SECOND DIVISION

[G.R. Nos. 141712-13, August 22, 2001]

THE PEOPLE OF THE PHILIPPINES, PLAINTIFF-APPELLEE, VS. EDMUNDO BOHOL Y MACATALAN, ACCUSED-APPELLANT,

PEOPLE OF THE PHILIPPINES, PLAINTIFF, VS. ELIAS GALANZA Y FORMANEZ,^{*} ACCUSED.

DECISION

MENDOZA, J.:

This is an appeal from the decision^[1] of the Regional Trial Court, Branch 109, Pasay City, finding accused-appellant Edmundo Bohol y Macatalan guilty of rape and sentencing him to suffer the penalty of *reclusion perpetua* and to indemnify the offended party, Maricel Rebot y Ariola, in the amount of P75,000.00.

The information against accused-appellant alleged —

That on or about the 23rd day of April, 1998 in Pasay City, Metro Manila, Philippines and within the jurisdiction of this Honorable Court, the abovenamed accused, Edmundo Bohol y Macatalan, by means of force and intimidation, employed upon the person of private complainant Maricel Rebot y Ariola, a 12-year old minor, did then and there wilfully, unlawfully and feloniously have carnal knowledge with said private complainant, against her will.

Contrary to law.^[2]

Upon arraignment, accused-appellant pleaded not guilty, where-upon he was tried. The facts found during the trial are as follows:

Maricel Rebot was born on October 6, 1985, the second child of Dalisay Ariola by her common-law husband, Domingo Rebot. She has five younger stepbrothers and stepsisters. Maricel lived with her family at the Airlanes Village in Pasay City until 1995, when their neighborhood was removed, and they were relocated to Dasmariñas, Cavite. Accused-appellant and his family were their neighbors both when they were in Pasay City and later when they were relocated to Dasmariñas, Cavite.^[3]

Maricel's mother, Dalisay, was a cigarette vendor at the Ninoy Aquino International Airport area, while Maricel begged in the streets. She continued begging at the NAIA and its vicinity even after their transfer to Cavite to help her family. Because of the distance of the NAIA to Dasmariñas, Cavite, Maricel often spent the night under the fly-over leading to NAIA whenever it was too late for her to travel back home. She preferred this because she had many friends who also slept there. In fact, the fly-over area had become home to many streetchildren and homeless people,^[4] including accused-appellant and Elias Galanza who both worked clandestinely as illegal porters at the NAIA.^[5]

It appears that on April 22, 1998, at around 8:00 p.m., Maricel and three female friends went to the fly-over area to rest after a day of begging in the streets. Maricel fell asleep at around 1:00 a.m. of April 23, 1998 because she was tired. Before she went to sleep, her last recollection was that her three female friends were lying at her right side while another friend, Edwin, lay to her left. About eight other streetchildren were resting in the area. At around 3:30 a.m., she was awakened by the boisterous laughter of some of the streetchildren. When she opened her eyes, Maricel found herself between Elias Galanza and accused-appellant.^[6] Elias lifted her skirt, inserted his hand into her short pants and panty, and touched her private part. She tried to remove Elias' hand, but she could not do so as Elias and accusedappellant were closely pressing her. Maricel heard some streetchildren laughing as they smelled Elias' hand after he touched Maricel's private part. Accused-appellant then raised her t-shirt, removed her short pants and panty, and went on top of her. Maricel shoved him with her shoulders, but she was no match to accused-appellant. She resisted ("*pumalag*") when accused-appellant was still trying to insert his penis into her vagina, but the latter was able eventually to penetrate her, although only the head of his penis entered her. Maricel felt pain and cried as accused-appellant had sex with her. After her ordeal, Maricel told Edmundo that she would tell her mother what had happened. Edmundo replied "Bakit, inaano ba kita?" Maricel transferred to another place and went to sleep when she felt it was already safe enough. Accused-appellant likewise transferred to another place, leaving Elias behind.^[7]

Maricel woke up at around 10 o'clock in the morning of April 23, 1998. She was expecting to see her mother, Dalisay, to get money from her,^[8] but Dalisay did not come until noon of the next day. Before Dalisay got to see Maricel, she had already been told by the children that Maricel was molested by Elias and accused-appellant. Maricel's eyes were swollen from crying when Dalisay saw her. At first, Maricel did not tell Dalisay when the latter asked why her eyes were swollen. When Dalisay confronted her about what Agnes and the other children had told her, Maricel admitted that she had been abused in the early morning of April 23, 1998.^[9]

Thereupon, Dalisay took her daughter to the barangay hall of Brgy. 198, Zone 20, Pildera, Pasay City and reported the matter to Brgy. Tanod Johnny Kessel. The latter took them to the barangay hall of Brgy. 193, Zone 20, Pildera, Pasay City where they made a similar report to the barangay captain.^[10] Upon instructions of the barangay captain, Brgy. Tanods Johnny Kessel and Romy Dizon looked for accused-appellant and Elias. Brgy. Tanod Romy Dizon found accused-appellant in a wake (*lamayan*) at Road 6, Brgy. 190, Zone 20, Pasay City and took him to the barangay hall, where he was positively identified by Maricel as her rapist. Accused-appellant and Elias Galanza were thereafter taken to the Pasay City police headquarters.^[11]

