Indicator 3 Quantification and classification of beach litter items

Please provide scientific background for the indicator including reference materials

Background:

The problem of marine litter and the associated environmental and social impacts are attracting growing interests from diverse stakeholders including policy makers, civil societies and academia. Monitoring on marine litter is an important step towards understanding the trends, sources and types of litter for an effective management. One of the most practical methods for marine litter monitoring is beach litter survey which does not require trawling. It should be noted that beach survey is normally on macro litter and thus microplastics are not monitored by this method.

Methods:

Based on the <u>UNEP/IOC guideline</u>, quantification (weight / volume / count) and classification per unit area / length of beach can be monitored.

In NOWPAP area, monitoring is based on macro beach litter survey. Survey distance / area and total weight per classification of waste (plastic, rubber, paper, cloth, metals etc) are reported. OSPAR also has a similar beach litter monitoring programme based on the sampling units of 100m or 1km. Number of items per classification rather than weight is reported. HELCOM's MARLIN project also used beach litter survey based on the <a href="https://www.unit.nih.gov/unit.gov

Alternative methods:

According to <u>UNEP/IOC guideline</u>, monitoring methods can be categorized into:

- 1) Beach litter surveys.
- 2) Benthic litter surveys, which include:
 - a) Observations made by divers, submersibles or camera tows.
 - b) Collection of litter via benthic trawls.
- 3) Floating litter surveys, which include:
 - a) Observations made from ship or aerial based platforms.
 - b) Collection of litter via surface trawls.

EC guidance on Monitoring of Marine Litter in European Seas identified additional methods:

- 4) Biota ingestion / entanglement
- 5) Micro particles

For example, OSPAR uses <u>Plastic Particles in Fulmars' Stomachs</u>, and Seabed Litter in addition to Beach Litter survey. MAP is also aiming to adopt the following indicators, which include survey on microplastics in water column.

- Common Indicator 22: Trends in the amount of litter washed ashore and/or deposited on coastlines (EO10);
- Common Indicator 23: Trends in the amount of litter in the water column including microplastics and on the seafloor (EO10)

Reference:

<u>UNEP/IOC Guideline</u>s on Survey and Monitoring of Marine Litter <u>OSPAR guideline for monitoring marine litter on the beaches in the OSPAR Maritime Area</u> EC guidance on Monitoring of Marine Litter in European Seas Please indicate monitoring points and frequency (maps may be attached)

NOWPAP: NPEC marine litter monitoring program covers about 50 sampling sites in the region, once a year MAP: To be determined by the COP

HELCOM: Guideline is going to be developed once a core indicator on marine litter is adopted by the end of

OSPAR: Over 50 beaches across the OSPAR region. Reference beaches are monitored 4 times a year.

Please indicate organisation(s) monitoring the indicator

Contracting parties to the Regional Seas Conventions and Action Plans.

Please indicate the data source(s), spatial coverage, temporal coverage, frequency of updates

HELCOM MARLIN project data: web-based database

NOWPAP database: temporal coverage (2000-2013) depending on the countries

OSPAR Beach Litter Database (2001- ongoing)



Annex 1: Original Submissions from RSCAPs

1.1 HELCOM

Indicator 3 Quantification and classification of beach litter items

Please provide scientific background for the indicator including reference materials

Surveys of litter stranded or being left on beaches are a primary tool for monitoring the load of litter in the marine environment and have been used world-wide to quantify and describe marine litter pollution. It allows for a detailed evaluation of litter in terms of amounts and composition as well as trends in the occurrence of litter on beaches and coastal waters. Another strength lies in the provision of information on potential harm to marine biota and ecosystems as well as social harm (aesthetic value, economic coasts, hazard to human health) and, to some extent, on sources of litter and the potential effectiveness of management and measures applied.

HELCOM indicator on beach litter is currently under development aiming at its adoption as a core indicator by the end of 2016.

Please indicate monitoring points and frequency (maps may be attached)

HELCOM data collection is based on agreed standards, guidelines and procedures to ensure comparability across the Baltic Sea Region (*ref*: HELCOM Monitoring and Assessment <u>Strategy</u>).

HELCOM common monitoring of relevance to beach litter is documented in the HELCOM Monitoring Manual, particularly in the sub-programme: Macrolitter characteristics and abundance/volume. Common monitoring guidelines for beach litter should be developed among the HELCOM Contracting Parties. Once developed, the guidelines will be included in the HELCOM Monitoring Manual.

There is comparable data collected by four countries for the years 2012-2013 during the project MARLIN which is the only project to provide comparable data for the Baltic Sea Region so far. Within the MARLIN project, different beach types from Estonia, Latvia, Finland and Sweden were monitored for two years (2012 and 2013) in spring, summer and autumn (7 urban beaches, 6 rural beaches and 10 peri-urban beaches).



Beaches sampled within the MARLIN project. Beach litter surveys were carried out based on the UNEP/IOC Guidelines on Survey and Monitoring of Marine Litter (Source: MARLIN, 2013)

Please indicate organisation(s) monitoring the indicator

As stated in HELCOM Monitoring and Assessment <u>Strategy</u> - National competent authorities conduct monitoring and report data to HELCOM.

Please indicate the data source(s), spatial coverage, temporal coverage, frequency of updates

As stated in the HELCOM Data and Information Strategy (Chapter 2, Collection of data), HELCOM data and information system rely on data derived from publicly funded monitoring programmes by the Contracting Parties but the Contracting Parties may also report data collected by private bodies, e.g. compliance monitoring related to environmental permitting.

