

## **Economic and Social Commission for Asia and the Pacific**

## An In-Depth Study of Broadband Infrastructure in North and Central Asia January 2014

This study has been prepared for ESCAP by Michael Ruddy and Esra Ozdemir, Terabit Consulting.

The generous funding support provided by the Russian Federation is gratefully acknowledged.

The designations employed and the presentation of the material in this publication do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of the Secretariat of the United Nations concerning the legal status of any country, territory, city, or area of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers and boundaries.

The views expressed in this publication are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the United Nations. The information contained is based primarily on interviews, published and unpublished data, and presentations by members of the industry. Terabit has made every effort to ensure the accuracy of the information. Terabit has employed sources of information which are thought to be accurate, but the accuracy of these sources cannot be guaranteed. Wherever necessary, Terabit has also made its own assumptions and estimates based on the information it has collected. Terabit makes no representation, warranty, or guarantee concerning the accuracy, timeliness, or completeness of the information provided herein. Terabit does not assume, and hereby disclaims, any and all liabilities arising from loss or damage resulting from the use of this report, whether that loss is the result of negligence, accident, or any other causes.

The study has been issued without editing. Contents of this study may be quoted or reproduced for public dissemination with due acknowledgement to ESCAP.

## **Contact:**

ICT and Development Section
Information and Communications Technology and Disaster Risk Reduction Division
United Nations Economic and Social Commission for Asia and the Pacific
United Nations Building
Rajadamnern Nok Avenue
Bangkok 10200, Thailand
E-mail: escap-idd@un.org

I.	EX	ECUTIVE SUMMARY	7	
	>	High Priority Trans-Border Projects	33	
	>	Medium Priority Trans-Border Projects	33	
	Rever	nue-Earning Opportunities for North and Central Asia	34	
	The E	urope-to-Asia Market Opportunity	35	
	Terre	strial Fiber Optic Network Construction Cost Considerations	37	
II	. PO	LICY OPTIONS FOR ENHANCING TERRESTRIAL CROSS-BORDER CONNECTIVITY	38	
	Overa	all Weakness of Existing Terrestrial Cross-Border Connectivity	39	
	Uniqu	ue North and Central Asian Policy Considerations	40	
		Need for Intervention by Governments and/or International Organizations in Order to Ensure ementation of a Pan-Asian Terrestrial Fiber Optic Network	42	
	Availa	able Public-Private Partnership Options for Financing a Pan-Asian Terrestrial Fiber Optic Network	43	
	Stake	holder Analysis	46	
	>	National Regulatory Authorities	46	
	>	Incumbent Telecommunications Operators and Major International Gateway Operators	47	
	>	Competitive Telecommunications Operators and ISPs	48	
	>	Road and Railway Authorities/Operators	50	
	Princi	iples to Guide Future Network Development	51	
	Road	map / Next Steps for Pan-Asian Terrestrial Fiber Optic Network Development	54	
I.	CO	UNTRY ANALYSIS: AZERBAIJAN	55	
	Telec	ommunications Market Overview	56	
	Regulation and Government Intervention			
	Fixed	Fixed Line Telephony Market		
	Mobi	le Telephony Market	57	
	Interr	net and Broadband Market	57	
	Dome	estic Network Connectivity in Azerbaijan	58	
	Interr	national Internet Bandwidth and Capacity Pricing in Azerbaijan	59	
	International Network Connectivity in Azerbaijan		59	
	>	Satellite Connectivity	59	
	>	Trans Asia-Europe (TAE) Line (including Azerbaijan-Georgia and Azerbaijan-Islamic Republic of Iran)	60	
	>	Azerbaijan-Russian Federation (Rostelecom / Azertelecom)	61	
	>	Azerbaijan-Russian Federation (Synterra (MegaFon) /Azertelecom)	61	
	>	Azerbaijan-Russian Federation (TransTeleKom (TTK) / Azertelecom)	62	
		Azerbaijan (Nakhchiyan Autonomous Republic)-Islamic Republic of Iran	62	

