# **EN BANC**

# [ G.R. No. 192984, February 28, 2012 ]

# ROLANDO D. LAYUG, PETITIONER, VS. COMMISSION ON ELECTIONS, MARIANO VELARDE (ALIAS "BROTHER MIKE") AND BUHAY PARTY-LIST, RESPONDENTS.

#### DECISION

# PERLAS-BERNABE, J.:

In this Petition for Certiorari under Rule 65 of the Rules of Court with prayer for temporary restraining order and preliminary injunction, petitioner Rolando D. Layug seeks to (1) enjoin the implementation of the Resolution<sup>[1]</sup> of the Commission on Elections (COMELEC) Second Division, dated June 15, 2010, which denied his petition to disqualify respondent Buhay Hayaan Yumabong Party-List (hereinafter Buhay Party-List) from participating in the 2010 Party-List Elections, and Mariano Velarde (Brother Mike) from being its nominee; (2) nullify Buhay Party-List's proclamation under COMELEC *En Banc* NBC Resolution<sup>[2]</sup> No.10-034 dated July 30, 2010; and (3) compel the COMELEC *En Banc* to rule on his Motion for Reconsideration<sup>[2]</sup> dated 28 July 2010.

#### The Facts

On March 31, 2010, petitioner Rolando D. Layug (Layug), in his capacity as a taxpayer and concerned citizen, filed *pro se* a Petition to Disqualify**3** (**SPA No. 10-016 [DCN]**) Buhay Party-List from participating in the May 10, 2010 elections, and Brother Mike from being its nominee. He argued that Buhay Party-List is a mere "extension of the El Shaddai," which is a religious sect. As such, it is disqualified from being a party-list under Section 5, Paragraph 2, Article VI of the 1987 Constitution4, as well as Section 6, Paragraph 1 of Republic Act (R.A.) No. 79415, otherwise known as the "*Party-List System Act.*" Neither does Brother Mike, who is allegedly a billionaire real estate businessman and the spiritual leader of El Shaddai, qualify as "one who belongs to the marginalized and underrepresented sector xxx", as required of party-list nominees under Section 6 (7) of COMELEC Resolution No. 8807<sup>[7]</sup>, the "*Rules on Disqualification Cases Against Nominees of Party-List Groups/Organizations Participating in the May 10, 2010 Automated National and Local Elections.*"

In their Answer<sup>[8]</sup> thereto, Buhay Party-List and Brother Mike claimed that Buhay Party-List is not a religious sect but a political party possessing all the qualifications of a party-list. It is composed of groups for the elderly, the women, the youth, the handicapped, as well as the professionals, and Brother Mike belongs to the marginalized and underrepresented elderly group. They likewise argued that nominees from a political party such as Buhay Party-List need not even come from the marginalized and underrepresented sector.

Record shows that Layug received a copy of the aforesaid Answer only at the hearing conducted on April 20, 2010 after his lawyer, Atty. Rustico B. Gagate, manifested that his client has not received the same. Counsel for private respondents explained that their liaison officer found Layug's given address – #70 Dr. Pilapil St., Barangay San Miguel, Pasig City – to be inexistent. To this, Atty. Gagate was said to have retorted as follows: "The good counsel for the respondent could send any Answer or processes or pleadings to may (sic) address at Bambang, Nueva Vizcaya Your Honor, they could come over all the way to Nueva Vizcaya, we will entertain him." [9]

On June 15, 2010, the COMELEC Second Division issued a Resolution<sup>[10]</sup> denying the petition for lack of substantial evidence. A copy thereof was sent to Layug *via* registered mail at #70 Dr. Pilapil Street, Barangay San Miguel, Pasig City. However, the mail was returned unserved with the following notation of the postmaster: "1st 6/23/10 unknown; 2nd 6/25/10 unknown; and 3rd attempt 6/28/10 RTS INSUFFICIENT ADDRESS." Subsequently, in its Order<sup>[11]</sup> dated July 26, 2010, the COMELEC Second Division found Layug to be a "phantom petitioner" by "seeing to it that pleadings, orders and judicial notices addressed to him are not received by him because the address he gave and maintains is fictitious". Accordingly, Layug was deemed to have received on June 23, 2010 a copy of the Resolution dated June 15, 2010 and, there being no motion for reconsideration filed within the reglementary period, said Resolution was declared final and executory. It was entered<sup>[12]</sup> in the Book of Entries of Judgment on July 28, 2010.

