

VOLUME 9

NUMBER 3

DECEMBER 2000

TRANSNATIONAL CORPORATIONS



United Nations
United Nations Conference on Trade and Development
Division on Investment, Technology and Enterprise Development

Editorial statement

Transnational Corporations (formerly *The CTC Reporter*) is a refereed journal published three times a year by UNCTAD. In the past, the Programme on Transnational Corporations was carried out by the United Nations Centre on Transnational Corporations (1975–1992) and by the Transnational Corporations and Management Division of the United Nations Department of Economic and Social Development (1992–1993). The basic objective of this journal is to publish articles and research notes that provide insights into the economic, legal, social and cultural impacts of transnational corporations in an increasingly global economy and the policy implications that arise therefrom. It focuses especially on political and economic issues related to transnational corporations. In addition, *Transnational Corporations* features book reviews. The journal welcomes contributions from the academic community, policy makers and staff members of research institutions and international organizations. Guidelines for contributors are given at the end of this issue.

Editor: Karl P. Sauvant

Deputy editor: Persa Economou

Associate editor: Kálmán Kalotay

Managing editor: Kumi Endo

Production manager: Tess Sabico

home page: http://www.unctad.org/en/subsites/dite/1_itncs/1_tncs.htm

Subscriptions

A subscription to *Transnational Corporations* for one year is US\$ 45 (single issues are US\$ 20). See p. 243 for details of how to subscribe, or contact any distributor of United Nations publications. United Nations, Sales Section, Room DC2-853, New York, NY 10017, United States – tel.: 1 212 963 3552; fax: 1 212 963 3062; e-mail: publications@un.org; or Palais des Nations, 1211 Geneva 10, Switzerland – tel.: 41 22 917 1234; fax: 41 22 917 0123; e-mail: unpubli@unog.ch.

Note

The opinions expressed in this publication are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the United Nations. The term “country” as used in this journal also refers, as appropriate, to territories or areas; the designations employed and the presentation of the material do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of the Secretariat of the United Nations concerning the legal status of any country, territory, city or area or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries. In addition, the designations of country groups are intended solely for statistical or analytical convenience and do not necessarily express a judgement about the stage of development reached by a particular country or area in the development process.

Unless stated otherwise, all references to dollars (\$) are to United States dollars.

ISSN 1014-9562

Copyright United Nations, 2000

All rights reserved

Printed in Switzerland

Board of Advisers

CHAIRPERSON

John H. Dunning, State of New Jersey Professor of International Business, Rutgers University, Newark, New Jersey, United States, and Emeritus Research Professor of International Business, University of Reading, Reading, United Kingdom

MEMBERS

Edward K. Y. Chen, President, Lingnan College, Hong Kong, Special Administrative Region of China

Arghyrios A. Fatouros, Professor of International Law, Faculty of Political Science, University of Athens, Greece

Kamal Hossain, Senior Advocate, Supreme Court of Bangladesh, Bangladesh

Celso Lafer, Professor, Faculty of Law, University of Sao Paulo, Sao Paulo, Brazil.

Sanjaya Lall, Professor, Queen Elizabeth House, Oxford, United Kingdom

Theodore H. Moran, Karl F. Landegger Professor, and Director, Program in International Business Diplomacy, School of Foreign Service, Georgetown University, Washington, D.C., United States

Sylvia Ostry, Chairperson, Centre for International Studies, University of Toronto, Toronto, Canada

Terutomo Ozawa, Professor of Economics, Colorado State University, Department of Economics, Fort Collins, Colorado, United States

Tagi Sagafi-nejad, Professor of International Business, Sellinger School of Business and Management, Loyola College of Maryland, Baltimore, Maryland, United States

Oscar Schachter, Professor, School of Law, Columbia University, New York, United States

Mihály Simai, Professor, Institute for World Economics, Budapest, Hungary

John M. Stopford, Professor, London Business School, London, United Kingdom

Oswaldo Sunkel, Professor and Director, Center for Public Policy Analysis, University of Chile, Santiago, Chile

