



UNITED NATIONS DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMME

# Sovereign Debt Vulnerabilities in Developing Economies

Which countries are vulnerable and how much debt is at risk?

*by Lars Jensen*



UNDP is the leading United Nations organization fighting to end the injustice of poverty, inequality, and climate change. Working with our broad network of experts and partners in 170 countries, we help nations to build integrated, lasting solutions for people and planet. Learn more at [undp.org](https://undp.org) or follow at [@UNDP](https://twitter.com/UNDP).

The views expressed in this publication are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily represent those of the United Nations, including UNDP, or the UN Member States.

Copyright © UNDP March 2021  
All rights reserved  
United Nations Development Programme  
1 UN Plaza, New York, NY 10075, USA

---

# Sovereign Debt Vulnerabilities in Developing Economies

WHICH COUNTRIES ARE VULNERABLE AND HOW MUCH DEBT IS AT RISK?

Lars Jensen ([lars.jensen@undp.org](mailto:lars.jensen@undp.org))<sup>1</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup> Lars Jensen is an Economist and Policy Specialist in UNDP's Strategic Policy Engagement Team, Bureau for Policy and Programme Support. **Acknowledgements:** The paper was written under the supervision and support of George Gray Molina, Chief Economist of the Bureau for Programme and Policy Support (BPPS) and Director of the Strategic Policy Engagement Team, UNDP. A big thanks to our intern Zhuohui Chen for assisting with the data work and research. The author is grateful to all who provided valuable comments: Homi Kharas, Senior Fellow at the Center for Sustainable Development, Brookings Institution; Jacob Assa, Policy Specialist at the Human Development Report Office, UNDP; El Hadji Fall, Economist, UNDP; Raymond Gilpin, Chief Economist at the Regional Bureau for Africa, UNDP.

---

# Contents

Executive summary	4
Introduction	6
1. Debt and debt relief overview	7
1.1. Gross public debt at record levels	7
1.2. Public external debt and the G20 debt relief initiatives	8
2. Debt vulnerability outlook	10
2.1. Sovereign credit ratings	10
2.2. The distribution of debt service at risk	11
2.3. Solvency and liquidity indicators	14
2.4. Summary of results	19
2.5. Debt dynamics example – Sri Lanka	20
3. Debt trends over the past decade and more	22
3.1. Growing debt and slowing growth	22
3.2. Changing debt composition	22
3.3. Concentration of debt with a single creditor	23
3.4. Poor revenue development	24
4. Conclusion and policy implications	26
References	27
Annexes	28
Annex A. Debt sustainability frameworks	28
The LIC-DSF	28
The MAC-DSF	29
Annex B. Summary table – 72 vulnerable countries	31
Annex C. Debt dynamics example – Sri Lanka	33

## Figures

Figure 1. Gross public debt (% of GDP) . . . . .	7
Figure 2. External debt-service payments on LT PPG debt (\$ billion). . . . .	8
Figure 3. Sovereign credit ratings (as of February 5th, 2021). . . . .	11
Figure 4. Total estimated external LT PPG debt-service at risk (\$ billions) . . . . .	12
Figure 5. Angola and Sri Lanka — TDS payments on external LT PPG debt owed to private creditors. . . . .	14
Figure 6. Debt-burden threshold breaches and average severity <sup>1</sup> , LIC-DSF countries (2015-2025) . . . . .	15
Figure 7. Distance (pp) from debt-burden thresholds, LIC-DSF countries (2021). . . . .	16
Figure 8. Debt-burden threshold breaches and average severity <sup>1</sup> , MAC-DSF countries (2015-2025) . . . . .	17
Figure 9. Distance (pp) from debt-burden thresholds <sup>1</sup> , MAC-DSF countries (2021) . . . . .	18
Figure 10. Sri Lanka debt dynamics — two stress scenarios. . . . .	21
Figure 11. Concessional borrowing (% of public debt) . . . . .	23
Figure 12. Top 20 - Chinese share of external PPG debt stock, 2019 (% of total) . . . . .	23
Figure 13. Government revenue in % of GDP (1990-2020) . . . . .	24
Figure 14. Top-20 — Total external debt-service payments as a percentage of revenues (2019-2025) . . . . .	25

