



The ICPD Vision: How Far Has the 11-Year Journey Taken Us?

Report from a UNFPA Panel Discussion at the IUSSP XXV International Population Conference

Tours, France

19 July 2005

The ICPD Vision: How Far Has the 11-Year Journey Taken Us?

Report from a UNFPA Panel Discussion at
the IUSSP XXV International Population
Conference

Tours, France
19 July 2005



NOTES:

The views and opinions expressed in this report are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the United Nations Population Fund (UNFPA). The papers included in this report have been published as submitted.

The designations employed and the presentation of material in this publication do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of the UNFPA concerning the legal status of any country, territory, city or area or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries. The term “country” as used in the text of this report refers, as appropriate, to territories or areas. The designations of “developed” and “developing”, as well as “least developed” and “more developed” countries are intended for convenience and do not necessarily express a judgement about the stage reached by a particular country or area in the development process.



United Nations Population Fund
220 East 42 Street
New York, N.Y. 10017, USA
www.unfpa.org

FOREWORD

I have the pleasure to issue this publication, which is the result of the great interest generated by the lively panel discussion that was organized by UNFPA at the XXV International Population Conference of the International Union for the Scientific Study of Population (IUSSP), held in Tours, France from 18 to 23 July 2005.

The UNFPA sponsored panel discussion, organized in the context of the continued assessment of the implementation of the 1994 International Conference on Population and Development (ICPD), was entitled: “ICPD Vision: How far has the eleven-year journey taken us?” It was listed as Session 39 and was the first of the seven Plenary Sessions of the Conference.

The discussions, put into context in this publication by an observer’s commentary, provided different perceptions on the impact of ICPD. Some of the views were critical of ICPD for not paying sufficient attention to population-level demography, and advocated for a much stronger focus on population dynamics and the consequences for development. The other views were supportive of the Cairo agenda, stressing some important gains in the eleven years since the ICPD, while indicating some of the constraints, including inadequate attention to health systems and the roles of wider political and cultural shifts.

The Panel Discussion would not have been possible without the strong partnership and collaboration between UNFPA and the IUSSP Secretariat and members, who generously contributed their time and efforts toward making the event a true success. I would also like to thank the distinguished panelists and the commentator for their commitment and hard work in preparing their contributions to the discussions and to this report.

I would like to acknowledge the contributions of Francois Farah, Kourtoum Nacro, Sharyn Sohlberg and Chaudhary Suleman of the Population and Development Branch of UNFPA Headquarters for organizing and coordinating the panel and for the production of this report.

I hope that you will find this publication informative and useful.

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to read 'R. Fernandez-Castilla', enclosed within a large, loopy oval flourish.

Rogelio Fernández-Castilla
Director
UNFPA Technical Support Division

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Foreword	iii	
List of Acronyms	1	
Executive Summary	2	
 Part I: Introduction and Commentary		
 The ICPD and the Panel in Context: An Introduction and Commentary <i>By John Hobcraft</i>		4
 Part II: The Panel's Contributions		
 The Continuing Challenge of Population Growth <i>By John Cleland</i>		19
 The Way Forward: Changes in Population Structure <i>By Ian Pool</i>		24
 Reproductive Health Issues and Goals <i>By Pascoal Mocumbi</i>		40
 Gender Equality and Human Rights: ICPD as a Catalyst? <i>By Gita Sen</i>		45
 Part III: About the Contributors		54

LIST OF ACRONYMS

AIDS	Acquired Immunodeficiency Syndrome
AST	Age-structural transition
CPR	Contraceptive Prevalence Rate
DAC	Development Assistance Committee
FP	Family Planning
HIV	Human Immunodeficiency Virus
ICPD	International Conference on Population and Development
ICPD+5	The five-year review of ICPD
IIASA	International Institute for Applied Systems Analysis, Vienna
IUSSP	International Union for the Scientific Study of Population
LDCs	Less Developed Countries
MDCs	More Developed Countries
MDG	Millennium Development Goal
NEPAD	New Partnership for Africa's Development
ODA	Official Development Assistance
POA	Programme of Action from ICPD
PRSP	Poverty Reduction Strategy Paper
RH	Reproductive Health
STI	Sexually Transmitted Infection
SWAP	Sector-Wide Approaches
TFR	Total Fertility Rate
UN	United Nations
UNCED	UN Conference on Environment and Development
UNFPA	United Nations Population Fund
UNGASS	UN General Assembly Special Session
WHO	World Health Organisation

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

UNFPA, the United Nations Population Fund, decided to sponsor a Panel debate at the 25th IUSSP International Population Conference on progress towards achievement of the Plan of Action following the International Conference on Population and Development (ICPD), which took place in Cairo, Egypt in 1994. The title of the debate was “The ICPD Vision: How far has the eleven-year journey taken us?” Four distinguished speakers were invited to act as panel members. Two demographers, Professors John Cleland and Ian Pool, both advocated for a much stronger focus on population dynamics and were critical of the ICPD and, to some extent, also of the Millennium Development Goals (MDGs) for not paying sufficient attention to macro-level demography. Two supporters of the Cairo agenda, Dr Pascoal Mocumbi and Professor Gita Sen, both stressed some important gains in the eleven years since the ICPD, but also stressed some of the constraints on significant progress including inadequate attention to health systems and the roles of wider political and cultural shifts.

This document begins with an introduction and commentary on the panel debate by Professor John Hobcraft, which tries to place the discussions in their wider context. The second part contains revised statements from the four Panel participants: Professors John Cleland, Ian Pool and Gita Sen; and Dr Pascoal Mocumbi. The discussion was lively and the issues raised are of huge importance. The panelists were asked to relate their remarks not only to the ICPD, but also the MDGs, particularly the first goal of halving poverty by 2015.

预览已结束，完整报告链接和二维码如下：

https://www.yunbaogao.cn/report/index/report?reportId=5_20523