As a consequence of such entry, the COMELEC *En Banc*, sitting as the National Board of Canvassers for Party-List, promulgated on July 30, 2010 NBC Resolution No. 10-034<sup>[13]</sup> proclaiming Buhay Party-List as a winner entitled to two (2) seats in the House of Representatives. Being the fifth nominee, however, Brother Mike was not proclaimed as the representative of Buhay Party-List.

Meanwhile, on July 28, 2010, Layug moved for reconsideration of the Resolution dated June 15, 2010 before the COMELEC *En Banc* claiming denial of due process for failure of the COMELEC to serve him, his representatives or counsels a copy of said Resolution. He alleged that it was only on July 26, 2010, after learning about it in the newspapers, that he personally secured a copy of the Resolution from the COMELEC.<sup>[14]</sup> His motion for reconsideration, however, was denied by the COMELEC Second Division in its Order<sup>[15]</sup> dated August 4, 2010 for being filed out of time.

#### **The Issues**

Aggrieved, Layug filed this petition imputing grave abuse of discretion on the part of the COMELEC for the following acts and omissions:

- I. THE COMELEC SECOND DIVISION DID NOT ISSUE A NOTICE OF PROMULGATION TO THE PETITIONER'S COUNSEL AS REQUIRED BY RULE 13 OF THE RULES OF COURT, THEREBY COMMITTING A CLEAR VIOLATION OF PROCEDURAL DUE PROCESS; and
- II. BY ISSUING THE 30 JULY 2010 RESOLUTION, THE COMELEC EN BANC

UNLAWFULLY NEGLECTED THE PERFORMANCE OF AN ACT WHICH THE LAW SPECIFICALLY ENJOINS AS A DUTY RESULTING FROM ITS OFFICE, WHICH IS TO HEAR AND DECIDE THE PETITIONER'S MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION WHICH WAS TIMELY FILED.[16]

In their respective Comments<sup>[17]</sup> to the petition, respondents assail the jurisdiction of the Court arguing that, with the proclamation of Buhay Party-List on July 30, 2010 and the assumption into office of its representatives, Mariano Michael DM. Velarde, Jr. and William Irwin C. Tieng, it is now the House of Representatives Electoral Tribunal that has the sole and exclusive jurisdiction over questions relating to their qualifications.

With regard to the issue on denial of due process, respondents maintain that, by providing an incorrect address to which a copy of the Resolution dated June 15, 2010 was duly sent and by refusing to rectify the error in the first instance when it was brought to his attention, Layug cannot now be heard to complain.

# We rule for the respondents.

# **The Ruling of the Court**

#### I. The Court not the HRET has jurisdiction over the present petition.

Section 17, Article VI of the 1987 Constitution provides that the House of Representatives Electoral Tribunal (**HRET**) shall be the *sole* judge of all contests relating to the election, returns, and qualifications of its Members. Section 5 (1) of the same Article identifies who the "members" of the House are:

Sec. 5. (1). The House of Representatives shall be composed of not more than two hundred and fifty <u>members</u>, unless otherwise fixed by law, <u>who shall be elected from legislative districts</u> apportioned among the provinces, cities, and the Metropolitan Manila area in accordance with the number of their respective inhabitants, and on the basis of a uniform and progressive ratio, <u>and those who</u>, as provided by law, <u>shall be elected through a party list system of registered national, regional, and sectoral parties or organizations.</u> (Underscoring added).