## Tables

Table 1. 2020 debt-service payments (\$ billion) on external LT PPG debt. . . . .	9
Table 2. Estimated TDS on external LT PPG debt - all 72 vulnerable countries (\$ billions) . . . . .	13
Table 3. Applied solvency and liquidity thresholds . . . . .	14
Table 4. Indicators and thresholds used for 'ranking' of vulnerable countries . . . . .	19
Table 5. Top-19 vulnerable countries (see list of 72 in Annex C). . . . .	20
Table 6. Total government interest payments as a percentage of revenue, 2010 and latest . . . . .	25

## Glossary

<b>CF</b>	Common Framework	<b>LIC</b>	Low-income country
<b>DSA</b>	Debt sustainability assessment	<b>LIDC</b>	Low-income developing country
<b>DSF</b>	Debt sustainability framework	<b>LMIC</b>	Lower-middle income country
<b>DSSI</b>	Debt Service Suspension Initiative	<b>LT</b>	Long-term
<b>EM</b>	Emerging market	<b>MAC</b>	Market access country
<b>EMMI</b>	Emerging market and middle income	<b>MIC</b>	Middle-income country
<b>FDI</b>	Foreign direct investment	<b>PP</b>	Percentage points
<b>FM</b>	Fiscal monitor	<b>PPG</b>	Public and publicly guaranteed debt
<b>GDP</b>	Gross domestic product	<b>SDG</b>	Sustainable Development Goal
<b>GNI</b>	Gross national income	<b>TDS</b>	Total debt service
<b>HIC</b>	High income country	<b>UMIC</b>	Upper-middle income country
<b>IDA</b>	International development assistance	<b>WB</b>	The World Bank
<b>IDS</b>	International debt statistics	<b>WEO</b>	World Economic Outlook
<b>IMF</b>	The International Monetary Fund		

---

# Executive summary

This paper analyzes debt vulnerability indicators across 120 developing (low- and middle-income) economies to identify vulnerable countries. The overall conclusion is that most vulnerable countries identified are not on the verge of a default, but rather risk facing a future of high economic and development costs of having to deal with large debt overhangs. A smaller group of countries will likely remain at high risk of defaulting this and in subsequent years, and in general uncertainties and risks are high. Much will depend on the strength of the economic recovery and continued access to and stability of financial markets.

In total 72 vulnerable countries are identified, 19 of which are severely vulnerable. Our results are presented for individual countries and country-groupings including the group of countries eligible under the Debt-Service Suspension Initiative (DSSI) and Common Framework (CF). Results are summarized as follows.

The DSSI has had limited success with 46 of 73 eligible countries participating and only with a request of \$5 billion — roughly equal to 10% of external total debt-service (TDS) scheduled in 2020 for all 73. A full<sup>2</sup> DSSI participation could have freed up \$12.2 billion in 2020 and another \$9.25 billion in the first half of 2021.

Debt distress and vulnerabilities are not isolated to the poorest (or DSSI- and CF-eligible) countries. Based on sovereign credit ratings for 105 developing economies, two-thirds of 73 emerging markets (EMs) are rated ‘non-investment grade’, as are all 32 low-income developing countries (LIDCs).

Total debt service (TDS) payments on external public debt at risk (‘risky-TDS’) is estimated at a minimum of \$598 billion for the group of 72 vulnerable countries from 2021-2025, of which \$311 billion (52%) is to private creditors.<sup>3</sup> Low-income countries account for 6% (\$36.2 billion), lower-middle income countries for 49% (\$294.1 billion) and upper-middle income countries for 45% (\$268.1 billion) of total. For the year 2021, risky-TDS is estimated at a minimum of \$130 billion, of which \$70 billion (54%) is to private creditors.

Among the 72 highly vulnerable countries are 49 eligible under the DSSI and CF. Their share of risky-TDS is \$211 billion (35% of total) with \$63 billion to private creditors. Left uncovered by both the DSSI and CF are 23 vulnerable countries with risky-TDS of \$387 billion (65% of total). In 2021, uncovered risky-TDS is estimated at \$87 billion. In other words, about one-third of vulnerable countries holding two-thirds of risky-TDS are not covered by the DSSI or CF.

