

Global Environment Outlook:
User Profile and Impact Study

#### **2004 United Nations Environment Programme**

ISBN: 92-807-2457-6

DEWA Job number DEW/0542/NA

For bibliographic and reference purposes this publication should be referred to as:

UNEP (2004) Global Environment Outlook (GEO): User Profile and Impact Study

#### **Disclaimers**

The contents and views expressed in this publication do not necessarily reflect the views or policies of the contributory organizations or the United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP).

The opinions, figures and estimates set forth in this publication should not necessarily be considered as reflecting the view or carrying the endorsement of UNEP.

The designations employed and the presentation of material in this publication do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of UNEP concerning the legal status of any country, territory or city or its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers and boundaries.

Mention of a commercial company or product in this publication does not imply the endorsement of UNEP.

#### Reproduction

This publication may be reproduced in whole or in part and in any form for educational or non-profit purposes without special permission from the copyright holder, provided acknowledgement of the source is made. UNEP would appreciate receiving a copy of any publication that uses this publication as a source.

No use of this publication may be made for resale or any other commercial purpose whatsoever without prior permission in writing from UNEP. Applications for such permission, with a statement of purpose and extent of the reproduction, should be addressed to the Director, DCPI, UNEP, P.O. Box 30552, Nairobi 00100, Kenya.

The use of information from this publication concerning proprietary products for publicity or advertising is not permitted.

Division of Early Warning and Assessment (DEWA) United Nations Environment Programme P.O. Box 30552 Nairobi 00100, Kenya

Tel: +254 20 623562 Fax: +254 20 623944 Email: geo@unep.org

UNEP web site: http://www.unep.org

#### **ACRONYMS**

ACRONYM DEFINITION

AMCEN African Ministerial Conference on the Environment

CEU Central European University

CONAMA National Commission of the Environment, Chile

GEO Global Environment Outlook

GEO-LAC Global Environment Outlook - Latin America and Caribbean

IISD International Institute for Sustainable Development

MEAs Multilateral Environmental Agreements

NESDA Network for Environmental and Sustainable Development

SEPA State Environment Protection Agency (China)

SOE State of the Environment report

UNEP United Nations Environment Programme

IDB Inter American Development Bank
OAS Organization of American States

FAO Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations

WB World Bank

ECLAC Economic Commission for Latin America
UNDP United Nations Development Programme

ROLAC Regional Office for Latin America

ENRIN Environment and Natural Resources Information Networking

BBC British Broadcasting Corporation
UNIC United Nations Information Centre
IEA Integrated Environment Assessment

OdD Development Observatory ( of the University of Costa Rica)

CEU Central European University

GC Governing Council

UNFIP United Nations Foundation for International Partnerships

SOEA State of the Environment Assessment Unit

IUCN The World Conservation Union
SEI Stockholm Environment Institute

GRID Global Resource Information Database

UNICEF United Nations Children's Fund

UNESCO United Nations Educational Scientific and Cultural Organization

iii

RMPE Rescue Mission Planet Earth

SIDS Small Island Developing States

AEO Africa Environment Outlook

AIT Asian Institute of Technology

INFOTERRA The Global Environmental Information exchange Network of the

United Nations Environment Programme

### **Contents**

| 1. | Intro                  | oduction   | 1          |
|----|------------------------|--|------------|
| 2. | Methodology            |  |            |
|    | 2.1                    | Introduction   | 3          |
|    | 2.2                    | Study Design   | 3          |
|    | 2.3                    | Data Collection  | 3          |
|    | 2.4                    | Data Analysis and Reporting  | 4          |
|    | 2.5                    | Limitations to the Study   | 5          |
|    | 2.6                    | Study Findings   | 5          |
|    | 3.                     | Distribution and User Profiles   | 9          |
|    | 3.1                    | Introduction   | 9          |
|    | 3.2                    | GEO-1  | 10         |
|    | 3.3                    | GEO-2000   | 10         |
|    | 3.4                    | Distribution of Other GEO Products   | 14         |
| 4. | Use                    | of the GEO-2000 Report and Products  | 17         |
|    | 4.1                    | Use by Ministers of the Environment, their Senior Advisors and Permanent Representatives to UNEP | 17         |
|    | 4.2                    | Use of GEO-2000 by Other Groups  | 22         |
|    | 4.3                    | Use of GEO-2000 Associated Products  | 23         |
| 5. | Impa                   | acts of GEO Products and Process   | 25         |
|    | 5.1                    | Introduction   | 25         |
|    | 5.2                    | Impact on Policy Development and Decision Making   | 25         |
|    | 5.3                    | Impact on Capacity Development at the Collaborating Centres                                      | 30         |
|    | 5.4                    | Impact on GEO-2000 General Readership  | 37         |
|    | 5.5                    | Impact on Media around the Globe   | 39         |
|    | 5.6                    | Impact of Pachamama  | 40         |
| 6. | Suggested Improvements |  |            |
|    | 6.1                    | Suggested Improvements to GEO reports by Senior Advisors to ministers of the Environment         | 44         |
| 7. | Con                    | clusion  | <b></b> 53 |
|    | 7 1                    | Profile of Users   | 53         |

| 7.2 | Uses of the GEO reports              | 54 |
|-----|--------------------------------------|----|
| 7.3 | Impact of the GEO report and process | 54 |
| 7 4 | Lessons learned                      | 54 |