Clearly, the members of the House of Representatives are of two kinds: (1) members who shall be elected from legislative districts; and (2) those who shall be elected through a party-list system of registered national, regional, and sectoral parties or organizations. [18] In this case, Buhay Party-List was entitled to two seats in the House that went to its first two nominees, Mariano Michael DM. Velarde, Jr. and William Irwin C. Tieng. On the other hand, Brother Mike, being the fifth nominee, did not get a seat and thus had not become a member of the House of Representatives. Indubitably, the **HRET** has no jurisdiction over the issue of Brother Mike's qualifications.

Neither does the **HRET** have jurisdiction over the qualifications of Buhay Party-List, as it is vested by law, specifically, the *Party-List System Act*, upon the COMELEC.

Section 6 of said Act states that "the COMELEC may motu proprio or upon verified complaint of any interested party, remove or cancel, after due notice and hearing, the registration of any national, regional or sectoral party, organization or coalition xxx." Accordingly, in the case of *Abayon vs. HRET*,<sup>[19]</sup> We ruled that the **HRET** did not gravely abuse its discretion when it dismissed the petitions for *quo warranto* against *Aangat Tayo* party-list and *Bantay* party-list insofar as they sought the disqualifications of said party-lists.

Thus, it is the Court, under its power to review decisions, orders, or resolutions of the COMELEC provided under Section 7, Article IX-A of the 1987 Constitution<sup>[20]</sup> and Section 1, Rule 37 of the COMELEC Rules of Procedure<sup>[21]</sup> that has jurisdiction to hear the instant petition.

# II. Layug was not denied due process.

A party may sue or defend an action *pro se*. [22] Under Section 3, Rule 7 of the Rules of Court, "(e)very pleading must be signed by the party or counsel representing him, stating in either case his address which should not be a post office box."

A judicious perusal of the records shows that Layug filed pro se both the Petition to Disqualify<sup>[23]</sup> and his Position Paper<sup>[24]</sup> before the COMELEC Second Division. In the Petition to Disqualify, he stated his address as #70 Dr. Pilapil Street, Barangay San Miguel, Pasig City. While Atty. Rustico B. Gagate appeared as counsel for Layug during the hearing conducted on April 20, 2010, he nonetheless failed to provide either his or his client's complete and correct address despite the manifestation that counsel for private respondents could not personally serve the Answer on Layug due to the inexistence of the given address. Neither did the Position Paper that was subsequently filed *pro se* on April 23, 2010 indicate any forwarding address.

It should be stressed that a copy of the Resolution dated June 15, 2010 was mailed to Layug at his stated address at #70 Dr. Pilapil Street, Barangay San Miguel, Pasig City, which however was returned to sender (COMELEC) after three attempts due to insufficiency of said address, as evidenced by certified true copies of the registry return receipt<sup>[25]</sup>, as well as the envelope4 containing the Resolution; the Letter<sup>[27]</sup>of Pasig City Central Post Office Postmaster VI Erlina M. Pecante; the Certification<sup>[28]</sup> dated November 2, 2010 of the Postmaster of Pasig City Post Office; and the Affidavit of Service<sup>[29]</sup> of COMELEC Bailiff Arturo F. Forel dated August 13, 2010. Consequently, the COMELEC deemed Layug to have received a copy of the Resolution on June 23, 2010, the date the postmaster made his first attempt to serve it. There being no motion for reconsideration filed, the COMELEC issued an Order<sup>[30]</sup> on July 26, 2010 declaring the Resolution final and executory, which thereafter became the basis for the issuance of the assailed COMELEC *En Banc's* NBC Resolution<sup>[31]</sup> No. 10-034 dated July 30, 2010.

From the fact alone that the address which Layug furnished the COMELEC was incorrect, his pretensions regarding the validity of the proceedings and promulgation of the Resolution dated June 15, 2010 for being in violation of his constitutional right to due process are doomed to fail. [32] His refusal to rectify the error despite