	>	Azerbaijan (Nakhchivan Autonomous Republic)-Turkey	63
	>	Europe-Persia Express Gateway (EPEG)	63
	>	Proposed International Connectivity	65
II.	CC	DUNTRY ANALYSIS: KAZAKHSTAN	68
	Teled	communications Market Overview	68
	Regu	lation and Government Intervention	69
	Fixed	l Line Telephony Market	69
	Mob	ile Telephony Market	69
	Inter	net and Broadband Market	70
	Dom	estic Network Connectivity in Kazakhstan	70
	Inter	national Internet Bandwidth and Capacity Pricing in Kazakhstan	72
	Inter	national Network Connectivity in Kazakhstan	72
	>	Satellite Connectivity	72
	>	Trans Asia-Europe Line (TAE) (Kazakhstan-China, Kazakhstan-Kyrgyzstan, and Kazakhstan-Uzbekistan)	73
	>	Kazakhstan-Kyrgyzstan (Elcat)	74
	>	Kazakhstan-Russian Federation (northern Kazakhstan)	74
	>	Kazakhstan-Russian Federation (northwestern Kazakhstan)	75
	>	Kazakhstan-Russian Federation (western Kazakhstan)	75
	>	Kazakhstan-Turkmenistan	76
	>	Kazakhstan-Uzbekistan (eastern)	76
	>	Kazakhstan-Uzbekistan (western)	77
	Kaza	khstan's Rail Connectivity	77
H	I. CC	DUNTRY ANALYSIS: KYRGYZSTAN	78
	Teled	communications Market Overview	78
	Regu	lation and Government Intervention	79
	Fixed	l Line Telephony Market	79
	Mob	ile Telephony Market	79
	Inter	net and Broadband Market	80
	Dom	estic Network Connectivity in Kyrgyzstan	80
	Inter	national Internet Bandwidth and Capacity Pricing in Kyrgyzstan	80
	Inter	national Network Connectivity in Kyrgyzstan	82
	>	Initial Satellite Connectivity	82
	>	Trans Asia-Europe Line (TAE) (Kazakhstan-Kyrgyzstan)	82
		Kyrgyzstan-Taiikistan	83

	>	China-Kyrgyzstan and Kyrgyzstan-Tajikistan (Elcat)	83
	>	Kazakhstan-Kyrgyzstan (Elcat)	84
	$\triangleright$	Kyrgyzstan-Uzbekistan	84
	>	China-Kyrgyzstan (Kyrgyztelecom)	85
	>	Proposed International Connectivity	85
IV.	CO	UNTRY ANALYSIS: RUSSIAN FEDERATION	86
Т	elec	ommunications Market Overview	86
R	Regulation and Government Intervention		87
F	ixed	Line Telephony Market	87
Ν	/lobil	le Telephony Market	87
Ir	ntern	net and Broadband Market	87
D	ome	estic Network Connectivity in Russian Federation	88
Ir	ntern	national Internet Bandwidth and Capacity Pricing in Russian Federation	88
Ir	ntern	national Network Connectivity in Russian Federation	88
	$\triangleright$	Azerbaijan-Russian Federation (Rostelecom)	89
	>	Azerbaijan-Russian Federation (Synterra (MegaFon))	89
	>	Azerbaijan-Russian Federation (TransTeleKom (TTK))	90
	>	Europe-Persia Express Gateway (EPEG)	90
	>	Kazakhstan-Russian Federation (northern Kazakhstan)	92
	>	Kazakhstan-Russian Federation (northwestern Kazakhstan)	92
	>	Kazakhstan-Russian Federation (western Kazakhstan)	93
	>	International Submarine Cables Serving Russian Federation	94
	>	Proposed International Connectivity	95
V.	CO	UNTRY ANALYSIS: TAJIKISTAN	98
Т	elec	ommunications Market Overview	99
R	egul	ation and Government Intervention	99
F	ixed	Line Telephony Market	99
Ν	/lobil	le Telephony Market	99
Ir	ntern	net and Broadband Market	100
D	ome	estic Network Connectivity in Tajikistan	100
Ir	ntern	national Internet Bandwidth and Capacity Pricing in Tajikistan	100
Ir	ntern	national Network Connectivity in Tajikistan	101
	>	Initial Satellite Connectivity	101
		Afghanistan Tajikistan	101

