Unemployment Protection in Asia-Pacific

Tuesday, October 6, 2015
John Carter

Agenda

- Unemployment Protection Throughout the World
- Unemployment Rates for Asian Countries
- International Labour Organization (ILO) Conventions
- Unemployment Protection Programs in Asia-Pacific existing and proposed
- Advantages and Disadvantages of Various Unemployment Protection Schemes
- Options to improve unemployment protection schemes
- Further Issues on unemployment protection:
 - Informal Economy
 - Obstacles faced by women and youth to benefit from unemployment protection schemes

Unemployment Protection throughout the World

- Information Available: **201** countries
- Unemployment Scheme anchored in national legislation: 89 countries (44%)
- Periodic cash benefits 85 countries (95%)
 - Social Insurance (Mandatory): 73 countries (86%)
 - Subsidized Voluntary Insurance: **3** countries (4%)
 - Mandatory individual savings accounts: 1 country (1%)
 - Only Social Assistance or Employment Guarantee Scheme: **7** countries (8%)
 - Only Mandatory individual savings accounts (periodic) 1 country (1%)

Unemployment Rates for Asian Countries

<u>Table 2</u> - Unemployment Rate Statistics of Selected Asian Countries

| Country | Rank* | Unemployment Rates (estimated 2013) | | | |
|-------------|-------|-------------------------------------|----------|------------|-------------------|
| | | Total (%) | Male (%) | Female (%) | Youth (15-24) (%) |
| China | 1 | 4.6 | 5.2 | 3.8 | n/a |
| India | 2 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 10.7 |
| Indonesia | 5 | 6.3 | 5.6 | 7.3 | 22.2 |
| Japan | 11 | 4.0 | 4.3 | 3.7 | 7.9 |
| Philippines | 13 | 7.2 | 7.2 | 6.9 | 16.3 |
| Viet Nam | 15 | 3.1 | 1.8 | 2.2 | 5.5 |
| Thailand | 21 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 2.8 |
| Myanmar | 25 | 3.4 | 3.1 | 3.7 | n/a |
| South Korea | 27 | 3.1 | 3.3 | 2.8 | 9.0 |
| Malaysia | 44 | 3.2 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 10.3 |
| Taiwan | 52 | 3.8 | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Cambodia | 69 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | N/A |
| Singapore | 116 | 2.8 | 2.6 | 3.0 | 6.7 |

^[1] World Bank.2013c: Data on Unemployment Rates: available at: http://data.worldbank.org/indicator/SL.UEM.TOTL.ZS/countries

ILO Conventions

 Convention 102 (1952): Social Security (Minimum Standards)
 Convention The flagship of all ILO social security Conventions – only international instrument for all nine branches of social security;

 Convention 168 (1988): Employment Promotion and Protection against Unemployment (also Recommendation 176) Sets higher standards regarding unemployment benefits mainly but not only applicable to industrialized countries.

Unemployment Protection Programs in Asia-Pacific

- 1. Mandatory Unemployment Insurance Schemes China (1986), Japan (1947), Taiwan (1999), Republic of Korea (1995), Thailand (2004), Bahrain (2006) and Viet Nam (2009);
- 2. Unemployment Savings Schemes Jordan (2011);
- 3. Severance Payment Programs;
- 4. Non-Contributory Programs for those who are economically active but not in formal employment (in the informal economy), the working poor in formal employment and the long-term unemployed;
- 5. Programs provided by non-government agencies and social assistance.

Mandatory Unemployment Insurance Schemes -1

- Need to have clear idea of what should be achieved by adopting a UI scheme, accompanied by a realistic view of the limits of such an instrument; no UI scheme can solve the unemployment problems of a country even if it's well designed and with Active Labour Market Policies;
- Main objective for UI: to provide temporary partial income replacement to qualified insured workers who have lost their job while they seek to obtain new employment;
- All UI schemes are unique and have different characteristics in the design of diverse elements; need for stakeholders in each country to develop their own design based on national priorities, their national economy, labour market and unemployment situation.

ory Unemployment Insurance Schemes – 2

t unemployed workers and their families, employers society at large:

ters and families: avoid poverty and financial difficulties and more a finding a job that is suitable;

ctivity gains in hiring someone with the right skill set and easier to cted by UI scheme; work sharing very popular to avoid layoffs and

ngs economic stabilization during recessions and higher tax

ocial stability leading to reduced unrest, protests and crime.

ne feel moral hazard is an issue with UI schemes (as ed persons may not do enough to search for a job or may d turn down job offers) but others feel this is overblown.

