

Trends in farm size, crop diversification, and mechanization in Nicaragua and Peru

Sinduja Srivinasan Milagro Saborío Adrián Rodríguez Cristian Morales





Thank you for your interest in this ECLAC publication



Please register if you would like to receive information on our editorial products and activities. When you register, you may specify your particular areas of interest and you will gain access to our products in other formats.





NATURAL RESOURCES AND DEVELOPMENT

194

Agricultural transformation

Trends in farm size, crop diversification, and mechanization in Nicaragua and Peru

Sinduja Srivinasan Milagro Saborío Adrián Rodríguez Cristian Morales





This report was elaborated by Sinduja Srinivasan, Economic Affairs Officer in the Agricultural Development Unit of the Division of Production, Productivity and Management of the Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC) and Milagro Saborío, consultant with the same Division, under the general supervision of Adrián Rodríguez, Chief of the Agricultural Development Unit and Cristian Morales (ESA/FAO), with contributions from Francisco Cerecera, Andrés Mondaini (consultants) and Bernardo Mayorga (intern). The research was funded by the agreement between FAO and ECLAC, "Patterns in the agricultural transformation process in Latin American countries: farm size, diversification and mechanization".

The views expressed in this document, which has been reproduced without formal editing, are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the Organization.

United Nations publication
ISSN: 1680-9025 (electronic version)
ISSN: 1680-9017 (print version)
LC/TS.2020/23
Distribution: L
Copyright © United Nations, 2020
All rights reserved
Printed at United Nations, Santiago
S.19-00862

This publication should be cited as: S. Srivinasan, M. Saborío, A. Rodríguez and C. Morales, "Agricultural transformation: trends in farm size, crop diversification, and mechanization in Nicaragua and Peru", *Natural Resources and Development series*, No. 194 (LC/TS.2020/23), Santiago, Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), 2020.

Applications for authorization to reproduce this work in whole or in part should be sent to the Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), Publications and Web Services Division, publicaciones.cepal@un.org. Member States and their governmental institutions may reproduce this work without prior authorization but are requested to mention the source and to inform ECLAC of such reproduction.

Contents

Sum	mary		/
Intro	ducti A. B.	onTheories about structural change	1
l.	Nat A.	ional contexts	15 15
	В.	Peru	17 18 18
	C.	Summary of Possible Hypotheses	19
II.	Nic	aragua	2
	A.	Trends in land use and the crop distribution	2
	В.	Farm size distribution	. 24
		2. Changes in land use and farm sizes	
	C.	Land tenure	
	D.	Labor	
	E.	Mechanization	38

III.	Peri	U	. 43
	A.	Trends in land use and crops	. 43
	В.	Farm size distribution	. 46
	C.	Land tenure	. 48
	D.	Labor	50
	E.	Mechanization	5
IV.	Con	mparative analysis and policy discussion	57
	A.	Comparative Analysis	57
		1. Trends in land use	
		2. Trends in crop production	59
		3. Trends in inequality	
		4. Trends in land tenure	62
		5. Trends in labor	62
	В.	Policy discussion	63
		1. Sustainable land use and land expansion	63
		2. Increased land security	
		3. Increased job security for agricultural employees	65
		4. Increased temporary employment and lack of remunerated job opportunities	
		in other rural sectors	65
Biblic	grap	phy	67
Anne	xes		7
Anne		Complementary tables to text	
Anne	x 2:	Identifying different types of labor	. 84
Anne	x 3:	Glossary	
Natu	ral Re	esources and Development Series: issues published	89
Table	es		
Table	e II.1	Nicaragua: distribution of total agricultural land by uses, 2001 and 2011	22
Table	e II.2	Nicaragua: distribution of total agricultural land in regions by uses,	
		2001 and 2011	22
Table	e II.3	Nicaragua: area and contribution to the total cultivated land of main crops,	
		2001 and 2011	. 24
Table	11.4	Nicaragua: indicators of farm size distribution, 2001 and 2011	25
Table	e II.5	Nicaragua: median farm size (hectares), 2001 and 2011	27
Table	e II.6	Nicaragua: contribution (%) of the different farm sizes to absolute changes	20
Table	, II 7	in area, 2001 and 2011 Pacific region: top five crops, by farm size, 2001 and 2011	
Table Table		Central region: top five crops, by farm size, 2001 and 2011	
Table		Atlantic region: top five crops, by farm size, 2001 and 2011	53
Iable	: 11.10	Nicaragua: national and regional crop distribution comparison, 2001 and 2011	. 34

