



# National Programme Submission Form – Nigeria

---

UN-REDD PROGRAMME SEVENTH POLICY  
BOARD MEETING

---

13-14 October 2011  
Berlin, Germany



In accordance with the decision of the Policy Board this document is printed in limited numbers to minimize the environmental impact of the UN-REDD Programme processes and contribute to climate neutrality. Participants are kindly requested to bring their copies to meetings. Most of the UN-REDD Programmes meeting documents are available on the internet at: [www.unredd.net](http://www.unredd.net).

# National Joint Programme (NJP)

## Submission Form to the UN-REDD Programme Policy Board

### 1. Policy Board Submission

Policy Board Meeting <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No. 7	Inter-sessional Meeting <input type="checkbox"/>
Date of Meeting: 13-14 Octobre 2011	Date of Inter-sessional Decision:

### 2. National Joint Programme Summary

#### *Details of National Joint Programme*

Country	Federal Republic of Nigeria
Programme <sup>1</sup> Title	Nigeria REDD+ Readiness Programme
Implementing Partner(s) <sup>2</sup>	Federal Ministry of Environment Forestry Commission of Cross River State

#### *Details of Participating UN Organizations' Representatives*

UN Resident Coordinator and UNDP Resident Representative Name: Mr. Daouda Touré Title: U.N. Resident Coordinator	Contact details: Telephone: N/A Email: <a href="mailto:daouda.toure@undp.org">daouda.toure@undp.org</a>
FAO: Name: Ms. Louise Lobisa Setshwaelo Title: Country Representative	Contact details: Telephone: +234-9-4618744 Email: <a href="mailto:FAO-NG@fao.org">FAO-NG@fao.org</a>
UNEP: Name: Ibrahim Thiaw Title: Director, Division of Environmental Policy	Contact details: Telephone: +254 20 7623508 Email: <a href="mailto:ibrahim.thiaw@unep.org">ibrahim.thiaw@unep.org</a>

#### *Type of National Joint Programme*

Full NJP:	Initial NJP
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> New Full NJP <input type="checkbox"/> Continuation from an Initial NJP <input type="checkbox"/> Other (explain)	<input type="checkbox"/> New Initial NJP <input type="checkbox"/> Continuation from previous funding <input type="checkbox"/> Other (explain)

<sup>1</sup> The term "programme" is used for projects, programmes and joint programmes.

<sup>2</sup> Refers to National counterparts. List the lead entity first.

### 3. Executive Summary

Nigeria's forests, which currently extend over 9.6 million hectares, have been dwindling rapidly over the past decades. The current deforestation rate is estimated at 3.7%, which is one of the highest in the world. Awareness of this issue is growing in the country and an ambitious nationwide reforestation programme with indigenous species and local involvement has been launched to simultaneously regain forest cover and improve community livelihoods across the country. In addition, Cross River State, which has more than 50% of Nigeria's remaining tropical high forests, declared a moratorium on timber extraction in 2008, now extended indefinitely, while starting to explore new environmental finance mechanisms to further protect the forests, with a priority focus on enhancing the livelihoods of forest-dependent communities and rural dwellers. These initial steps to better protect the forests with a community focus and by exploring innovative means suggest a policy shift in Nigeria in the forest domain. However, the country needs further and more intense actions, coupled with external cooperation and support, to succeed in this crusade.

The REDD+ mechanism, as agreed under ongoing international negotiations in the climate-change convention, represents a valuable opportunity for Nigeria in their efforts for forest conservation, while contributing to climate change mitigation and enhancing community development alike. The Federal Government of Nigeria, reinforced by pioneering efforts from Cross River State, started to engage in REDD+ in 2009, requesting for membership of the UN-REDD Programme, which was granted. During 2010, with support from UNDP, the country took the first, tangible steps towards REDD+: it created the first REDD+ coordination and consultation structures, both at Federal level and in Cross River State, and conducted a comprehensive Preliminary Assessment of the REDD Context in Nigeria, thus setting the basis for REDD+ planning. Then the Federal Government with Cross River State embarked on preparing, consulting and validating a national REDD+ readiness programme, which was presented at the sixth UN-REDD Policy Board in March 2011. It was praised for its innovative approach, and received a number of comments for improvement with the aim of being finally considered for funding at the seventh UN-REDD Policy Board in October 2011. As part of developing and improving its proposal, Nigeria has launched work on various REDD+ streams, notably on socio-environmental safeguards, multiple ecosystem benefits, participatory governance assessment for REDD+, and enhanced capacities for UNFCCC reporting. A Nigeria REDD+ University was planned for September 2011 (then postponed in the aftermath of the Abuja bomb attack) as a major capacity-building event that will serve to build the basis for the programme's inception, once approved.

