# SECOND DIVISION

# [G.R. No. 180778, October 16, 2009]

### RURAL BANK OF DASMARIÑAS, INC., PETITIONER, VS. NESTOR JARIN, APOLINAR OBISPO, AND VICENTE GARCIA IN HIS CAPACITY AS REGISTER OF DEEDS OF THE PROVINCE OF CAVITE, RESPONDENTS.

### DECISION

#### CARPIO MORALES, J.:

Respondents Nestor Jarin (Jarin) and Apolinar Obispo (Obispo) were awarded Certificates of Land Transfer (CLT) over portions of a parcel of land in Burol, Dasmariñas, Cavite which was covered by Transfer Certificate of Title (TCT) No. 2295.

Before respondents could be issued Emancipation Patents (EP), they obtained on December 21, 1988 a loan from petitioner, Rural Bank of Dasmariñas, Inc. (RBDI), in whose favor they executed a Real Estate Mortgage over the parcels of land covered by their CLT (hereafter farm lots). As the farm lots were still covered by TCT No. 2295, the owner thereof, Dr. Paulo Campos (Campos), executed a Special Power of Attorney in respondents' favor authorizing them to encumber the farm lots. Respondents undertook to surrender their EPs as soon as they were released.

On June 18, 1990, respondents obtained additional loans from RBDI, secured by a mortgage over the same farm lots.

Respondents failed to settle their loans, hence, the mortgages were foreclosed and RBDI purchased the farm lots as the highest bidder. As at that time the EPs were still not yet issued, respondents authorized RBDI to receive them.

The EPs were eventually released on November 26, 1997. Campos' Transfer Certificate of Title (TCT) No. 2295 was thereupon cancelled and in its stead, TCT Nos. 994 and 996 were issued by the Office of the Registry of Deeds of Cavite in respondent Jarin's name. It appears that TCT No. 995 was also issued but there is no indication in the Records in whose name it was issued.<sup>[1]</sup>

On August 20, 1998, RBDI consolidated its ownership over the farm lots but the consolidation of ownership could not be registered as the owners' copies of the TCTs covering them were with respondents. RBDI thus demanded the delivery to it of the owners' copies but respondents refused to receive RBDI's demand letters, drawing RBDI to file a <u>complaint</u><sup>[2]</sup> against them for delivery of the owners' copies of TCT <u>Nos. 994, 995, and 996</u>, and damages, with prayer for the issuance of a writ of injunction and/or temporary restraining order.

In their Answer, respondents claimed that from the proceeds of the original loan,

Obispo received P266,750 while Jarin received P150,000; and that they were later forced to sign additional affidavits requesting additional loans for P435,000 in the case of Jarin, and P260,000 in the case of Obispo, which amounts were "manufactured" to circumvent Presidential Decree No. 315 allowing financial institutions to accept as collateral for loans duly registered CLTs issued by the government to tenant farmers provided that, among other things, the amount of the loans is not less than 60% of the value of the landholdings as determined under Presidential Decree No. 27.<sup>[3]</sup>

Respondents furthermore claimed that they were forced to sign affidavits waiving their rights in the farm lots,<sup>[4]</sup> which affidavits Campos used as bases of the cancellation of their EPs,<sup>[5]</sup> albeit the cancellation was reversed by Department of Agrarian Reform Secretary Ernesto D. Garilao on their motion for reconsideration.<sup>[6]</sup>

In sum, respondents answered in the negative the issue of "whether or not a CLT or an EP can be transferred other than through hereditary succession or to the government."<sup>[7]</sup>

Obispo died during the pendency of the case and was substituted by his spouse.<sup>[8]</sup>

Branch 22 of the Regional Trial Court (RTC) of Imus, Cavite found that, indeed, mortgaging the farm lots was a scheme conceived by Campos to recover them. It concluded that the farm lots could not be validly foreclosed under Presidential Decree No. 27. Finding, however, that respondents received the proceeds of the loan, the RTC ordered the payment thereof to RBDI. Thus the RTC disposed:

**WHEREFORE,** premises considered, judgment is rendered:

- 1. Ordering defendant Nestor Jarin to pay plaintiff the amount of P150,000.00 representing the amount received, plus interest at the prevailing rural bank[`]s rate computed from December 26, 1988 until January 14, 1999;
- Ordering the heirs of defendant Apolinar Obispo to pay plaintiff the amount of P266,750.00 representing the amount received plus interest at the prevailing rural bank[`]s rate computed from December 26, 1988 until January 14, 1999;
- Ordering the Register of Deeds for the Province of Cavite to cancel Entry Nos. 4349-96 (Certificate of Sale); 8095-96 (Affidavit); 8096-96 (Affidavit); and 106 (Affidavit of Adverse Claim) in TCT Nos. EP-994 V-B; EP-995 V-B; EP-996 V-B on file with the said register of deeds;
- 4. Dismissing the Complaint.

SO ORDERED.<sup>[9]</sup>

The Court of Appeals affirmed the RTC Decision.<sup>[10]</sup>

Hence, RBDI's present Petition for Review on Certiorari,<sup>[11]</sup> alleging that the Court

of Appeals erred in holding that a) there is no right of foreclosure in its favor; b) it committed fraud; and c) it is not entitled to damages.<sup>[12]</sup>

The petition is bereft of merit.

