THIRD DIVISION

[G.R. No. 122668, October 03, 1996]

JESSIE DE LEON, PLAINTIFF-APPELLEE, VS. PEOPLE OF THE PHILIPPINES, ACCUSED-APPELLANT.

RESOLUTION

NARVASA, C.J.:

Jessie de Leon was charged with and tried for a violation of Section 15, Article III of Republic Act No. 6425 in the Regional Trial Court of Pasig (Branch 167). On March 5, 1993, he was found guilty beyond reasonable doubt of the offense, and "sentenced to a penalty of Life Imprisonment; to pay a Fine of P20,000.00; to suffer all the accessory penalties as consequent thereto, and, to pay the costs." On appeal, the Fourteenth Division of the Court of Appeals, by Decision promulgated on December 28, 1994, sustained de Leon's conviction but, in light of Republic Act No. 7659 (effective December 31, 1993), reduced the sentenced "from Life Imprisonment to the correct imposable penalty of from Eight (8) Years of *Prision Mayor*, as Minimum, to Fourteen (14) Years and Eight (8) Months of *Reclusion Temporal*, as Maximum."

De Leon seasonably filed a petition for review on certiorari with this Court. The petition does not pray for de Leon's absolution from the offense for which he was convicted; indeed, a perusal of the record discloses no cause to modify the conclusion of his guilt of the offense with which he is charged. What the petition explicitly prays for is a *further reduction of the penalty* imposed on him by the Decision of the Court of Appeals of December 28, 1994.

In due course, the Court deliberated on the averments and arguments of the petition, in relation to the "Manifestation and Motion in Lieu of Comment" submitted by the Office of the Solicitor General, dated June 17, 1996, petitioner's additional comment dated August 27, 1996, as well as the First Indorsement dated September 4, 1996 of Acting Court Administrator Reynaldo L. Suarez, referring to this Court the letter dated August 14, 1996 of Mr. Ernesto G. Quejada, Paralegal Worker in the New Bilibid Prisons, advising that the quantity involved in petitioner's case is 0.05 grams of "shabu" only, and that he had already served four (4) years, three (3) months and twelve (12) days of his sentence, excluding his good conduct time allowance."

In its aforesaid Manifestation and Motion, etc. dated June 17, 1996, the Office of the Solicitor General manifests its agreement with petitioner that a further reduction of his penalty is called for in the premises, suggesting that what should properly be imposed is "an indeterminate penalty of six (6) months of *arresto mayor*, as minimum, to two (2) years and four (4) months of *prision correccional* in its medium period, as maximum."