The present proposal represents the primary means for Nigeria to access funding in order to embark on REDD+ readiness, doing so in line with negotiations under the UNFCCC, while integrating the federal, state and community levels for REDD+ in an innovative way. The country considers that the UN-REDD partnership, which was designed as a quick-start initiative to support countries to enhance capacities and stakeholder engagement for REDD+, is a suitable platform for their REDD+ readiness ambitions. The Federal Government of Nigeria and the Government of Cross River State are politically committed to develop and realise the REDD+ mechanism in the country, willing to work in synergy, combining their distinctive roles and capacities, employing participatory methods and advancing in close cooperation with the UN-REDD Programme.

The Nigeria REDD+ Readiness Programme envisions a two-track approach to achieve REDD+ readiness in Nigeria, based on: (i) the development of institutional and technical capacities at Federal level, and (ii) carrying out intense institutional, strategy-building and demonstration activities in Cross River State. In this sense, this REDD+ readiness programme will simultaneously build capacities at federal (national) and state (sub-national) levels, in a cooperative fashion. Federal-level work will provide the national policy direction for REDD+. State-level progress will inform the national process and guide pragmatically other states interested in REDD+. Cross River State has been retained as the pioneer, state-level demonstration model for a number of reasons, such as its political leadership and manifest engagement in forest conservation, its efforts to bringing the REDD+ mechanism in Nigeria, and its major potential for GHG emissions reduction from the forest sector in view that it hosts over 50% of the country's high tropical forests. The Nigeria's approach to REDD+ represents an innovative process in the world, suiting well the recent Cancun Agreements on climate change (2010).

### 3. Executive Summary

The goal of the Programme is to enable Nigeria to contribute to climate change mitigation through improved forest conservation and enhancing sustainable community livelihoods. The objective is to build the REDD+ mechanism in Nigeria, using Cross River State as a demonstration model. The Programme is structured in four outcomes, two at the Federal level and two focusing on Cross River State, as follows:

1. Improved institutional and technical capacity at the national level.
2. Framework for REDD+ expansion across Nigeria prepared.
3. Institutional and technical capacity for REDD+ in Cross River State strengthened.
4. REDD+ readiness demonstrated in Cross River State.

These outcomes are unfolded into 14 outputs and a broad set of core and indicative activities, all structured into a coherent and detailed Results Framework. The outputs and proposed activities address all REDD+ readiness components as proposed internationally, and include support for management of REDD+ readiness (both at federal level and in Cross River State), stakeholder engagement, learning and dialogue (from the federal level to communities), enhanced regional and international engagement on REDD+, a national MRV framework coupled with Cross River State's forest monitoring capacity, establishment of mechanisms for social & environmental safeguards and the promotion of multiple benefits, coordinated support for REDD+ experimental and field initiatives in Cross River State, and broad dissemination of the knowledge and best practices that will emerge throughout the implementation of the Programme. Specific outputs also provide for building a preliminary national strategy for expanding REDD+ across Nigeria (to allow the rolling out of REDD+ in new states) and a REDD+ Strategy in Cross River State (to trigger transition to REDD's phase 2).