That fraud was committed against respondents is supported by the evidence on record. As the RTC observed:

As stated at the outset, the land awarded to defendants pursuant to PD 27 was **formerly owned by Dr. Paulo Campos** who, at the time of the transactions x x x and <u>at the time of the filing of the case, was the</u> **president of the plaintiff.** In addition, the certification dated May 13, 1999 (Exhibit "1") issued by Genoveva Hernandez, accountant of plaintiff, on the shareholdings of Dr. Paulo C. Campos and his family, as well as the testimony of plaintiff's witness Shirley Enobal (TSN, May 13, 1999) will clearly prove that <u>Dr. Campos and his family are the only shareholders of the plaintiff. In other words, **plaintiff is a family corporation**.</u>

Defendants Jarin and Obispo, on the other hand, are both <u>uneducated</u> and have not finished any kind of formal education. They cannot read nor write in English and they have always been, since their early years, farmers or farmworkers.

 $\mathbf{x} \mathbf{x} \mathbf{x} \mathbf{x}$ 

The fact alone that the real estate mortgages were executed even before the Special Power of Attorney<sup>[13]</sup> to mortgage the property was issued and that both were already in existence even when there was no loan application yet, clearly indicates the **premeditated efforts of plaintiff**, **its officers and Dr. Campos in illegally recovering the subject properties through fraudulent and simulated means**. In addition, a perusal of the real estate mortgage shows that the <u>interest rate was not</u> even stated. More importantly, while the mortgage deeds make reference to promissory notes with regard to the due date of the obligations, <u>no</u> <u>promissory notes</u> were presented in evidence if in fact they were executed. The foregoing acts are not normal banking practices. x x x

In addition, plaintiff's manager, Shirley Enobal, testified on crossexamination that defendants Jarin and Obispo were **assisted by Dr. Campos.**  $x \times x$ 

 $\mathbf{x} \mathbf{x} \mathbf{x} \mathbf{x}$ 

It is very surprising, to say the least, that plaintiff's president himself would assist two farmers in obtaining loans when plaintiff surely has sufficient employees assigned to perform such functions. Added to this is the fact that it was plaintiff's manager herself who was principally involved and was instrumental in the documentation of the aforesaid transactions (Exhibits "A" and "4"). These are clear <u>indications on the</u> <u>objective of Dr. Campos to recover the land through plaintiff by means of</u>

#### anomalous and irregular bank processes.

Plaintiff continued these machinations through a supposed Special Power of Attorney dated June 16, 1990 executed by Dr. Campos appointing defendants Jarin and Obispo again as his attorneys-in-fact and authorizing them to secure additional loans with plaintiff and to mortgage the subject properties (Exhibits "E" and "11"). Similarly, plaintiff again simulated Real Estate Mortgages dated June 15, 1990, purportedly executed by defendants Jarin and Obispo mortgaging in favor of plaintiff the subject properties as attorney[s]-in-fact of Dr. Campos for the alleged additional loans (Exhibits "F", "F-1", "12 and "13").

x x x And to strengthen its purpose of defrauding the defendants, plaintiff produced demand letters seeking payment of the principal amounts of the loan (Exhibits "H," "H-1", "14", and "15".)

хххх

The fraud persisted when defendants Jarin and Obispo were made to sign spurious "Sinumpaang Salaysay sa Pagbibitiw" prepared by plaintiff dated February 15, 1995 and allegedly acknowledging the 1988 loan with plaintiff, misrepresenting that they allegedly failed to pay the same; and that they allegedly were voluntarily surrendering their right to till the subject property (Exhibits "21" and "22").

The overall scheme and machinations of plaintiff and its officers x x x became very patent when a request was filed by Dr. Campos with the Department of Agrarian Reform (DAR) for the release of the EPs generated in the names of defendants Jarin and Obispo. Based on the Order dated August 7, 1996 (Exhibit "19") issued by the then Secretary of DAR, the said "Sinumpaang Salaysay sa Pagbibitiw" and the Deed of Donation over the subject property executed by Dr. Campos in favor of the Municipality of Dasmariñas, Cavite and the Immaculate Conception Academy, Inc. were submitted with the request. In the said Order, however, the then Secretary of DAR denied the request for the release of the Emancipation Patents of defendants over the subject properties, cancelled and revoked the same, and directed the reallocation of the properties to "qualified beneficiaries who are capable of making it agricultural".

Under the threat of losing the land awarded to them and after having finally realized that they had been defrauded and taken advantage of, defendants Jarin and Obispo sought help from their relatives who might be able to help them with their problem, which they never understood in the first place until circumstances became clear.

Thus, in a letter dated May 26, 1997 written by defendants Jarin and Obispo as well as their respective heirs addressed to the then Secretary of DAR, the said defendants requested, among others, that the subject properties be returned to them for tilling or that the same be transferred to their respective heirs (Exhibit "23"). This was supplemented in a letter dated August 17, 1997 written by the defendants addressed to the then