

Having trouble reading this email? [Download the PDF.](#)



UNEP Global Environmental Alert Service (GEAS)
Taking the pulse of the planet: connecting science with policy

Website: www.unep.org/geas

E-mail: geas@unep.org



JANUARY 2012

[Home](#)

[Subscribe](#)

[Archive](#)

[Contact](#)



Thematic Focus: Environmental governance

Transnational Environmental Crime - a common crime in need of better enforcement

Why is this issue important?

Environmental crime typically refers to any breach of a national or international environmental law or convention that exists to ensure the conservation and sustainability of the world's environment (Elliot, 2007). Five areas are considered to be of major importance: illegal trade in wildlife; illegal logging and its associated timber trade; illegal, unreported and unregulated (IUU) fishing; illegal trade in controlled chemicals (including ozone-depleting substances); and illegal disposal of hazardous waste. New types of environmental crime are also emerging, for example in carbon trade and water management (INTERPOL, 2012).



The impacts of illegal trade can be wide-ranging, varying between countries and sectors:

- Illegal logging contributes to deforestation, deprives forest communities of vital livelihoods, causes ecological problems such as flooding and is a major contributor to climate change.
- Illicit trade in ozone depleting substances contributes to a thinning ozone layer, which causes human health problems such as skin cancer and cataracts.
- Illegal fishing leads to direct losses (Gross national product (GNP), foregone revenue); indirect economic losses (income and employment in fisheries and other industries and activities in the supply chain); environmental impacts (unsustainable impacts on target species and the ecosystem itself); and socio-economic impacts (reduction in livelihood and food security) (Agnew, et al., 2010).
- Soil and water contamination from illegal hazardous waste dumping can damage ecosystems and human health and illegal trade undermines the legitimate waste treatment and disposal industries.
- Poaching may have the greatest impact on species survival. For example, rhino, tiger and

elephant populations are today threatened with extinction due to poaching and illegal trade driven by growing demand, in particular from Southeast Asia and China (OECD, 2012).

Some of the consequences of environmental crime are irreversible. The economic, environmental and health impacts of illegal trade can be sufficiently important to disrupt whole economies and ecosystems, undermining legal and environmentally sustainable activities and reducing future options for the use of resources. There can be spillover effects, with indirect consequences. For instance, in fragile states illegal trade can undermine the rule of law and can fuel armed conflict. Any serious attempt to tackle illegal trade in environmental goods would benefit from a systematic evaluation of the impacts (OECD, 2012).



Dimensions of environmental crime

Though data are scarce and experts are constantly debating the relative merits and weaknesses of every new study, the numbers reflect the potential for huge profits which is the fundamental driver of criminal trade (Haken, 2011). In its report 'Transnational Crime in the Developing World', the non-governmental research and advocacy body "Global Financial Integrity" made an estimate of the economic dimensions of transnational crime (Haken, 2011). According to this report, illicit markets for wildlife, timber and fish ranked 5th, 6th and 7th respectively in 2009. Havocscope is a private website which collates information and data on black markets for a wide range of products from various open sources. While estimates between the two vary, as shown in Table 1, both have ranked environmental crime in the top ten.

	Global Financial Integrity 2009 (USD)	Havocscope 2012 (USD)
Drugs	\$320 billion	\$323 billion
Counterfeiting Total	\$250 billion	\$540 billion
Humans	\$31.6 billion	\$32 billion
Oil	\$10.8 billion	\$53.64 billion (gas & oil)
Wildlife	\$7.8 to \$10 billion	\$19 billion
Timber	\$7 billion	\$30 billion (illegal logging)
Fish	\$4.2 to \$9.5 billion	\$23.5 billion (illegal fishing)
Waste Dumping	No data	\$11 billion
Art and Cultural Property	\$3.4 to \$6.3 billion	\$10 Billion
Small Arms & Light Weapons	\$0.3 to \$1 billion	\$1 Billion

Table 1. Rankings for various illicit markets (Haken, 2011; Havocscope, 2012).