The Programme's budget is US\$ 4 million, as currently available from the UN-REDD Programme for the Africa region. This will allow substantial progress, although some outputs will need co-financing to be fully attained. The proposed timing is two and a half years, with implementation intended to start in January 2012. The three UN-REDD agencies (namely FAO, UNDP and UNEP) will share technical and fiduciary responsibilities. The Programme will be implemented by two units: the National REDD+ Secretariat (under the aegis of the Special Climate Change Unit, and the technical support of the Federal Department of Forestry) and the Forestry Commission of Cross River State. They will have management and implementation responsibilities for outcomes 1-2 and 3-4, respectively. The National Advisory Council on REDD+ will be slightly reformed to serve as the Programme's Executive Board. The National REDD+ Technical Committee will provide technical advice and support across the entire programme activities, in close cooperation with Cross River State's REDD+ team. A number of mechanisms for stakeholder engagement, technical support and policy advice are envisaged to guide and enrich the implementation. In view of the weak capacities for REDD+ in the country and the wish of country stakeholders to progress at a good pace, the Programme will recruit a number of national and international professionals to support smooth implementation, provide high technical quality and foster dynamic stakeholder engagement.

The two-track approach of Nigeria, which combines efforts at both national (Federal) and sub-national (Cross River State) levels, will allow an integrated, multifaceted process for REDD+, from federal governance to forest communities. It is envisaged that as federal capacities grow, new Nigerian states with political commitment will join in the REDD+ process. The intended intense action in Cross River State will in turn inform the national REDD+ readiness framework and provide a model for replication in other interested states, as appropriate. This approach is not only dynamic, building from the bottom up, but it is politically appropriate since Nigeria is a federal country where states and local communities hold rights over the forests and also exercise major policy and investment roles. It is equally the most feasible approach in view that Nigeria is a vast and complex country, with uneven levels of REDD+ awareness and interest across their 36 states. This approach is thus based on a pragmatic, learning and scaling-up dynamic.

### 3. Executive Summary

The Nigeria REDD+ Readiness Programme has been developed with inputs from a range of Nigerian and international technical and policy specialists, including support from UN-REDD professionals. It was enriched by a series of consultations, UN-REDD support missions, and appraisal/validation events in Abuja, the federal capital, and in Cross River State, between November 2010 and August 2011, including forest community representatives. It was prepared under the spirit of the quick-start approach of the UN-REDD Programme, hence aiming at mobilising prompt initial funding and UN advice to explore and start building REDD+. The Programme was first presented and discussed at the sixth UN-REDD Policy Board in March 2011. It was later improved by addressing comments received from members of the UN-REDD Policy Board, from a 3-member Independent Technical Review team commissioned by the UN-REDD Secretariat, and from a number of technical and dialogue events in Nigeria (notably on governance and socio-environmental safeguards). This Programme is submitted for consideration for financial support by the UN-REDD Policy Board at its seventh meeting in October 2011. It is expected that the Programme will serve as a platform to mobilise more partners, alliances and funding for full-fledge REDD+ work across all Nigeria.

<b>4. National Programme Budget (UN-REDD Fund Source only)*</b>					
<b>Outcomes</b>	<b>National Total (\$)</b>	<b>Pass-through Funding Allocations</b>	<b>FAO (\$)</b>	<b>UNDP (\$)</b>	<b>UNEP (\$)</b>
Outcome 1: Improved institutional and technical capacity at the national level	725,000			645,000	80,000
Outcome 2: Framework for REDD+ expansion across Nigeria prepared	485,000		395,000	90,000	
Outcome 3: Institutional & technical capacity for REDD+ in Cross River State strengthened	1,615,318		662,000	953,318	
Outcome 4: REDD+ readiness demonstrated in Cross River State	913,000			555,000	358,000
Sub-total	3,738,318		1,057,000	2,243,318	438,000
Indirect Support Costs	261,682		73,990	157,032	30,660
<b>Grand Total (\$)</b>	<b>4,000,000</b>		<b>1,130,990</b>	<b>2,400,350</b>	<b>468,660</b>

NOTES:

- A breakdown of the budget allocations using the UNDG “harmonized input budget categories” must be provided to the UN-REDD Secretariat (for onward transmission to the Administrative Agent) with the signed NJP document. Please see Annex 1.
- If requested and agreed by the three participating UN Agencies and the Government, budget allocations per agency may be revised as long as the total budget allocation is not changed.