Based on data available for 112 developing countries, we estimate that from 2019 to 2020 the number of countries in breach of their solvency threshold went from 37 to 46 (41% of the sample), of their liquidity threshold from 18 to 26 (23%), and countries in breach of both from 12 to 21 (19%).<sup>4</sup> Based on both estimated number of countries in breach and the severity of these breaches, debt vulnerabilities are not expected to fall significantly in the coming years, and are not likely to return to pre-pandemic levels before 2024/25.

When assessing the current debt outlook, it is important to keep historical trends in mind. Debt relief efforts in the 2000s coincided with a commodity price boom and helped clean up governments’ balance sheets and increase their debt carrying capacity. Countries started piling on debt after the 2008 financial crisis,

---

<sup>2</sup> All 73 countries with their full eligible amounts.

<sup>3</sup> The specific debt measure used is external long-term public and publicly guaranteed debt (LT PPG) from IDS 2021.

<sup>4</sup> Our chosen solvency indicator here is total gross public debt as a percentage of GDP and liquidity is total debt-service payments on external PPG debt as a percentage of revenue.

---

followed by massive liquidity injections by central banks, which also found its way to developing markets in a 'search for yield.' Consequently, today's average developing country has a much higher exposure to financial markets and roll-over (liquidity) risk. During the past decade of rapidly increasing debt, revenue development has remained stagnant and growth has begun to slow; both these factors are adding to debt vulnerability.

How the vulnerable developing countries will come out of this crisis will depend crucially on liquidity risk in the short term and their ability to undertake quality investments in physical and human capital to boost future growth, as well as external demand for their commodities. For all countries, this will require access to stable and low-cost finance, and for some countries a debt restructuring will have to precede such access. Beyond the short term, re-directing of expenditures, increasing spending efficiency and boosting revenue collection must be given priority.

# Introduction

Debt in developing economies has built up fast over the past decade, far outpacing revenue development, and has been followed by a slowing of economic growth. The pandemic therefore hit when many countries were already highly vulnerable, and 2020 saw a record in sovereign credit rating downgrades and defaults.

Uncertainties about the economic recovery and the state of financial markets coupled with high debt vulnerability has led to a widespread belief that the developing world could be on the verge of a major debt crisis. Calls for debt restructurings as well as other faster relief efforts have followed, to help countries cope with the immediate health crisis and avoid jeopardizing their economic recovery. The main initiatives to date are the G20's Debt-service Suspension Initiative, which allows for temporary debt-service suspensions to bilateral creditors, and the Common Framework targeting debt restructurings. Both are limited to 73 of the poorest countries.

But not only the poorest countries need assistance. Only one of six countries that defaulted last year was DSSI-eligible and all were middle-income countries (MICs), and for many MICs debt vulnerability indicators look stressed. Despite this, many vulnerable MICs have still been able to borrow in global capital markets partly thanks to favorable global liquidity conditions conferred by advanced economies' central bank support (Bulow et al., 2020). The extra debt taken on to combat the crisis combined with weak revenue growth will increase debt vulnerabilities in coming years. Should interest rates start to rise, several more countries could move closer to a default. Concerns are mounting that the unprecedented liquidity support is fueling a financial bubble that could lead to global financial instability (WESP 2021).

This paper aims to give the reader a comprehensive overview of sovereign debt vulnerabilities in developing countries.

- Section 1 provides an overview of gross public debt, external public debt-service and the G20's DSSI and CF initiatives.
- Section 2 presents the main analysis of short-to-medium term debt vulnerabilities. The analysis draws on several vulnerability indicators to identify vulnerable countries and groups and provides an estimate of the amount of external debt-service payments at risk. Country results are summarized based on a ranking across five vulnerability indicators.
- Section 3 presents and discusses some of the important global debt trends that have helped

预览已结束，完整报告链接和二维码如下：

[https://www.yunbaogao.cn/report/index/report?reportId=5\\_11562](https://www.yunbaogao.cn/report/index/report?reportId=5_11562)