[Full Size Image](#)

Groups involved in environmental crime

Driven by perceptions of low risk and high profit, indications have emerged of environmental crime activities attracting the greater interest of organized crime groups. Organized criminal syndicates are moving poached or illegally harvested wildlife with the help of the same sophisticated techniques and networks used for illicit trafficking in persons, weapons, drugs and other contraband (Scanlon, 2012). Groups specializing in money laundering, financial crime, thefts and drug trafficking in European Union (EU) Member States are now engaged in environmental crime as well. In general, however, substantial intelligence gaps preclude a comprehensive assessment of organized crime activity in this area (Europol, 2011).

Many of the benefits of globalization, such as easier and faster communication, the movement of finances and international travel, are used by criminal groups to carry out criminal activities. The Internet is used with increasing sophistication to facilitate trade (Europol, 2011).

Results of a research conducted by the UN Office on Drugs and Crime (UNODC) on various forms of environmental crime in Southeast Asia suggest that the criminal networks responsible for wildlife and timber trafficking, as well as the smuggling of e-waste and ozone depleting substances, use sophisticated techniques and operate between continents in order to connect cheap supply sources to wealthy markets (UNODC, 2012a).

UNODC, the Governments of Italy and Colombia and the International Criminal Police Organization (INTERPOL) decided in 2010 to jointly develop a Digest of Organized Crime Cases to provide States with a compilation of cases on organized crime accompanied by expert commentary and related good practices (UNODC, 2012b). In one Brazilian case concerning illicit logging in the Amazon Rainforest, the expert stated that the role of the corrupt officials and the professionals (e.g. engineers, topographic experts and other experts) who conspired in the issuance of the authorizations was not confined to mere facilitators in the fraudulent activities. On the contrary, since they were actively pursuing their own personal interests, they were one of the "motors" of the entire criminal scheme, promoting it just as much as the other participants, including the landowners, sawmill owners, transporters and licensed managers of the Forest Projects. The Brazilian expert also noted that environmental crimes are a significant new form of organized criminal activity alongside traditional activities such as drug trafficking.

The criminal conduct in the four cases on environmental crime mentioned in the study adheres to the same structure as in traditional organized crime cases, including a "business model" and the use of modern technologies. The groups' high level of organization requires law enforcement agencies to dedicate significant time and human, financial and technological resources to combat their criminal activities (UNODC, 2012b).

The specific Italian cases of illicit disposal of hazardous or normal waste mentioned in the 2009 report of the Italian National Anti-mafia Directorate involved fraudulent authorizations, which were frequently issued by corrupt officials (UNODC, 2012b). Europol (2011) found that illicit waste trafficking is often facilitated by cooperation with legitimate businesses, including those in the financial services, import/export and metal recycling sectors, and with specialists engaged in document forgery for the acquisition of permits. Lack of harmonization concerning the distinction between waste and second hand goods has resulted in e-waste (second-hand electrical and electronic equipment) and deregistered vehicles in particular being shipped to non-Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) and other states.



Electronic waste

Illegal activities flourish when appropriate governance and regulation is lacking, including failures to determine or protect property rights (open access problems), inappropriate or weak regulation and corruption (OECD, 2012). A specific case in point is waste. In addition to the challenges of monitoring and detecting criminal conduct, there are varying definitions or understandings from country to country of what constitutes 'waste', 'hazardous waste' and 'illegal shipments' of hazardous waste. To make things even worse, most developing countries apparently lack an adequate legal framework enabling them to effectively define, prevent and combat illegal traffic (SBC, 2012).

In general, criminal penalties for environmental crimes are relatively light. Important offences risk being excluded from the categories of organized or serious crimes for which reinforced legal tools

and law enforcement resources are usually provided (UNODC, 2012b). In international environmental law, little or no reference is made to sanctions themselves. In cases where provisions concern potential violations of norms or standards, a simple reference can be found to the obligation to punish. Only the Convention on the Law of the Sea prescribes, for a specific type of violation, the application of monetary penalties (ISISC, 2010).

What are the implications for policy?

In 2000 and 2003 respectively, UNODC adopted the UN Convention on Transnational Crime (UNTOC) and the United Nations Convention Against Corruption (UNCAC). These are two important instruments have started drawing attention to the link between environmental crime and corruption. However, resolutions adopted by these treaties only focus on wildlife, timber and forest products, with reference to the Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species of Wild Fauna and Flora (CITES) and the Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD). The resolutions are contained in the UN Economic and Social Council (ECOSOC) resolutions 2001/12 (ECOSOC, 2001), 2008/25 (ECOSOC, 2008), and 2011/36 (ECOSOC, 2011); and resolution 16/1 (UNODC, 2007) and resolution 20/5 (UNODC, 2011) of the Commission on Crime Prevention and Criminal Justice (CCPCJ).