Transnational Corporations

Volume 9, Number 3, December 2000

Contents

Page

ARTICLES

- Christoph Dörrenbächer** Between global market constraints and national dependencies: the internationalization of the world's leading telecommunications equipment suppliers 1
- Daniel Chudnovsky and Andrés López** Industrial restructuring through mergers and acquisitions: the case of Argentina in the 1990s 33
- Mauro F. Guillén and Adrian E. Tschoegl** The internationalization of retail banking: the case of the Spanish banks in Latin America 63

RESEARCH NOTES

- UNCTAD** *World Investment Report 2000: Cross-border Mergers and Acquisitions and Development Overview* 99
- Kálmán Kalotay** Is the sky the limit? The absorptive capacity of Central Europe for FDI 137
- Dawn Holland, Magdolna Sass, Vladimir Benacek and Miroslaw Gronicki** The determinants and impact of FDI in Central and Eastern Europe: a comparison of survey and econometric evidence 163

- BOOK REVIEWS** 213
- Just published** 226
- Books received** 232

Between global market constraints and national dependencies: the internationalization of the world's leading telecommunications equipment suppliers

Christoph Dörrenbächer *

There is a strong divide in the current literature on international business strategy. One school of thought argues that, due to market constraints, there is only one best practice for the internationalization of companies. This view is strongly opposed by a second major approach, which stresses structural dependency. According to this second view, the internationalization strategy of a company is largely shaped by its origin — in other words, by its historical embeddedness in the economic, political and institutional context of its home country. This article shows that there is no need for such a strong divide. Looking at the internationalization trajectories of the top ten telecommunications equipment suppliers of the world, it is demonstrated that all suppliers — irrespective of their national origin — follow an industry-wide best practice, both in the timing and the location of their internationalization. However, all internationalization aspects that are more process and style oriented (e.g. market entry patterns or global management styles) show a strong correlation with the specific design of the national institutional context. So far, the institutional context, and thus also the specific telecommunications policy of the small countries, has turned out to be particularly favourable. Whether this will also be true in the future seems rather doubtful when looking at current sector trends.

* Senior Fellow, Wissenschaftszentrum Berlin für Sozialforschung (Social Science Research Center Berlin), Research Unit, Organisation and Employment, Berlin, Germany, and Forschungsgemeinschaft für Aussenwirtschaft, Struktur- und Technologiepolitik (FAST), Berlin, Germany. The author is indebted to Frieder Naschold, who died in 1999, for very helpful comments and advice.

Introduction

One of the most prominent general debates in the social sciences is the controversy concerning best practice and path dependency. Widely discussed in such diverse fields as the transformation of Eastern Europe or labour market politics, perhaps the most intense debate on the topic occurs in the realm of corporate organization and management. This should not come as a surprise, considering the major structural adjustments of the post war economy, such as market liberalization, reduced transport costs, improved communication means and product homogenization. This meant that more and more corporations from different countries and continents, with different historical legacies and institutional backgrounds, entered the global market and became competitors. The pressure of international competition, but also the discovery that companies with diverse national roots differ from each other have spurred the discussion on how to organize and manage a company, especially important when there is slow growth in the world economy.

During the 1990s, the key reference point of this debate was the production model. Do corporations have to follow the path of lean production or die, as maintained by J. P. Womack et al. (1990)? Or, do corporations shape their own viable models in interaction with, and according to, their specific national environments, as argued by R. Boyer and M. Freysenet (2000)? With enormous amounts of empirical literature produced so far, the line of demarcation between these questions can be drawn. However, a new key issue is emerging in the debate. It deals with the question of whether corporations have to follow a specific (best practice) internationalization strategy, or whether different internationalization trajectories are more or less

预览已结束，完整报告链接和二维码如下：

https://www.yunbaogao.cn/report/index/report?reportId=5_10970