## **Tables, Boxes and Figures**

| Table 3.1  | Examples of organizations contained in GEO profile categories                             | 9  |
|------------|---|----|
| Table 3.2  | Distribution of complementary copies of GEO-2000 (Formal and Informal)                    | 11 |
| Table 3.3  | Distribution and sales of Pachamama: English version                                      | 15 |
| Table 3.4  | Distribution of SIDS  | 15 |
| Box 4.1    | Comments on use of GEO-2000 for its provision of regional and global overviews            | 19 |
| Box 4.2    | Comments on GEO-2000 and Emerging Environmental Issues                                    | 21 |
| Box 4.3    | Comments on GEO-2000: The Broader Perspective   | 21 |
| Box 5.1    | Comments of Impact of GEO Report  | 25 |
| Box 5.2    | Examples of GEO-2000's role in policy development and decision making                     | 26 |
| Box 5.3    | Examples of GEO-2000: catalylic role in State of the Environment Assessment and Reporting | 27 |
| Box 5.4    | Case Study: Chile & SOE Assessment and Reporting  | 29 |
| Box 5.5    | Case Study: LAC Environment Minister's Meeting, Adoption of the GEO Process               | 28 |
| Box 5.6    | Case Study: NESDA and SOE Assessment and Reporting  | 29 |
| Box 5.7    | Comment on Strenthening Institutional Capacity  | 31 |
| Box 5.8    | Case Study: Pachamama and the LAC "GEO for the Youth" Project                             | 41 |
| Table 7.2  | Strengths and Weaknesses  | 79 |
| Figure 3.1 | GEO-1 Distribution English  | 10 |
| Figure 3.2 | GEO-2000 Distribution(All Languages)  | 11 |
| Figure 3.3 | GEO-2000 Distribution (English)   | 12 |
| Figure 3.4 | GEO-2000 Distribution (French)  | 12 |
| Figure 3.5 | GEO-2000 Distribution (Spanish)   | 13 |
| Figure 3.6 | GEO-2000 Reader Distribution by Organization (Reader Response Questionnaire)              | 13 |
| Figure 4.1 | Percentage of respondents who had seen GEO-1 and/or GEO-2000                              | 17 |
| Figure 4.2 | Accessibility of GEO-2000 to respondents who had seen and used the report                 | 18 |
| Figure 4.3 | Usefulness of GEO-2000 in providing an overview of the regional environmental situation   | 18 |
| Figure 4.4 | Usefulness of GEO-2000 in providing an overview of the global environmental situation     | 19 |

| Figure 4.5  | Usefulness of GEO-2000 in providing policy guidance at the regional level  | 20 |
|-------------|--|----|
| Figure 4.6  | Usefulness of GEO-2000 in providing policy guidance at the national level  | 20 |
| Figure 4.7  | Usefulness of GEO-2000 for identifying major emerging issues   | 21 |
| Figure 4.8  | Usefulness of GEO-2000 for putting national issues in a broader perspective  | 21 |
| Figure 4.9  | Reader responses on use of GEO-2000  | 22 |
| Figure 5.1  | The degree to which the Collaborating Centres agree that they have improved their regional networking as a result of the GEO Process               | 31 |
| Figure 5.2  | The degree to which the Collaborating Centres agree that they were able to attract additional financial resources as a result of the GEO process.  | 33 |
| Figure 5.3  | The degree to which the Collaborating Centres agree that their overhead costs were reduced as a result of the GEO process                          | 33 |
| Figure 5.4  | The degree to which the Collaborating Centres agree that the quality of their products and services was enhanced as a result of the GEO process.   | 34 |
| Figure 5.5  | The degree to which the Collaborating Centres agree that the centre's credibility was enhanced as a result of the GEO process.                     | 34 |
| Figure 5.6  | The degree to which the Collaborating Centres were able to optimise staff skills and knowledge as a result of the GEO process                      | 35 |
| Figure 5.7  | The degree to which the Collaborating Centres agree that they were able to attract additional human resources as a result of the GEO process.      | 36 |
| Figure 5.8  | The degree to which the Collaborating Centres agree that their staff were able to develop new skills and knowledge as a result of the GEO process. | 36 |
| Figure 5.9  | Usefulness of GEO-2000   | 37 |
| Figure 5.10 | Achievement of GEO objectives  | 38 |
| Figure 5.11 | Uniqueness of GEO-2000   | 38 |
| Figure 5.12 | Most referred to sections  | 39 |

# 预览已结束, 完整报告链接和二维码如下:

https://www.yunbaogao.cn/report/index/report?reportId=5\_11593