	>	Kyrgyzstan-Tajikistan	101
	>	Tajikistan-Uzbekistan	102
	>	China-Tajikistan (Unconfirmed)	102
VI.	CO	UNTRY ANALYSIS: TURKMENISTAN	103
Т	elecc	ommunications Market Overview	104
R	egula	ation and Government Intervention	104
F	ixed	Line Telephony Market	104
Ν	1obil	e Telephony Market	104
Ir	ntern	et and Broadband Market	105
D	ome	stic Network Connectivity in Turkmenistan	105
Ir	ntern	ational Internet Bandwidth and Capacity Pricing in Turkmenistan	105
Ir	ntern	ational Network Connectivity in Turkmenistan	106
	>	Satellite Connectivity	106
	≽ Uzb	Trans Asia-Europe Line (TAE) (including Islamic Republic of Iran-Turkmenistan and Turkmenistan- ekistan)	106
	>	Kazakhstan-Turkmenistan	
	>	Afghanistan-Turkmenistan (eastern and western links)	108
	>	Proposed International Connectivity	108
VII.	CO	UNTRY ANALYSIS: UZBEKISTAN	
Т	elecc	ommunications Market Overview	112
R	egula	ation and Government Intervention	112
F	ixed	Line Telephony Market	112
Ν	1obil	e Telephony Market	113
Ir	ntern	et and Broadband Market	114
D	ome	stic Network Connectivity in Uzbekistan	114
Ir	ntern	ational Internet Bandwidth and Capacity Pricing in Uzbekistan	116
Ir	ntern	ational Network Connectivity in Uzbekistan	116
	>	Initial Satellite Connectivity	116
	>	Microwave Connectivity	116
	>	Trans Asia-Europe Line (TAE) (Kazakhstan-Uzbekistan and Turkmenistan-Uzbekistan)	117
	>	Kazakhstan-Uzbekistan (eastern)	118
	>	Kazakhstan-Uzbekistan (western)	118
	>	Kyrgyzstan-Uzbekistan	118
		Tajikistan Uzhokistan	110

An In-Depth Study on the Broadband Infrastructure in North and Central Asia DRAFT VERSION 2.0		
>	Afghanistan-Uzbekistan	119
>	Proposed International Connectivity	110

## I. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Between June and November 2013, Terabit Consulting performed a detailed analysis of the broadband infrastructure in the seven key markets in North and Central Asia: Azerbaijan, Kazakhstan, Kyrgyzstan, Russian Federation, Tajikistan, Turkmenistan, and Uzbekistan.

The analysis revealed that the region is greatly reliant upon trans-border terrestrial fiber optic connectivity, which places it at a distinct competitive disadvantage relative to coastal markets, which benefit from submarine fiber optic cable systems offering higher capacity, greater cost effectiveness, and more extensive reach than the region's existing terrestrial connectivity. With the exception of the Russian Federation, none of the countries in this study have oceanic coastlines. Consequently, each landlocked country is at an inherent disadvantage to coastal countries with respect to access to cost-effective international bandwidth; while the cost of providing international bandwidth to coastal countries is limited to actual cable infrastructure costs, landlocked countries bear not only the actual cable infrastructure costs but also the bandwidth transit and interconnection costs imposed by their neighbors. One of the countries analyzed in the study, Uzbekistan, is "doubly-landlocked," a status which makes the country's access to affordable international bandwidth exceedingly challenging.

The analysis showed that there are existing fiber optic links across every international border of each country in the study, with the exception of the militarized border between Azerbaijan and Armenia. There is one multinational fiber optic network, the Trans Asia Europe (TAE) Line, which was implemented in the late-1990s, but most sources indicated that the system has never functioned as a coherent network in the way that it had been expected to, and that its low capacity has effectively rendered it obsolete. Each of the other international links identified in the study were low-capacity, trans-border links, typically operating at no more than a few gigabits per second.

