



# Integrated Assessment of the Impact of Trade Liberalization

A Country Study on the Viet Nam Rice Sector

#### Copyright 2005 UNEP

This publication may be reproduced in whole or in part and in any form for educational or non-profit purposes without special permission from the copyright holder, provided acknowledgement of the source is made. UNEP would appreciate receiving a copy of any publication that uses this publication as a source.

No use of this publication may be made for resale or for any other commercial purpose whatsoever without prior permission in writing from UNEP.

#### First edition 2005

The designations employed and the presentation of the material in this publication do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of the United Nations Environment Programme concerning the legal status of any country, territory, city or area or of its authorities, or concerning delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries. Moreover, the views expressed do not necessarily represent the decision or the stated policy of the United Nations Environment Programme, nor does citing of trade names or commercial processes constitute endorsement.

ISBN: 92-807-2451-7

## **Foreword**

Since 1989 Viet Nam has been undergoing a gradual transition from a centrally planned socialist to a market-oriented economy under the reform process known as *Doi Moi*, which literally means "change and newness", a term coined in 1986 for reform and renovation in the economy. This process of transition has been accompanied by high growth, macroeconomic stability and significant structural change. Further reforms have also been conducted by implementing policies of trade liberalization according to Viet Nam's commitments under the ASEAN Free Trade Area/Common Effective Preferential Tariff scheme (AFTA/CEPT) from July 1995, the Bilateral Trade Agreement between Viet Nam and the United States (USBTA) signed in July 2000, and as part of the negotiations to enter the World Trade Organisation (WTO).

The country has gone from being a rice importer to a net rice exporter, and is the second largest rice exporter in the world since 1995. In recent years, the annual volume of rice exports has grown considerably, reaching over 3.5 million tons, representing a share of about 16 per cent of the world rice market. The growth in rice production and rice exports has brought, among other effects, an increase in agricultural income and GDP, and has had a positive impact on poverty reduction. The policies promoting rice production and trade have also had a number of environmental and social impacts, such as adverse effects on human health from the misuse of fertilisers and pesticides, environmental degradation and loss of rice biodiversity from technology inputs.

The integrated assessment of the impact of trade liberalization on the rice sector of Viet Nam is very important since it provides the basis for formulating appropriate measures to mitigate the negative impacts and promote the positive ones. The assessment also supports further trade liberalization in the rice sector. In the Viet Namese context it has also been helpful in supporting national capacity to undertake impact assessment in agriculture and trade.

İ

# **Acknowledgements**

A number of institutions and individuals have been involved in the implementation of this study: The Hue University of Agriculture and Forestry; the Ha Noi Agricultural University; the Institute for Farming System Research and Development in the Mekong Delta; and the Hue College of Economics. The Assessment Team consisted of: Truong Van Tuyen, PhD, Community Development; Team Leader, Hue University of Agriculture and Forestry; Dang Kieu Nhan, PhD, Farming System Research; Team Member, Institute for Farming System Research and Development in Mekong Delta; Nguyen Mau Dung, PhD, Economics, Team Member, Ha Noi Agricultural University; Hoang Manh Quan, PhD, Economics, Team Member, Hue University of Agriculture and Forestry; Nguyen Dang Hao, Economics, Team Member, Hue College of Economics; Hoang Thi Thai Hoa, Agricultural Systems, Team Member, Hue University of Agriculture and Forestry; Nguyen Vinh Truong, Plant Protection, Team Member, Hue University of Agriculture and Forestry; Le Quang Minh, Ecology, Team Member, Hue University of Agriculture and Forestry; and Le Duc Ngoan, PhD, Farming Systems, Team Member, Hue University of Agriculture and Forestry.

The Hue University of Agriculture and Forestry has been the lead institution in charge of the study. Special acknowledgement therefore goes to the institution and the assessment team. Acknowledgement is also due to the following organisations for their provision of relevant research: the Secretariat of the International Support Group to the Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Development, Oxfam UK and Oxfam Hong Kong. Thanks are extended to Nguyen Ngoc Que from the Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Development for his valuable comments on methodology. Finally, the assessment team would like to thank everyone who has been involved in and contributed to the implementation of the assessment: the technical staff, the faculty members, the researchers from participating institutions, the villagers and local officers from sites surveyed in the Red River Delta, Central Coast, and the Mekong River Delta.