The fact that wildlife and forest products are explicitly mentioned can be credited to the very active role of the CITES Secretariat to raise awareness of this issue at an international level, including through the creation of the International Consortium on Combating Wildlife Crime (ICWC) – comprising CITES, INTERPOL, UNODC, the World Bank and the World Customs Organization (WCO).

The Financial Action Task Force (FATF) is an inter-governmental body established in 1989 by the Ministers of its Member jurisdictions. The objectives of the FATF are to set standards and promote effective implementation of legal, regulatory and operational measures for combating money laundering, terrorist financing and other related threats to the integrity of the international financial system. Under the FATF 40 Recommendations (FATF, 2003), the FATF has included environmental crime in the "Designated Categories of Offences" as a predicate offence to money laundering.

Cooperation is taking place between intergovernmental organizations such as UNEP, UNODC, INTERPOL, WCO, CITES and the World Bank, and through the International Consortium on Combating Wildlife Crime (ICWC). Other partners and environmental Non-Governmental Organisations (NGOs) such as the International Network for Environmental Compliance and Enforcement (INECE), the Environmental Investigation Agency (EIA) and TRAFFIC are also assisting governments in combating environmental crime.

The INTERPOL General Assembly Resolution AG-2010-RES-03 states that "there is a vital need for a global response to combating environmental crime and INTERPOL should play a leading role in supporting the international enforcement efforts" (INTERPOL General Assembly, 2010). INTERPOL projects offer an interesting perspective on the various areas where criminal law can intervene to protect the environment. These include Project Predator (wild tigers), Project Wisdom (elephants and rhinoceros), Project Leaf (illegal logging and other forest crime) led by INTERPOL and UNEP; and Project Clean (illegal discharge of waste from vessels). A project on Pollution Crime Forensics aims at creating a network of environmental technical and forensic experts, promoting best practices in environmental forensics and compiling them in a manual for distribution. A project on Global E-Waste is intended to design and execute an intelligence-led global analysis of the links between organized crime and the illegal export of e-waste. INTERPOL officially launched its National Environmental Security Task Force (NEST) initiative at the 21st INTERPOL Asian

Regional Conference in September 2012. The initiative will establish a common platform and approach worldwide for national compliance and enforcement responses, so as to enhance both national and international efforts on ensuring current and future environmental security.

The World Customs Organization (WCO) continues to work closely with other international organizations involved in environmental issues such as the CITES Secretariat, the Basel Convention Secretariat and UNEP, which maximises joint efforts in the fight against environmental crime (WCO, 2009). Since 2001, the WCO has been an active partner in the Green Customs Initiatives, which is a series of collaborative activities by UNEP, WCO and a number of Multilateral Environmental Agreements (MEAs) to raise awareness to Customs officers about environment crime.

UNODC assists Governments to fight corruption, strengthen law enforcement and support sustainable livelihoods. Most of the initiatives, however, suffer from a lack of donor awareness regarding the magnitude of the problem, and consequently lack adequate funding (UNODC, 2012c).

The concept of intelligence-led enforcement, alongside preventative policing, is gradually being embraced and recent commitments in India and by the international community suggests that a new era of wildlife crime enforcement is being ushered in. The Wildlife Crime Control Bureau (WCCB) of India has collaborated with NGO specialists to deliver actionable intelligence to local forces resulting in seizures, arrests and the disruption of criminal networks at a domestic level (EIA, 2008). There are signs that consumer markets are finally waking up to the role that demand plays in driving illegal logging. In May 2008, the US Congress amended the 1900 Lacey Act (for the protection of both plants and wildlife through civil and criminal penalties for a wide array of violations) and agreed on a landmark new law that allows prosecutions for violations of foreign law. The amendment makes it an offence to import or sell illegally-harvested timber and wood products in the USA.



预览已结束，完整报告链接和二维码如下：

https://www.yunbaogao.cn/report/index/report?reportId=5_9201