Table II.11	Nicaragua: classification of farmers according to land tenure by region, 2001 and 2011	35
Table II.12	Nicaragua: classification of farmers according to land tenure by size, 2001 and 2011	26
Table II.13	Nicaragua: labor indicators by farm size, 2001 and 2011	
Table II.13	Nicaragua: ratio labor units to hectares by farm size, 2001 and 2011	
Table II.14	Nicaragua: use of agricultural machinery and tools, average ratio	50
Table II.13	units to hectares and average area of farms using the items, for selected items,	
	2001 and 2011	
Table II.16	Nicaragua: use of agricultural machinery and tools, percentage	50
Table II.10	of farms using items, for selected items with positive change in	
	the percentage, 2001 and 2011	39
Table II.17	Nicaragua: use of agricultural machinery and tools, average ratio units	
	to hectares and average area of farms using the items, for selected items,	
	and two farm size categories, 2001 and 2011	40
Table II.18	Nicaragua: percentage of farms using agricultural machinery and tools, for	
	selected items, and two farm size categories, 2001 and 2011	41
Table III.1	Peru: distribution of total agricultural land by uses, 1994 and 2012	
Table III.2	Peru: distribution of total agricultural land in regions by uses,	
	1994 and 2012	45
Table III.3	Peru: area and contribution to the total cultivated land (%) of main crops,	
	1994-2012	46
Table III.4	Peru: indicators of farm size distribution, 1994 and 2012	47
Table III.5	Peru: absolute changes in farms and area by farm size,	
	between 1994 and 2012	
Table III.6	Peru: median farm size, 1994 and 2012	48
Table III.7	Peru: description of national and regional distribution of farms	
	according to land tenure 1994 and 2012	
Table III.8	Peru: distribution of farms according to land tenure by size, 1994 and 2012	
Table III.9	Peru: labor indicators by farm size, 1994 and 2012	
Table III.10	Peru: ratio labor units to hectares, by farm size, 1994 and 2012	51
Table III.11	Peru: shares of farms owning equipment and average units per hectare	
	by item, 1994 and 2012	52
Table III.12	Peru: regional shares of farms owning equipment and average units	
T 11 11142	per hectare by item, 1994 and 2012	53
Table III.13	Peru: shares of farms owning equipment and average units per hectare	1
T-1-1-11144	by farm size and item 1994 and 2012	
Table III.14	Peru: shares of farms using tractors by farm size, 1994 and 2012	55
Table IV.1	Nicaragua and Peru: summary of trends in land use categories, by region	Ε0
Table IV.2	Nicaragua and Peru: changes in crop production, by region and farm sizes	
Table IV.2	Nicaragua: indicators of land concentration, 2001 and 2011	
Table IV.5	Peru: indicators of land concentration, 1994 and 2012	
Table IV.4	Nicaragua and Peru: summary of trends in land tenure, by region	
Table IV.5	Nicaragua and Peru: summary of trends in labor, by farm size	
TUDIC TV.U	recaraged and rera. Summary of denias in labor, by laint size	05

Table A1.1	Nicaragua: distribution of Departments by region	72
Table A1.2	Peru: Distribution of Provinces by region	72
Table A1.3	Nicaragua and Perú: total Value Added and Economic Active Population, 1990-2016	73
Table A1.4	Nicaragua: distribution of total agricultural land in regions by uses, 2001 and 2011	
Table A1.5	Peru: distribution of total agricultural land in regions by uses, 1994 and 2012	
Table A1.6	Nicaragua: average units per hectare, number of users, shares of farms owning equipment, total items used and average area, by item, 2001 and 2011	
Table A1.7	Pacific region: average units per hectare, number of users, shares of farms own equipment, total items used and average area, by item, 2001 and 2011	
Table A1.8	Central region: average units per hectare, number of users, shares of farms owning equipment, total items used and average area, by item, 2001 and 2011	
Table A1.9	Atlantic region: average units per hectare, number of users, shares of farms owning equipment, total items used and average area, by item, 2001 and 2011	
Table A1.10	Nicaragua: average units per hectare, number of users, shares of farms owning equipment, total items used and average area, by farm size category and item, 2001 and 2011	
Table A2.1	Spanish version of the questions about labor in every census and country	
Table A3.1	Definition of land use categories	
Table A3.2	Names employed for machinery and tools	88
Figures		
Figure I.1	Nicaragua and Peru: agricultural value added as percentage of the total value added of the economy, 1991-2016	19
Figure II.1	Nicaragua: absolute changes (thousands of ha) in land uses, by Region, 2001 and 2011	

预览已结束,完整报告链接和二维码如下:

https://www.yunbaogao.cn/report/index/report?reportId=5_458