On April 25, 1998, Maricel was examined by Dr. Mariella Sugue-Castillo at the Philippine General Hospital upon request^[12] by the Women's and Children's Desk

Section of the Pasay City Police office. Dr. Castillo examined Maricel's body and genitalia and conducted a urinalysis as Maricel complained of painful urination. The tests yielded normal findings: no injuries on Maricel's body, no lacerations, no hematoma or discharge in her vagina, and normal urinalysis results. Dr. Castillo found that Maricel's hymen was beginning to be estrogenized, meaning that female hormones were beginning to develop at the time of the examination. Dr. Castillo said that her over-all normal findings "do not prove nor disprove" that Maricel was indeed sexually abused. She explained that her medical findings alone were not sufficient for her to categorically affirm or deny that Maricel had been abused.^[13]

Accused-appellant denied the accusation against him. He admitted that he slept in the fly-over area in the early morning of April 23, 1998, but he claimed that no untoward incident happened at that time. He claimed that when he reached the fly-over at past 1:00 a.m. of April 23, 1998, after attending a "*lamayan*," he saw Maricel sleeping in the middle of her female friends. Accused-appellant said he joined the group of Elias and his four male companions who were sleeping opposite Maricel's group. The two groups were separated by some shrubs.^[14]

According to accused-appellant, when he woke up at 10:00 a.m. on April 23, 1998, he found Maricel's and Elias' group gone. He went to the airport to work and saw Maricel begging in the area. When evening came, accused-appellant again slept in the fly-over area. Her friends were also there and, as in the early morning of April 23, 1998, nothing unusual happened.

Accused-appellant claimed that he was falsely accused by Maricel and her mother to extort money from him and his family. He claimed that he and Maricel used to be friends and that he in fact always gave money to Maricel whenever she asked for it. However, according to him, he stopped giving money to Maricel in 1997 because her mother, Dalisay, only took it from her. Accused-appellant said that when he was detained at the police station, Dalisay demanded P20,000.00 from him in exchange for their withdrawal of the rape charge against him.^[15]

On December 3, 1999, the trial court rendered its decision, the pertinent dispositive portion of which reads:

In Crim. Case No. 98-0464, the Court finds Edmundo Bohol y Macatalan guilty beyond reasonable doubt for the crime of rape of minor Maricel Rebot on 23rd of April, 1998, at Pasay City, and hereby imposes the penalty of RECLUSION PERPETUA and pay the victim actual damages in the amount of P75,000.00.

Hence this appeal. Accused-appellant contends that -

- 1. THE TRIAL COURT ERRED IN GIVING FULL WEIGHT AND CREDENCE TO THE TESTIMONY OF THE PRIVATE COMPLAINANT.
- 2. THE TRIAL COURT ERRED IN FINDING ACCUSED-APPELLANT GUILTY BEYOND REASONABLE DOUBT OF THE CRIME OF RAPE.

3. GRANTING THAT THERE WAS SUFFICIENT EVIDENCE TO HOLD ACCUSED-APPELLANT CRIMINALLY LIABLE, THE TRIAL COURT, NONETHELESS, ERRED IN FINDING HIM GUILTY OF THE CRIME OF RAPE AS CHARGED.^[16]

At the outset, considering that the rape was allegedly committed on April 23, 1998, it should be stated that the applicable law is R.A. No. 8353, which took effect on October 22, 1997,^[17] and not R.A. No. 7659. Save for this and the award of damages, we agree with the conclusions of the trial court and affirm its judgment convicting accused-appellant of rape.

Accused-appellant argues that private complainant's claim is incredible because it is contradicted by (1) the set-up of the fly-over area where complainant claims accused-appellant raped her, (2) the results of the medico-genital examination of complainant, and (3) complainant's behavior before and during the alleged sexual assault. He contends that complainant's testimony is at variance with her sworn statement and that the charge is ill-motivated.

The contentions are without merit.

First. The mere fact that the fly-over area was well-lighted and that eight other persons, mostly streetchildren, were also under the fly-over at the time of the incident does not negate complainant's testimony. Accused-appellant's claim that there were at least 30 people in the fly-over area on April 23, 1998 has no basis either in his testimony or that of private complainant. In any event, we have more than once noted that rape can be committed in isolated or private places, as well as in open and public places.^[18] It has been found to be committed even on the same bed where other members of the family were sleeping.^[19] Neither the pictures nor the testimony of accused-appellant and even that of complainant convince us to depart from the foregoing observations. Indeed, judicial experience has shown that rapists are not deterred by the time or place in consummating their bestial design. Nor do they choose their preys.

Second. Accused-appellant relies on the following statement in *People v*. *Campuhan*:^[20]

In cases of rape where there is a positive testimony and a medical certificate, both should in all respects complement each other; otherwise, to rely on the testimonial evidence alone, in utter disregard of the manifest variance in the medical certificate, would be productive of unwarranted or even mischievous results. It is necessary to carefully ascertain whether the penis of the accused in reality entered the labial threshold of the female organ to accurately conclude that rape was consummated. Failing in this, the thin line that separates attempted rape from consummated rape will significantly disappear.

In *Campuhan* the accused was convicted by the trial court of rape of a four-year old child on the basis of the testimony of the victim's mother. There was doubt, however, whether the victim's mother actually saw the accused therein insert his