HELCOM members provided updated information on their beach litter monitoring activities to <u>HELCOM MONAS 20/2014</u>. Such information is compiled in Annex 2 of the document 5-4 of the HELCOM MONAS 20/2014.

Additionally, beach litter survey results from the MARLIN project are compiled in a <u>web-based database</u> managed by Keep Sweden Tidy.

Quality assurance routine is developed at national level. More info will be provided during the further development of the HELCOM litter indicator.

1.2 MAP

| Indicator 3 | Quantification and classification of beach litter items | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Note. Suggest revision in line with 2 indicators: Trends in the amount of litter washed ashore | | | |
| | and/or deposited on coastlines and Trends in the amount of litter in the water column | | | |
| | including microplastics and on the seafloor. | | | |
| Please provide scientific background for the indicator including reference materials | | | | |

Under the IMAP for adoption at the COP19 include:

Common Indicator 22: Trends in the amount of litter washed ashore and/or deposited on coastlines (EO10); Common Indicator 23: Trends in the amount of litter in the water column including microplastics and on the seafloor (EO10);

Currently marine litter is not measured uniformly, although UNEP/MAP has cooperated with several projects on marine litter.

Please indicate monitoring points and frequency (maps may be attached)

Please indicate organisation(s) monitoring the indicator

Please indicate the data source(s), spatial coverage, temporal coverage, frequency of updates

See below Indicator Monitoring factsheet adopted by MEDPOL FP's in 2015 (UNEP(DEPI)/ MED WG.417/17)

| Common Indicator IVIOR | Description of | Assessment Method | Guidelines | Recommendations |
|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Description | Parameters and/or | | Reference Methods | /Additional Data needed |
| • | Elements, matrix | | QA/QC | |
| Common indicator 16, | Counts of litter items | UNEP/MAP MED POL | As Guideline, with reference | |
| COP 18 Indicator 10.1.1.: | minimum lower limit | Trend Monitoring | methods: | |
| | 2.5 cm in the longest | Programme | UNEP DEPI (MED) WG 394. | |
| Trends in the amount of | dimension on at least | | Inf.5 | |
| litter washed ashore and/or | 1 section of coastline | At least 2 surveys per year | | |
| deposited on coastlines, | of 100m on lightly to | in spring and autumn | QA according to | |
| including analysis of its | moderately littered | (Ideally 4 surveys per year | recommended Quality | |
| composition, spatial | beaches (optimum 2 | in spring, summer, autumn | Assurance Protocols (i.e. | |
| distribution and, where | sections) and 2 | and winter) | Ocean Conservancy | |
| possible, source. | sections of 100m on | | National Marine Debris | |
| | heavily littered | | Monitoring Programme | |
| With Operational | beaches (exceptionally | | (Sheavly, 2007, see text of | |
| Objective10.1.: | 50m section with a | | ECAP monitoring guidelines) | |
| The impacts related to | normalization factor | | | |
| properties and quantities of | of up to 100m to | | | |
| marine litter in the marine | ensure coherence) | | | |
| and coastal environment are | | | | |
| minimized | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Pressure, Impact indicator | | | | |
| Common indicator 17, | Litter in the water | For floating litter visual | For Guideline and reference | It is recommemded to |
| COP 18 Inmdicaotr 10.1.2: | column: | ship-based monitoring of | methods: | focus on surface and sea |
| Trends in amounts of litter | Items of floating litter, | floating litter 2.5cm to | UNEP DEPI (MED) WG 394. | floor litter |
| at sea, including micro- | 2.5 to 50cm, per km ² | 50cm as items/km ² | Inf.5 | |
| plastics* and on the seafloor | | | | *For microplastics at the |
| | Litter on the seafloor | For litter on the seafloor | For floating litter: | surface, samples taken by |
| With Operational Objective | shallow coastal | shallow coastal waters (0- | approaches for inter- | zooplankton nets (333µm |
| of 10.1: | waters(0-20m): | 20m): minimum annual, | comparison and calibration | mesh, 6m length, sampling |
| The impacts related to | visually surveyed litter | maximum quarterly | are to be developed at | for 30 minutes) or by |
| properties and quantities of | items size above | underwater visual surveys | regional level and | Continuous Plankton |
| marine litter in the marine | 2.5cm | with SCUBA/snorkelling | implemented | Recorder (CPR). Minimum |
| and coastal environment are | | based on line transect | | size 330 μm |
| minimized | Litter on the seafloor | surveys in use for | For shallow seafloor: Data | Callegia e of data e o |
| esure, Impact | 20-800m: items/ha or | evaluation of benthic | on litter in shallow sea-floor | Collection of data on |
| | items/km² | fauna | are collected through | microplastics is costly and |
| | of litter collected in | 5fl-r : 20 000 | protocols already validated | it will be critical to identify |
| | bottom trawl surveys | For seafloor 20-800m | for benthic species. | monitoring approaches |
| | | collection of litter data | For Littor on the seeding | (and associated metadata |
| | | through on-going and | For Litter on the seafloor | such as QA/QC) that |
| | | continuous bottom trawl | 20-800m, the adoption of a | directly support the aims |
| | | fish stock survey | common fish stock survey | of the indicator. |

预览已结束,完整报告链接和二维码如下:

https://www.yunbaogao.cn/report/index/report?reportId=5_15869



