[PHIC PHILHEALTH CIRCULAR NO. 03, s. 2010, January 28, 2010]

CLARIFICATIONS ON PHILHEALTH CIRCULAR NO. 47, S. 2009 (IMPLEMENTING GUIDELINES ON THE IMPOSITION OF PREVENTIVE SUSPENSION UPON ACCREDITED HEALTH CARE PROVIDERS WITH PENDING ADMINISTRATIVE CASES BEFORE PHILHEALTH)

Items V, VIII and IX of PhilHealth Circular No. 47, s. 2009 are hereby clarified to respectively read as underscored, to wit:

"V. CONDITIONS FOR A PREVENTIVE SUSPENSION

A preventive suspension may be issued only upon the concurrent presence or existence of the following conditions:

- a. There must be a pending administrative case with the Arbitration Department against the health care provider which involves any fraudulent offense as defined under the 2004 Implementing Rules and Regulations (IRR) of Republic Act No. 7875, namely:
- 1. Padding of Claims (Section 140, Rule XXVIII)
- 2. Claims for Non-Admitted Patients (Section 141, Rule XXVIII)
- 3. Extending Period of Confinement (Section 142, Rule XXVIII)
- 4. Postdating of Claims (Section 143, Rule XXVIII)
- 5. Misrepresentation by Furnishing False or Incorrect Information (Section 144, Rule XXVIII)
- 6. Fabrication or Possession of Fabricated Forms and Supporting Documents (Section 148, Rule XXVIII)
- 7. Other Fraudulent Acts (Section 149, Rule XXVIII)
- 8. Misrepresentation by False or Incorrect Information (Section 152, Rule XXIX) or
- 9. Other Violations (Section 154, Rule XXIX)
- b. The order of preventive suspension must be recommended by the Arbitration Department, motu propio or upon a motion by the Prosecution Department, and must be approved by the Senior Vice-President or head of the Legal Services Sector;
- c. The evidence of guilt is strong against the health care provider in the pending administrative case, as determined by the Arbitration Department; **and**
- d. The health care provider must have been previously adjudged guilty by the Arbitration Department in another administrative