDU (2012-2017)	12
Table 5. CPEDU Outputs and Level of Achievement 2012-2017 (x: years and y: outputs)	14
Table 6. Summary of the six main and the 18 subcategories (also reporting structure)	19
Table 7. 2013 Progress assessment of FA 2 UPMG – MITSIP 2009-2013 (Input of CPEDU assumed as spread) (Sources: Annual Progress Report 2013: 27, BWP 2016-2017)	22
Table 8. 2016 Progress assessment table of FA 2 (Input of CPEDU under EA2.2) (Source: Annual Progress Report 2016: 31)	23
Table 9. EGM Selected survey results on obstacles on adoption on national level (Q.13, 3 Answers were possible per respondent)	32
Table 10. Selected EGM survey results on obstacles on adoption at local level (Q.14, 3 Answers were possible per respondent)	32
Table 11. EGM Survey results on the obstacles in the implementation of plans/ policies at national and local level (Q.14, 3 Answers were possible per respondent)	33
Table 12. Suggestion on future focus of CPEDU, the LAB and the GPSP	58
Table 13. Summary table of evaluative conclusions	72

#### LIST OF BOXES

Box 1. UN-Habitats' criteria of engagement with city partners on public space projects (GPSP Annual Report 2016:47)	40
Box 2. Selected comments of survey participants demonstrating enhanced awareness and verifying confident change	46
Box 3. Partner government's voices from Nairobi reflecting change of mindset on participation, ownership and engagement	47
Box 4. Observations on changes at beneficiary level in Lotus Garden and Gautam Nagar (Mumbai)	48
Box 5. Partner government's voices from Johannesburg on public spaces demonstrating increased awareness, change of mindset and reflecting further intended and unintended effects on the ground	48
Box 6. Government voices from Myanmar demonstrating mindfulness on core principles in city planning and design	50
Box 7. Excerpts from survey question Q57	5
Box 8. Examples of scaling up and replication plans	54
Box 9. Examples of reported investments	56
Box 10. Government plans generating investment and investment plans	56
Box 11. Summary of achievements on partnerships	59
Box 12. Example of cooperation and partnering with local organisations in Nairobi	63
Box 13. 10 Issues as dialectic pairs/ negotiation lines	68
Box 14. Selected 'Productive tensions' of LAB as a basis for future model	8

预览已结束,完整报告链接和二维码如下:

https://www.yunbaogao.cn/report/index/report?reportId=5\_17994