5. Secretariat Review
-----------------------

## Submission Criteria

(a)	Is the NJP consistent with the UN-REDD Programme Framework Document?	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Unclear <input type="checkbox"/>
(b)	Has the UN Resident Coordinator been involved in submitting the NJP?	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Unclear <input type="checkbox"/>
(c)	Is documentation of the in-country validation meeting(s) included?	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Unclear <input type="checkbox"/>
(d)	Did the validation include the national government counterpart (or designate)?	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Unclear <input type="checkbox"/>
(e)	Did the validation include civil society/Indigenous Peoples representation as per the UN-REDD Operational Guidance <sup>3</sup> ?	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Unclear <input type="checkbox"/>
(f)	Does the NJP comply with the required format ( <i>incl., cover page, results framework, etc.</i> )?	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Unclear <input type="checkbox"/>
(g)	Does the NJP comply with UN-REDD Rules of Procedure and relevant Operational Guidance?	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Unclear <input type="checkbox"/>
(h)	Is the required budget allocation provided (see section 3 above)?	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Unclear <input type="checkbox"/>
(i)	Are the Indirect Support Costs within the approved rate?	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Unclear <input type="checkbox"/>
(j)	Is the Programme Summary completed? ( <i>for posting on website</i> )	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Unclear <input type="checkbox"/>
(k)	Is the Progress Report included? ( <i>for supplementary funding only</i> )	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Unclear <input type="checkbox"/>

If the answer is 'No' or 'Unclear' to any question, or further explanation is required, please provide here:

<sup>3</sup> In this context the representative(s) will be determined in one of the following ways:

i. Self-determined representative(s) meeting the following requirements:

- Selected through a participatory, consultative process
  - Having national coverage or networks
  - Previous experience working with the Government and UN system
  - Demonstrated experience serving as a representative, receiving input from, consulting with, and providing feedback to, a wide scope of civil society/Indigenous Peoples organizations
- ii. Representative(s) who participated in a UN-REDD Programme scoping and/or formulation mission and sit(s) on a UN-REDD Programme consultative body established as a result of the mission
- iii. Individual(s) recognized as legitimate representative(s) of a national network of civil society and/or Indigenous Peoples organizations (e.g. the GEF Small Grants National Steering Committee or National Forest Programme Steering Committee)

## 5. Secretariat Review

### Review Issues

#### (l) Ownership of the NJP by government and non-government stakeholders

The document demonstrates clear ownership and high-level political commitment by the Government of Nigeria. The National Programme Document (NPD) has been developed by the Federal Ministry of Environment and Forestry Commission of Cross River State with the support of the UN-Agencies. According to the document, the REDD+ processes in Nigeria is mainstreamed with other Government sectors, and has taken into account existing relevant policies, strategies and regulations both at Federal and State levels.

The initial institutional structure for coordination on REDD+ is established in Nigeria, through the creation of a

- A National Advisory Council on REDD+
- A National Technical REDD+ Committee, and
- The National REDD+ Secretariat

Nigeria is also, upon their request, piloting UN-REDD+ participatory governance assessment (PGA) that aims to help the country to build REDD+ governance under a country led process.

#### (m) Level of consultation, participation and engagement

Nigeria initially drafted its National Programme planning to submit it for consideration by the sixth Policy Board. However, since the document was not submitted on time for consideration, it was only available as an information document at that meeting. The stakeholder's appraisal of the National Programme (validation meeting) was carried out in February 2011, and had the participation of more than 100 government and non-government stakeholders including civil society and indigenous people's representatives.

Since then, and as reported in this revised version of the National Programme Document, further consultation activities have been carried out including initial consultations for PGA and the development of FPIC guidelines.

#### (n) Programme effectiveness, coherence with country strategies and other relevant initiatives, and cost-efficiency

The objective of the Nigeria's National Programme is directly linked to the Nigeria's National Forest Policy (2006) and other relevant national and state level strategies. Although is not mentioned in the document, the NPD also consistent with the UN Development Assistance Framework (UNDAF, 2009-2012), in particular with the first area of concentration (governance and accountability).

预览已结束，完整报告链接和二维码如下：

[https://www.yunbaogao.cn/report/index/report?reportId=5\\_9895](https://www.yunbaogao.cn/report/index/report?reportId=5_9895)

