

**MONTREAL PROTOCOL
ON SUBSTANCES THAT DEplete
THE OZONE LAYER**



UNEP

**REPORT OF THE
TECHNOLOGY AND ECONOMIC ASSESSMENT PANEL**

OCTOBER 2004

**CRITICAL USE NOMINATIONS FOR METHYL BROMIDE
FINAL REPORT**

**Montreal Protocol
On Substances that Deplete the Ozone Layer**

Report of the
UNEP Technology and Economic Assessment Panel

October 2004

**CRITICAL USE NOMINATIONS FOR METHYL BROMIDE –
FINAL REPORT**

The text of this report is composed in Times New Roman.

Co-ordination: **Methyl Bromide Technical Options Committee**

Composition and layout of the report:
Jonathan Banks & Ian Porter

Reproduction: UNON Nairobi

Date: 13 October 2004

Under certain conditions, printed copies of this report are available from:

UNITED NATIONS ENVIRONMENT PROGRAMME
Ozone Secretariat, P.O. Box 30552, Nairobi, Kenya

Normally from SMI Distribution Service Ltd., Stevenage, Hertfordshire, UK,
fax: + 44 1438 748844

This document is also available in portable document format from
<http://www.teap.org>

No copyright involved. This publication may be freely copied, abstracted and
cited, with acknowledgement of the source of the material.

ISBN: 92-807-2510-6

Disclaimer

The United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP), the Technology and Economic Assessment Panel (TEAP) cochairs and members, and the Methyl Bromide Technical Options Committee (MBTOC) cochairs and members, and the companies and organisations that employ them do not endorse the performance, worker safety, or environmental acceptability of any of the technical options discussed. Every industrial operation requires consideration of worker safety and proper disposal of contaminants and waste products. Moreover, as work continues - including additional toxicity evaluation - more information on health, environmental and safety effects of alternatives and replacements will become available for use in selecting among the options discussed in this document.

UNEP, TEAP cochairs and members, and the MBTOC cochairs and members, in furnishing or distributing this information, do not make any warranty or representation, either express or implied, with respect to the accuracy, completeness, or utility; nor do they assume any liability of any kind whatsoever resulting from the use or reliance upon any information, material, or procedure contained herein, including but not limited to any claims regarding health, safety, environmental effect or fate, efficacy, or performance, made by the source of information.

Mention of any company, association, or product in this document is for information purposes only and does not constitute a recommendation of any such company, association, or product, either express or implied by UNEP, TEAP cochairs and members, and the MBTOC cochairs and members or the companies or organisations that employ them.

Acknowledgement

The Technology and Economic Assessment Panel and its Methyl Bromide Technical Options Committee acknowledges with thanks the outstanding contributions from all of the individuals and organisations who provided support to Panel and Committee cochairs and members. The opinions expressed are those of the Panel and the Committee and do not necessarily reflect the reviews of any sponsoring or supporting organisation.

UNEP
OCTOBER 2004 REPORT OF THE
TECHNOLOGY AND ECONOMIC
ASSESSMENT PANEL

CRITICAL USE NOMINATIONS FOR METHYL BROMIDE –
FINAL REPORT

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	CRITICAL USE NOMINATIONS FOR METHYL BROMIDE – FINAL EVALUATION OF 2004 NOMINATIONS	1
1.1	MBTOC EVALUATION OF CRITICAL USE EXEMPTIONS	1
1.1.1	<i>Basis of Mandate</i>	<i>1</i>
1.1.2	<i>MBTOC and TEAP process for consideration of CUNs.....</i>	<i>3</i>
1.2	CRITICAL USE NOMINATIONS REVIEW.....	4
1.2.1	<i>Consideration of alternatives</i>	<i>4</i>
1.2.2	<i>Aggregation and disaggregation of nominations</i>	<i>5</i>
1.2.3	<i>Period of nominations</i>	<i>6</i>
1.2.4	<i>EMOP CUEs and increased use of MB.....</i>	<i>6</i>
1.2.5	<i>Plans to develop, register and deploy alternatives.....</i>	<i>6</i>
1.2.6	<i>Registration and regulatory restrictions.....</i>	<i>7</i>
1.2.7	<i>Suggested adjustments to nominated quantities.</i>	<i>8</i>
1.3	FINAL EVALUATIONS OF CUNs – 2004 ROUND.....	11
1.4	ISSUES ARISING IN THE REVIEW OF CUNs	14
1.4.1	<i>Need for additional data.....</i>	<i>14</i>
1.4.2	<i>Dependence on single measures for pest control</i>	<i>15</i>
1.4.3	<i>Technical need for multiyear CUEs.....</i>	<i>15</i>
1.5	REFERENCES.....	16

预览已结束，完整报告链接和二维码如下：

https://www.yunbaogao.cn/report/index/report?reportId=5_11767