As is the case across Asia, the bilateral, point-to-point nature of the links, combined with the disparities in IP transit prices between wealthier and less-developed markets, often allow dominant operators in wealthier nations to exploit the terrestrial links by using them to sell their own IP transit capacity, thereby imposing an additional intermediate layer of cost between consumers and the international Internet content that they wish to access. The study clearly revealed that landlocked countries' telecommunications and Internet development, and consequently their overall ICT growth, have been greatly restrained by the countries' reliance on piecemeal bilateral transborder links and lack of access to high-capacity pan-regional and intercontinental infrastructure.

A strong opportunity presents itself for a pan-regional terrestrial fiber optic network offering open access, a mesh configuration, and central management, constructed along the rights-of-way of the region's existing transport or energy infrastructure such as highways, railways, or power transmission networks. The realization of such a network would likely require the intervention of governments and international organizations.

Terabit Consulting's analysis indicates that the region would greatly benefit from a coherent panregional fiber optic infrastructure across Asia, for three primary reasons:

The development of telecommunications and Internet services and infrastructure in Kyrgyzstan, Tajikistan, Turkmenistan, and Uzbekistan, and each country's economy as a whole, has greatly suffered as a result of restricted international infrastructure.

International Internet bandwidth in each of the four countries is less than 1 Kbps per person, compared to levels of between 16 and 23 Kbps in Azerbaijan, Kazakhstan, and Russian Federation, and more than 250 Kbps in markets such as Singapore. The resulting underdevelopment of the four countries' telecommunications and Internet infrastructure has impeded and will continue to impede the overall economic development of each market and the region as a whole, with the countries' existing bilateral, trans-border fiber optic links and lack of access to cost-effective pan-regional connectivity leaving the region at a serious competitive disadvantage.

Internet growth in the four markets has suffered as the cost of a fixed broadband connection remains at between 15 and 26 percent of nominal per-capita GDP, compared to between 0.2 and 2.2 percent in Azerbaijan, Kazakhstan, and Russian Federation. The analysis also identified Internet service providers in Kyrgyzstan, Tajikistan, and Uzbekistan that distinguish between domestic and international content, with different bandwidth caps for each. Although the bandwidth caps have the short-term effect of encouraging the growth of domestic content, they risk further isolating each country from the global Internet community. Internet service providers in the region have implied that the distinction between international and domestic bandwidth is likely to remain in place as long as the region's inflated international IP transit prices persist.

Despite their developed international connectivity, the three wealthiest markets in the study (Azerbaijan, Kazakhstan, and Russian Federation) would greatly benefit from improved panregional terrestrial fiber infrastructure.

Overall, the international telecommunications and bandwidth infrastructure in Azerbaijan, Kazakhstan, and Russian Federation are better developed than in the other four countries of the study. However, a coherent, cost-effective pan-regional terrestrial fiber infrastructure would compensate for existing shortcomings of the countries' international networks and help to place them on a par more connected markets in Western Europe, Southeast Asia, and North America. Even the sole coastal country in the study with access to submarine fiber optic cables, Russian Federation, would benefit. Russian Federation is served by a only a handful of submarine cable systems, the direct connectivity of which is limited exclusively to nearby markets such as Scandinavia, Japan, and the Black Sea/Eastern Mediterranean Region. Although this submarine connectivity is supplemented by proprietary (closed-access) terrestrial networks though China and Eastern Europe, none of the existing terrestrial networks offer the high capacity, cost efficiencies, and multinational access that a coherent next-generation pan-Asian fiber infrastructure would provide.

Furthermore, the markets in the study with stronger international networks would benefit from the stimulated demand in the telecommunications and ICT sectors (as well as the overall regional

预览已结束,完整报告链接和二维码如下:

https://www.yunbaogao.cn/report/index/report?reportId=5 5811