At UNEP, the project was initiated and led by Hussein Abaza. Sophie Forster Carbonnier and Mariko Hara coordinated and provided technical and logistical support to the project. The country teams prepared the full studies as well as the summaries included in the synthesis report (Integrated Assessment of the Impact of Trade Liberalization on the Rice Sector, UNEP Country Projects Round III, A Synthesis Report). Thanks are due to Jan Joost Kessler, Konrad von Moltke and Fulai Sheng for having provided critical reviews of draft reports. This appreciation is also extended to the members of the international working group on rice set up by UNEP to guide and implement the projects and provide comments. The members of this group, who attended the two international expert meetings on 19-20 February and 17-18 November 2003 in Geneva, and provided useful contributions and comments on these occasions, are: Tunji Akande, Nigerian Institute of Social and Economic Research; Claude Auroi, IUED (Institut Universitaire d'Etudes du Développement); Luisa Bernal, South Centre; Concepción Calpe, FAO; Céline Charveriat, Oxfam International; Martha Chouchena-Rojas, IUCN; Aliou Diagne, West Africa Rice Development Association; Salah El Serafy, Consultant, USA; Aimée Gonzales, WWF International; Dongmei Guo, State Environmental Protection Administration, China; Nestor Gutierrez, Federación Nacional de Arroceros, Bogota; Mark Halle, IISD; Dimitris Kiakosavvas, OECD; Panos Konandreas, FAO; Doug Koplow, Earth Track, Inc.; Hans-Jörg Lehmann, Federal Office for Agriculture, Bern; Eric Peters,

European Commission; Majda Petschen, WTO; Shishir Priyadarshi, South Centre; Sarah Richardson, Maeander Enterprises Ltd., Canada; Abdoulaye Sene, Institut des Sciences de l'Environnement, Dakar; Shefali Sharma, IATP; Miho Shirotori, UNCTAD; Matius Suparmoko, Jenderal Soedirman University, Indonesia; Robert Teh, WTO; Gerard van Dijk, UNEP Regional Office for Europe; Truong van Tuyen, Hue University of Agriculture and Forestry, Viet Nam; Scott Vaughan, OAS (ex-Carnegie Endowment); Rene Vossenaar, UNCTAD; Alex Werth, ICTSD.

Susan Broomfield and Andrea Smith edited this final study; however full responsibility for the content remains with the authors. Logistical support was provided by Desiree Leon and Rahila Mughal from UNEP.

Finally, UNEP/ETB wishes to gratefully acknowledge the funding from the Norwegian Ministry of Foreign Affairs, which made this project possible.

# United Nations Environment Programme

The United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP) is the overall coordinating environmental organisation of the United Nations system. Its mission is to provide leadership and encourage partnerships in caring for the environment by inspiring, informing and enabling nations and people to improve their quality of life without compromising that of future generations. In accordance with its mandate, UNEP works to observe, monitor and assess the state of the global environment, improve the scientific understanding of how environmental change occurs, and in turn, how such change can be managed by action-oriented national policies and international agreements. UNEP's capacity building work thus centres on helping countries strengthen environmental management in diverse areas that include freshwater and land resource management, the conservation and sustainable use of biodiversity, marine and coastal ecosystem management, and cleaner industrial production and eco-efficiency, among many others.

UNEP, which is headquartered in Nairobi, Kenya, marked its first 30 years of service in 2002. During this time, in partnership with a global array of collaborating organisations, UNEP has achieved major advances in the development of international environmental policy and law, environmental monitoring and assessment, and the understanding of the science of global change. This work also supports the successful development and implementation of the world's major environmental conventions. In parallel, UNEP administers several multilateral environmental agreements (MEAs) including the Vienna Convention's Montreal Protocol on Substances that Deplete the Ozone Layer, the Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species of Wild Fauna and Flora (CITES), the Basel Convention on the Control of Transboundary Movements of Hazardous Wastes and their Disposal (SBC), the Convention on Prior Informed Consent Procedure for Certain Hazardous Chemicals and Pesticides in International Trade (Rotterdam Convention, PIC) and the Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety to the Convention on Biological Diversity as well as the Stockholm Convention on Persistent Organic Pollutants (POPs).

### Division of Technology, Industry and Economics

预览已结束, 完整报告链接和

https://www.yunbaogao.cn/report/index/report